

- 1 -

Muradyants Vagarshak Khachaturovich.
630079 Novosibirsk - 79,
Nemirovicha - Danchenko, 30/1, sq. 31.
T. (383) - 301-26-10, 8-953-885-04-36 .

В.Х. Мурадьянц

A solution of the P versus NP problem .

(On graphs with coalitions of vertices and their isomorphism .)

It is proved in this paper that there does not exist a polynomial algorithm and a polynomial P task for the graph isomorphism problem. As a consequence, there does not exist a polynomial algorithm and a polynomial P task for any problem in the class NPC. In particular, there does not exist a polynomial algorithm and a polynomial P task for the traveling salesman problem.

Definitions and notations .

→ - if then .

↔ - if and only if .

$\vec{G}(V,U)$ is the notation of an oriented graph.

$G(V,U)$ is the notation of an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges , where $|V| = n$, V is the set of vertices,

U is the set of edges if the graph is undirected ,

U - set of arcs if the graph is oriented .

The degree of a vertex is a number equal to the number of edges incident to this vertex. We denote the degree of a vertex by $\text{Deg}(v_i)$, where $i \in \overline{1,n}$ and $v_i \in V$.

Let us define a function on the set of vertices of the graph.

Let a graph $G(V,U)$, $v_l, v_p \in V$, where $l, p \in \overline{1,n}$ and $l \neq p$.

$(v_l, v_p) \in U \rightarrow f(v_l, v_p) = 1$, $(v_l, v_p) \notin U \rightarrow f(v_l, v_p) = 0$.

Let $v_i, v_j, v_k \in V$, where $i, j, k \in \overline{1,n}$ and $i \neq j$, $j \neq k$, $i \neq k$.

We will say that v_i and v_j have equal links to a vertex v_k if $f(v_i, v_k) = f(v_j, v_k)$.

Let $\vec{G}(V,U)$, $v_i \in V$, where $i \in \overline{1,n}$. If there exists a loop at a vertex , i.e.,

$(v_i, v_i) \in U$, then let $f(v_i, v_i) = 1$. That is, $(v_i, v_i) \in U \rightarrow f(v_i, v_i) = 1$ and

$(v_i, v_i) \notin U \rightarrow f(v_i, v_i) = 0$. For $\forall v_l, v_p \in V$, where $l, p \in \overline{1,n}$ and $l \neq p$,

$(v_l, v_p) \in U$ & $(v_p, v_l) \notin U \rightarrow f(v_l, v_p) = 1$,

$(v_l, v_p) \notin U$ & $(v_p, v_l) \in U \rightarrow f(v_l, v_p) = -1$.

$(v_l, v_p) \in U$ & $(v_p, v_l) \in U \rightarrow f(v_l, v_p) = 2$,

$(v_l, v_p) \notin U$ & $(v_p, v_l) \notin U \rightarrow f(v_l, v_p) = 0$.

Let $v_i, v_j, v_k \in V$, where $i, j, k \in \overline{1,n}$ and $i \neq j$, $j \neq k$, $i \neq k$. We will say that v_i and v_j have equal links to vertex v_k ,

if $f(v_i, v_k) = f(v_j, v_k)$ and $f(v_i, v_i) = f(v_j, v_j)$.

If $f(v_l, v_p) = 0$, then we will say that vertices v_l, v_p are not connected to each other.

Let two vertices of different graphs be given . A two-sided arrow placed between the labels of these vertices means that the vertices correspond to each other . $\dot{G}_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U})$, $\ddot{G}_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})$, $\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2} \in \dot{V}$, $\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j2} \in \ddot{V}$ be given . It is said that a one-to-one correspondence between the vertices of $\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}$, $\dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}$ preserves adjacency if $(\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2}) \in \dot{U} \leftrightarrow (\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j2}) \in \ddot{U}$.

if the graphs are

oriented , i.e., $\vec{G}_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U})$, $\vec{G}_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})$ and $\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2} \in \dot{V}$, $\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j2} \in \ddot{V}$.

It is said to be a one-to-one correspondence between vertices $\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}$, $\dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}$ preserves adjacency if

$$((\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2}) \in \dot{U} \leftrightarrow (\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j2}) \in \ddot{U}) \&$$

$$\& ((\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i1}) \in \dot{U} \leftrightarrow (\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j1}) \in \ddot{U}) \&$$

$$\& ((\dot{v}_{i2}, \dot{v}_{i2}) \in \dot{U} \leftrightarrow (\ddot{v}_{j2}, \ddot{v}_{j2}) \in \ddot{U}) \&$$

$$\& ((\dot{v}_{i2}, \dot{v}_{i1}) \in \dot{U} \leftrightarrow (\ddot{v}_{j2}, \ddot{v}_{j1}) \in \ddot{U}) .$$

We will denote by β or $\beta(\dot{V}, \ddot{V})$, or $\beta(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2)$ the mutually unambiguous correspondence between vertices \dot{V} and \ddot{V} . If the graphs are oriented , then $\beta(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2)$.

A mutually unambiguous correspondence between vertices $\beta(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2)$ preserves adjacency if for $\forall \dot{v}_{ik} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jk} \in \beta(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2)$ and $\forall \dot{v}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jr} \in \beta(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2)$, the mutually one-to-one correspondences $\dot{v}_{ik} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jk}$, $\dot{v}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jr}$ preserves adjacency . Similarly, a mutually one-to-one correspondence $\beta(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2)$ preserves contiguity if for $\forall \dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2} \in \beta(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2)$ and $\forall \dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2} \in \beta(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2)$, the mutual one-to-one correspondences $\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}$, $\dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}$ preserves adjacency .

A mutually unambiguous correspondence between vertices of graphs preserving adjacency will be denoted by $\bar{\beta}(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2)$. For oriented graphs, we denote $\bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2)$. A mutually unambiguous correspondence $\beta(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2)$ that preserves adjacency will be denoted also by the equality $\beta(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2) = \bar{\beta}(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2)$. For oriented graphs, $\beta(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2) = \bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2)$.

If $\dot{v}_{ik} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jk} \in \beta$, then we denote this by the equality $\beta(\dot{v}_{ik}) = \ddot{v}_{jk}$ or $\beta(\ddot{v}_{jk}) = \dot{v}_{ik}$.

We will denote by $\beta(\dot{V}_i, \ddot{V}_j)$ the mutual-ambiguous correspondence between subsets of vertices $\dot{V}_i \in \dot{V}_1$, $\ddot{V}_j \in \ddot{V}_2$.

Let $\dot{G}_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U})$, $\ddot{G}_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})$, $|\dot{V}| = n$, $|\ddot{V}| = n$ be given .

A graph $\dot{G}_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U})$ is said to be isomorphic to a graph $\ddot{G}_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})$ and denoted by $\dot{G}_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U}) \sim \ddot{G}_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})$ if $\exists \bar{\beta}(\dot{G}_1, \ddot{G}_2)$. Similarly for oriented graphs , $\vec{G}_1 \sim \vec{G}_2$ if $\exists \bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2)$.

2. Related vertices of a graph and their properties .

Definition 1. Let a graph $G(V, U)$ be given, $|V| = n$. Two vertices $v_i, v_j \in V$, where $v_i, v_j \in V$, where $i, j \in \overline{1, n}$ and $i \neq j$, we will call them related if they are not connected by an edge and have equal connections to any vertex different from them and denote by $v_i \equiv v_j$.

That is, $f(v_i, v_j) = 0$ and for $\forall v_k \in V$,

where $k \in \overline{1, n}$ and $k \neq i, k \neq j$, $f(v_i, v_k) = f(v_j, v_k)$.

Assertion 1. Given graphs, $G_1(V_1, U_1)$ and $G_2(V_2, U_2)$, where $|V_1| = n, |V_2| = n$ and $G_1 \sim G_2$. $\dot{G}_1(\dot{V}_1, \dot{U}_1)$, $\dot{G}_2(\dot{V}_2, \dot{U}_2)$ are subgraphs respectively of graphs G_1 and G_2 . $\exists \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ and

$\exists \beta(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2)$ such that $\beta(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2) \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$. Then $\dot{G}_1 \sim \dot{G}_2$ and $\beta(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2)$ preserves adjacency, i.e. $\beta(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2) = \bar{\beta}(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2)$.

That is, the subgraphs \dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2 of the isomorphic graphs G_1, G_2 constructed on the vertices which are in mutual-ambiguous correspondence, that retain adjacency are put in correspondence with each other are isomorphic.

Proof.

Let $\dot{G}_1(\dot{V}_1, \dot{U}_1)$ and $\dot{G}_2(\dot{V}_2, \dot{U}_2)$ be subgraphs respectively of graphs $G_1(V_1, U_1)$ and $G_2(V_2, U_2)$ satisfying the condition of the statement.

That is, $\dot{V}_1 = \{\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{ik}\}$, $\dot{V}_2 = \{\dot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{jk}\}$.

And the one-to-one correspondence

$$\beta(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2) = \{\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{ik} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{jk}\} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{is a subset of } \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2) = \{\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{in} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{jn}\} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{That is, } \beta(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2) \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2). \quad (3)$$

Suppose the contrary $\beta(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2)$ does not preserve adjointness.

i.e. $\exists \{\dot{v}_{ip} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{jp}, \dot{v}_{il} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{jl}\} \in \beta(\dot{G}_1, \dot{G}_2)$ and

$$f(\dot{v}_{ip}, \dot{v}_{il}) \neq f(\dot{v}_{jp}, \dot{v}_{jl}). \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3) that

$$\dot{v}_{ip} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{jp}, \dot{v}_{il} \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_{jl} \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2). \text{ Hence } f(\dot{v}_{ip}, \dot{v}_{il}) = f(\dot{v}_{jp}, \dot{v}_{jl}). \quad (5)$$

But (5) contradicts (4). The obtained contradiction proves approval.

Assertion 2. Given $G_1(V_1, U_1)$, $G_2(V_2, U_2)$, where $|V_1| = n$,

-5 -

$|V_2| = n, V_1 = \{\dot{v}_1, \dot{v}_2, \dots, \dot{v}_n\}$, $V_2 = \{\ddot{v}_1, \ddot{v}_2, \dots, \ddot{v}_n\}$. G_1 and G_2 have k related vertices $M_1 = \{\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{ik}\}$, $M_2 = \{\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \ddot{v}_{jk}\}$. $G_1 \sim G_2$. If $\exists \bar{\beta}_1(G_1, G_2)$ which contains $\beta_1(M_1, M_2)$, then taking in

$\bar{\beta}_1(G_1, G_2)$ instead of $\beta_1(M_1, M_2)$ any $\beta_2(M_1, M_2)$ different from $\beta_1(M_1, M_2)$ we obtain $\bar{\beta}_2(G_1, G_2)$.

Proof .

From the condition of the statement $\bar{\beta}_1(G_1, G_2) = \beta_1(M_1, M_2) \cup \beta_1(V_1 \setminus M_1, V_2 \setminus M_2)$. The subgraphs $G_{11}(V_1 \setminus M_1, U_{11})$, $G_{22}(V_2 \setminus M_2, U_{22})$ of graphs G_1 and G_2 . It follows from statement 1 that $G_{11} \sim G_{22}$ and $\bar{\beta}(G_{11}, G_{22}) = \bar{\beta}_1(V_1 \setminus M_1, V_2 \setminus M_2)$. Let

$$\bar{\beta}_1(V_1 \setminus M_1, V_2 \setminus M_2) = \{\dot{v}_{ik+1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jk+1}, \dot{v}_{ik+2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jk+2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{i(n-k)} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j(n-k)}\}. \quad (1)$$

Let us construct $\beta_2(G_1, G_2)$ by joining $\bar{\beta}_1(V_1 \setminus M_1, V_2 \setminus M_2)$ one-to-one correspondence of the vertices

$$\beta_2(M_1, M_2) = \{\dot{v}_{p1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{l1}, \dot{v}_{p2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{l2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{pk} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{lk}\}. \quad (2)$$

We prove that $\beta_2(G_1, G_2)$ preserves adjacency, i.e.

$$\beta_2(G_1, G_2) = \bar{\beta}_2(G_1, G_2). \text{ Suppose the contrary } \exists \dot{v}_{pr} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{lr}, \\ r \in \overline{1, k}, \text{ and } \exists \dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \in \beta_1(V_1 \setminus M_1, V_2 \setminus M_2) \text{ such that} \\ f(\dot{v}_{pr}, \dot{v}_i) \neq f(\ddot{v}_{lr}, \ddot{v}_j). \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, let us take $\forall \dot{v}_{iq} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jq} \in \beta_1(M_1, M_2)$ since

$$f(\dot{v}_{iq}, \dot{v}_i) = f(\ddot{v}_{jq}, \ddot{v}_j). \quad (4)$$

M_1, M_2 are sets of related vertices

$$f(\dot{v}_{iq}, \dot{v}_i) = f(\dot{v}_{pr}, \dot{v}_i),$$

$$f(\ddot{v}_{jq}, \ddot{v}_j) = f(\ddot{v}_{lr}, \ddot{v}_j).$$

Hence and from (4) it follows

$$f(\dot{v}_{pr}, \dot{v}_i) \neq f(\ddot{v}_{lr}, \ddot{v}_j). \quad (5)$$

But (5) contradicts (3), Hence the assumption is incorrect.

The related vertices are not adjacent. Hence for $\forall q \in \overline{1, k}$

and $\forall \gamma \in \overline{1, k}$, where $q \neq \gamma$, $\{\dot{v}_{pq} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{lq}, \dot{v}_{p\gamma} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{l\gamma}\} \in \beta_2$, then the following is true the equality $f(\dot{v}_{pq}, \dot{v}_{p\gamma}) = f(\ddot{v}_{lq}, \ddot{v}_{l\gamma})$. Hence :

$$\bar{\beta}_2(G_1, G_2) = \beta_1(V_1 \setminus M_1, V_2 \setminus M_2) \cup \beta_2(M_1, M_2).$$

The assertion is proved.

Assertion 3. Given graphs $G_1(V_1, U_1)$, $G_2(V_2, U_2)$, where $|V_1|=n, |V_2|=n$.

$$M_1 = \{\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{ir}\}, M_2 = \{\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \ddot{v}_{jk}\}, M_1 \in V_1, M_2 \in V_2.$$

-6 -

M_1, M_2 are related vertices respectively of graphs G_1, G_2 .

If $G_1 \sim G_2$ and $\exists \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ such that $\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, where $\dot{v}_i \in M_1$ and $\ddot{v}_j \in M_2$, then all other related vertices $M_1 \setminus \dot{v}_i$ and $M_2 \setminus \ddot{v}_j$ are put in correspondence to each other in $\bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ and $|M_1| = |M_2|$,

i.e., $r = k$.

Proof .

Let $\dot{v}_i \in M_1, \ddot{v}_j \in M_2$, and

$$\bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2) = \{\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j, \dot{v}_{ip1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jl1}, \dot{v}_{ip2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jl2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{ip(n-1)} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jl(n-1)}\}.$$

Suppose the contrary . Without violating generality suppose , that there exists $\dot{v}_{ipq} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jmq} \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, where $q \in \overline{1, n-1}$, and $\dot{v}_{ipq} \equiv \dot{v}_i$, and \ddot{v}_{jmq} and \ddot{v}_j are not related vertices .

Hence $\exists \ddot{v}_{jlm}$, where $m \in \overline{1, n-1}$ and

$$f(\ddot{v}_j, \ddot{v}_{jlm}) \neq f(\ddot{v}_{jmq}, \ddot{v}_{jlm}) . \quad (1)$$

On the other hand $\dot{v}_{ipq} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jmq} \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ and $\dot{v}_{ipq} \equiv \dot{v}_i$. Hence and since in $\bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ there exist

$$\dot{v}_{ipm} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jlm} , \quad (a)$$

$$\dot{v}_{ipq} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jmq} , \quad (b)$$

$$\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \quad (c)$$

should :

$$f(\dot{v}_i, \dot{v}_{ipm}) = f(\dot{v}_{ipq}, \dot{v}_{ipm}) , \quad (2)$$

$$f(\dot{v}_i, \dot{v}_{ipm}) = f(\ddot{v}_j, \ddot{v}_{jlm}) , \quad (3)$$

$$f(\dot{v}_{ipq}, \dot{v}_{ipm}) = f(\ddot{v}_{jmq}, \ddot{v}_{jlm}) . \quad (4)$$

It follows from (2) that the left parts of (3) and (4) are equal, and from (1) it follows that the right parts of (3) and (4) are not equal .

The obtained contradiction refutes the assumption .

Let us prove that $|M_1| = |M_2|$. Suppose the contrary . Without breaking generality, suppose that $|M_1| > |M_2|$ and $\exists \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, such that $\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, where $\dot{v}_i \in M_1, \ddot{v}_j \in M_2$.

Hence $\exists \dot{v}_r \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_p \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, where $\dot{v}_r \in M_1, \ddot{v}_p \in M_2$.

As shown above such an assumption leads to

a contradiction . The assertion is completely proved .

3. About a graph with five types of vertices . About a V-graph . (About a graph with coalitions of vertices.)

3.1. Notations .

- 7 -

For convenience of reasoning we introduce the notation

Let two ordered sets $M_1 = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$,

$M_2 = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$. Mutually unambiguous correspondence between elements of the sets M_1 and M_2 , in which the elements occupying equal positions are put in correspondence ,

we denote by $M_1 \leftrightarrow M_2$ or $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftrightarrow (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$.

That is, $((a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftrightarrow (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)) \rightarrow (a_1 \leftrightarrow b_1, a_2 \leftrightarrow b_2, a_3 \leftrightarrow b_3, a_4 \leftrightarrow b_4)$. Hence the following relations are true $(a_1, a_4) \leftrightarrow (b_1, b_4), (a_2, a_3) \leftrightarrow (b_2, b_3)$ since they establish the same and the same correspondences between the elements.

If we consider any one-to-one correspondence between elements of two sets M_1 and M_2 . The sets M_1 and M_2 let us denote as unordered: $M_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}, M_2 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$. That is, $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} \leftrightarrow \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ is any mutually unambiguous correspondence between elements of sets M_1, M_2 .

Let us introduce graphs of a special kind. In the indicated graphs, vertices are partitioned into subsets of vertices. Each subset consists of four vertices. Each of the four vertices has a property different from the properties of the other three vertices.

This allows us to introduce the notion of vertex type. Thus, each quadruple vertex consists of four types of vertices.

These four vertices will be called a **coalition of vertices** or a **coalition vertex**. The main property of the introduced graphs.

Mutually unambiguous correspondence between vertices of the specified graphs, preserving adjacency, puts **coalition vertices** in mutually unambiguous correspondence. In this case, the mutually ambiguous correspondence puts the vertices of the same type into a mutually-ambiguous correspondence.

The introduced graphs allow us to reduce the problem of isomorphism of oriented graphs (Berge graphs) to the problem of isomorphism of undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. And establish the relation between the P task

(the P task answers the question existence of a solution: Yes or No) with the algorithm for solving the isomorphism problem of graphs without loops and multiple edges.

3.2 Constructing a V - graph .

- 8 -

Definition 2. Consider the graphical representation of the Latin letter V. It consists of two line segments forming an angle. Let's transform the image of the letter V into a graph with three vertices.

At the vertex of the angle we place the first vertex of the graph. At the free end of the left segment we place the second vertex.

At the free end of the right segment we place the third vertex .

The vertex located at the vertex of the corner will be called vertex of type **y** .

The vertex located at the free end of the left segment will be called a vertex of type **x** .

The vertex located at the end of the right segment will be called a vertex of type **z** .

Let's transform the constructed graph into a graph with four vertices.

The fourth vertex will be connected by an edge only to a vertex of type **x** . This fourth vertex will be called a vertex of type **w** .

Let's construct a graph which consists of n graphs constructed above with four vertices . For this purpose , the image of the constructed graph with four vertices, we repeat it n times .

Let us denote and number the vertices . The letter denoting the type of a vertex is at the same time its denotation .

Let's number the vertices as follows . Vertices belonging to the same graph image with four vertices will have equal numbers .

We assign an index to each vertex designation . The value index is equal to the vertex number . Vertices of the same type we number them sequentially from 1 to n .

The vertices of four types forming a quadruple vertex with the same numbers will be called a **coalition of vertices** or **vertices of the same name** .

We denote a coalition of vertices by s_i , where the value i is equal to number assigned to vertices forming this coalition and call a coalition of vertices a **coalition vertex** .

The set of all coalition vertices will be denoted by S .

In a coalition vertex we define the following ordering of vertex types: **w,x,z,y** . That is, a coalition vertex is an ordered set containing four vertices . Thus $s_i = (w_i, x_i, z_i, y_i)$, where $i \in \overline{1, n}$, $S = \cup_1^n s_i$.

-9 -

We connect vertices of type **z** by edges . That is, the vertices of type **z** form a complete graph . Let us introduce three more related vertices . Let us call them vertices of type **p** . We denote the set of vertices of type **p** by $P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$. Let us connect each vertex from P by an edge to each vertex of type **z** .

The edges in the graph described above will be in every graph containing the same number of coalition vertices. Let us denote the set of these edges by U_c .

In the described graph there may be edges connecting vertices of type x with vertices of type z . We will denote the set of these edges by U_{xz} . Also, for vertices of type w , we may introduce related vertices. For a vertex w_i , we denote by W_i , where $i \in \overline{1, n}$.

U_i is the set of edges connecting vertices from W_i to vertex x_i , where $i \in \overline{1, n}$.

A graph with five types of vertices x, y, z, w, p will be called a V-graph and denote by $V_{gr}((S, P, \cup_1^n W_i), (U_c, U_{xz} \cup_1^n U_i))$, where $|S| = n$.

If $|U_{xz}| = 0$, then the V-graph will be called unloaded. If $|U_{xz}| \neq 0$, then the V-graph is called loaded.

The number of coalition vertices $|S|$ will be called the dimension of the V-graph and denoted by $R(V_{gr})$.

If vertices related to one of the vertices of type w are added to the V-graph, we will say that this vertex is labeled or has a label.

The set of vertices W_i related to a vertex w_i , where $i \in \overline{1, n}$, we will call the label of vertex w_i . $|W_i|$ - the number of vertices related to vertex w_i we will call the label value.

3.3 Properties of vertices of a V-graph.

The necessary conditions for vertices $\dot{v}_i \in \dot{V}$, where $i \in \overline{1, n}$, $\dot{v}_j \in \dot{V}$, $j \in \overline{1, n}$, such that $\exists \bar{\beta}(G_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U}), G_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})) \ni \dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \dot{v}_j$.

Assertion 4. Let $G_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U}), G_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})$, where

$\dot{V} = \{\dot{v}_1, \dot{v}_2, \dots, \dot{v}_n\}$, $\ddot{V} = \{\ddot{v}_1, \ddot{v}_2, \dots, \ddot{v}_n\}$. If $G_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U})$ and $G_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})$ and for a vertex $\dot{v}_i \in \dot{V}$, $\ddot{v}_j \in \ddot{V} \exists \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ such that $\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$. Then the degrees of the specified vertices are equal to.

Proof.

Suppose the contrary $\text{Deg}(\dot{v}_i) \neq \text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_j)$. Without violating generality

-10 -

let $\text{Deg}(\dot{v}_i) > \text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_j)$.

Let $\{\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{ik}\} \in \dot{V}$

be vertices adjacent to vertex \dot{v}_i . I.e. $\text{Deg}(\dot{v}_i) = k$.

On the other hand, for $\forall l \in \overline{1, k}$, $f(\dot{v}_i, \dot{v}_{il}) = 1$. Since $\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, then $\exists \ddot{v}_{jl} \in \ddot{V}$, such that $\dot{v}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jl} \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$. Hence $f(\ddot{v}_j, \ddot{v}_{jl}) = 1$. Hence $\text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_j) = k$, and by assumption $\text{Deg}(\dot{v}_i) > \text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_j)$,

i.e. $k \neq k$. The resulting contradiction proves the statement .

Assertion 5. Let $G_1(\dot{V}, \dot{U}), G_2(\ddot{V}, \ddot{U})$, where $|\dot{V}| = n, |\ddot{V}| = n$. $\dot{v}_i \in \dot{V}, \ddot{v}_j \in \ddot{V}$ the adjacent sets of vertices, respectively

$L_1 = \{\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{ik}\}, L_2 = \{\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \ddot{v}_{jm}\}$ and their corresponding vertex degree sets $M_1 = \{\text{Deg}(\dot{v}_{i1}), \text{Deg}(\dot{v}_{i2}), \dots, \text{Deg}(\dot{v}_{ik})\}, M_2 = \{\text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_{j1}), \text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_{j2}), \dots, \text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_{jm})\}$.

If $G_1 \sim G_2$ and $\exists \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ is such that $\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, then $|M_1| = |M_2|$, i.e., $m = k$, the sets of degrees of vertices M_1, M_2 , adjacent to vertices \dot{v}_i, \ddot{v}_j , respectively, are equal to each other , i.e., $M_1 = M_2$.

Proof .

By the condition of statement $G_1 \sim G_2$ and $\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, hence and statement 4 follows $|M_1| = |M_2|$, i.e. $k = m$.

Suppose the contrary $M_1 \neq M_2$. Then any a one-to-one correspondence between vertices from L_1 and L_2 will assign to each other $\dot{v}_{i\beta} \in L_1$ and $\ddot{v}_{j\gamma} \in L_2$, where $\gamma, \mu \in \overline{1, k}$, such that $\text{Deg}(\dot{v}_{i\beta}) \neq \text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_{j\gamma})$. That is, from the assumption that $\exists \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ and $\dot{v}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_j \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$, it follows that $\exists \dot{v}_{i\beta} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j\gamma} \in \bar{\beta}(G_1, G_2)$ and $\text{Deg}(\dot{v}_{i\beta}) \neq \text{Deg}(\ddot{v}_{j\gamma})$. And this contradicts statement 4 . The resulting contradiction proves the statement .

Definition 3 . Let given $V_{gr}((S, P, \cup_1^n W_i), (U_c, U_{xz}, \cup_1^n U_i))$, $W_i = \{w_{i1}, w_{i2}, \dots, w_{ik1}\}$ is the label of vertex w_i , $W_j = \{w_{j1}, w_{j2}, \dots, w_{jk2}\}$ is the label of vertex w_j , where $w_i \in s_i, w_j \in s_j$, $s_i, s_j \in S$. We will say that vertices w_i, w_j , where $i \neq j$, have different labels if $k1 \neq k2$. If $k1 = k2$ we will say that vertices w_i, w_j have equal labels . Similarly, we define labels if W_i, W_j belong to different V-graphs .

We call $k_i = |W_i|, k_j = |W_j|$ the label value .

Definition 4. Let $V_{gr}((S, P, \cup_1^n W_i), (U_c, U_{xz}, \cup_1^n U_i))$. We will

-11 -

say that the vertices of the V-graph are labeled correctly if any two labeled vertices have different labels .

Ranges of degree values of vertices of each type in the V-graph .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{x})$ - denotation of the degree of vertices of type \mathbf{x} .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{y})$ - designation of the degree of vertices of type \mathbf{y} .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{w})$ - denotation of the degree of vertices of type \mathbf{w} .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{z})$ - denotation of the degree of vertices of type \mathbf{z} .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{p})$ - denote the degree of vertices of type \mathbf{p} .

Given $V_{gr}((S, P, \cup_1^n W_i), (U_c, U_{xz}, \cup_1^n U_i))$,. Only vertices of type \mathbf{x} adjacent to one or more vertices that have degree equal to 1 since $\text{Deg}(\mathbf{w}) = 1$.

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{x}) = 2$ if the V-graph is not loaded and vertices of type \mathbf{w} are not labeled .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{x}) \leq n + 2$ if the V-graph is loaded and vertices of type \mathbf{w} are not labeled .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{y}) = 2$ always .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{w}) = 1$ always .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{z}) = n + 3$ if the V-graph is unloaded, since vertices of type \mathbf{z} are connected by edges and to one vertex of type \mathbf{y} , each of them is adjacent to each of three vertices of type \mathbf{p} .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{z}) \leq 2n + 3$ if the V-graph is loaded , since each vertex of type \mathbf{z} can be adjacent to each vertex of type \mathbf{x} .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{p}) = n$ since vertices of type \mathbf{p} are always adjacent only to each vertex of type \mathbf{z} .

Hence :

$2 \leq \text{Deg}(\mathbf{x}) \leq n + 2$

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{y}) = 2$ always .

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{w}) = 1$ always .

$n + 3 \leq \text{Deg}(\mathbf{z}) \leq 2n + 3$.

$\text{Deg}(\mathbf{p}) = n$ always .

Assertion 6. Given $V_{gr1}((\dot{S}, \dot{P}, \cup_1^n \dot{W}_i), (\dot{U}_c, \dot{U}_{xz}, \cup_1^n \dot{U}_i))$, $V_{gr2}((\ddot{S}, \ddot{P}, \cup_1^n \ddot{W}_i), (\ddot{U}_c, \ddot{U}_{xz}, \cup_1^n \ddot{U}_i))$. If $V_{gr1} \quad V_{gr2}$, then any mutual-ambiguous correspondence between vertices V_{gr1}, V_{gr2} ,

-12 -

preserving contiguity , puts vertices of the same type in correspondence with each other .

Proof .

Let $V_{gr1} \quad V_{gr2}$. Consider each vertex type in separately .

1) $\text{Deg}(\mathbf{w}) = 1$. The degree of the other vertex types have degree greater than 1 . Hence from statement 4 follows $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ in which a vertex of type \mathbf{w} is put in correspondence to a vertex

of another type .

2) $2 \leq \text{Deg}(\mathbf{x}) \leq n + 2$. A vertex of type \mathbf{x} is the only vertex type adjacent to a vertex having degree equal to 1 . It follows from statement 5 that $\bar{\exists}\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ in which a vertex of type \mathbf{x} corresponds to a vertex of another type .

3) $\text{Deg}(\mathbf{y}) = 2$. A vertex of type \mathbf{y} is not adjacent to a vertex whose degree is 1 . It follows from statement 5 that $\bar{\exists}\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ in which a vertex of type \mathbf{y} corresponds to a vertex of type \mathbf{x} . The degrees of vertices of types $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{p}$ cannot be equal to $\text{Deg}(\mathbf{y})$. It follows from statement 4 that $\bar{\exists}\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ in which a vertex of type \mathbf{y} corresponds to a vertex of another type

4) $n + 3 \leq \text{Deg}(\mathbf{z}) \leq 2n + 3$. That is, the degree of any vertex of type \mathbf{z} cannot be equal to the degree of a vertex of another type .

From here and statement 4, it follows that $\bar{\exists}\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ in which a vertex of type \mathbf{z} is put in correspondence to a vertex of another type.

5) $\text{Deg}(\mathbf{p}) = n$. A vertex of type \mathbf{p} is not adjacent to a vertex whose degree is 1 . It follows from statement 5 that $\bar{\exists}\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ in which a vertex of type \mathbf{p} corresponds to a vertex of type \mathbf{x} .

The degrees of the vertices of types $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}$ cannot be equal to $\text{Deg}(\mathbf{p})$. Hence and statement 4 it follows that $\bar{\exists}\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ in which a vertex of type \mathbf{p} is put in correspondence to a vertex of a different type . The assertion is completely proved .

Assertion 7. Given $V_{gr1}((\dot{S}, \dot{P}, \cup_1^n \dot{W}_i), (\dot{U}_c, \dot{U}_{xz}, \cup_1^n \dot{U}_i))$, $V_{gr2}((\ddot{S}, \ddot{P}, \cup_1^n \ddot{W}_i), (\ddot{U}_c, \ddot{U}_{xz}, \cup_1^n \ddot{U}_i))$, where $|\dot{S}| = n, |\ddot{S}| = n, |\dot{P}| = 3, |\ddot{P}| = 3$. If $V_{gr1} \sim V_{gr2}$, then $\forall \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ puts the same-named vertices of one graph in correspondence with the same-named vertices

-13 -

of the same type. That is, $(V_{gr1} \sim V_{gr2}) \rightarrow (\forall \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2}) = \{\dot{s}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j1}, \dot{s}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{s}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{jn}\} \cup \dot{P} \leftrightarrow \ddot{P})$.

Proof .

It follows from Assertion 6 that $\forall \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ puts vertices of the same type in correspondence to each other . It remains to prove that if $\dot{s}_i \in \dot{S}, \ddot{s}_j \in \ddot{S}$, where $\dot{s}_i = \{\dot{w}_i, \dot{x}_i, \dot{z}_i, \dot{y}_i\}, \ddot{s}_j = \{\ddot{w}_j, \ddot{x}_j, \ddot{z}_j, \ddot{y}_j\}$, $i, j \in \overline{1, n}$ and at least one of the relations $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j, \dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j, \dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j, \dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j$ belongs to $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, then each of them belongs to $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$.

The proof will be carried out in 4 steps .For each relation, we prove that if it belongs to $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, then the other three relations also belong to $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$.

1) Let $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, we need to prove that $\{\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j, \dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j, \dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j\} \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. Suppose the contrary $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, and at least one of the relations : $\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j$, $\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j$, $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j$ does not belong to $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$.

a) Let \exists be such a $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, but $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j \notin \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is, $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{w}_i) \neq \bar{\beta}(\ddot{w}_j)$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{w}_i) = \bar{w}_{j1}$, where $j \neq j1$. Hence $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) = f(\ddot{x}_j, \bar{w}_{j1})$.

On the other hand $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) \neq 0$, and $f(\ddot{x}_j, \bar{w}_{j1}) = 0$, since any vertex of type **x** is adjacent only to a vertex of the same name of type **w** . Hence $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) \neq f(\ddot{x}_j, \bar{w}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes assumption .

b) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_j$, but $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) = \ddot{y}_{j1}$, where $j \neq j1$. Hence $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i) = f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1})$. On the other hand a vertex of type **x** is adjacent only to a vertex of the same name of type **y** . Hence $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i) \neq 0$, and $f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1}) = 0$, i.e., $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i) \neq f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes the assumption .

c) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_j$, but $\bar{\beta}(\dot{z}_i) = \ddot{z}_{j1}$, where $j \neq j1$. From (b) it follows that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) = \ddot{y}_j$. Hence $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) = f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1})$.

On the other hand , since \ddot{y}_j is adjacent only to a vertex of type **z** of the same name, $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1}) = 0$, i.e., $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) \neq f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes the assumption .

- 14 -

2) Let $\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, it is required to prove that $\{\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j, \dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j, \dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j\} \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. Suppose the contrary $\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, and at least one of the relations $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j$, $\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j$, $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j$ does not belong to $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$.

a) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ but $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j \notin \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) = \ddot{y}_j$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_{j1}$, where $j \neq j1$. Hence $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{x}_i) = f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1})$. On the other hand , $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{x}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1}) = 0$, since any vertex of type **y** is adjacent only to a vertex of the same name of type **x** . Hence $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{x}_i) \neq f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes the assumption .

b) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, but

$\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ That is $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) = \ddot{y}_j$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{z}_i) = \ddot{z}_{j1}$ where $j \neq j1$. Hence $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) = f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1})$. On the other hand $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1}) = 0$, since any vertex of type **y** is adjacent only to a vertex of the same name of type **z**. Hence $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) \neq f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes the assumption.

c) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) = \ddot{y}_j$, but $\bar{\beta}(\dot{w}_i) = \ddot{w}_{j1}$, where $j \neq j1$, i.e. $\bar{\beta}(\dot{w}_i) \neq \ddot{w}_j$. But in this case it follows from (a) that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_j$. Hence $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) = f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{w}_{j1})$. On the other hand, since a vertex of type **x** is adjacent only to a vertex of the same name of type **w**, $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{w}_{j1}) = 0$. Hence $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) \neq f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{w}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes the assumption.

3) Let $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, it is required to prove that $\{\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j, \dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j, \dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j\} \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. Suppose the contrary $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, and at least one of the relations $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j$, $\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j$, $\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j$ does not belong to $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$.

(a) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, but $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j \notin \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{w}_i) = \ddot{w}_j$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_{j1}$, Where $j \neq j1$. Hence $f(\dot{w}_i, \dot{x}_i) = f(\ddot{w}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1})$. On the other hand $f(\dot{w}_i, \dot{x}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{w}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1}) = 0$ since any vertex of type **w** is adjacent only to a vertex of the same name of type **x**. Hence $f(\dot{w}_i, \dot{x}_i) \neq f(\ddot{w}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes the assumption.

b) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, but

-15 -

$\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j \notin \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{w}_i) = \ddot{w}_j$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) = \ddot{y}_{j1}$, where $j1 \neq j$. Then from (a) it follows that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_j$. Hence $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i) = f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1})$. On the other hand, since a vertex of type **x** is adjacent only to a vertex of type **y** with the same name, $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1}) = 0$. Hence $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i) \neq f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes the assumption.

c) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, but $\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j \notin \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{w}_i) = \ddot{w}_j$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{z}_i) = \ddot{z}_{j1}$, where $j1 \neq j$. Hence and (b) follows $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) = \ddot{y}_j$. Hence $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) = f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1})$. On the other hand, since a vertex of type **y** is adjacent only to a vertex of type **z** with the same name, $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1}) = 0$. Hence $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i) \neq f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes the assumption.

4) Let $\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, it is required to prove that $\{\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j, \dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j, \dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j\} \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. Suppose the contrary

$\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, and at least one of the relations $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j$,
 $\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j$, $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j$ does not belong to $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$.

(a) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, but
 $\dot{y}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_j \notin \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{z}_i) = \ddot{z}_j$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) \neq \ddot{y}_{j1}$
 where $j1 \neq j$. Hence $f(\dot{z}_i, \dot{y}_i) = f(\ddot{z}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1})$. On the other hand $f(\dot{z}_i, \dot{y}_i) \neq 0$,
 $f(\ddot{z}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1}) = 0$, since any vertex of type z is adjacent only to a vertex of the
 same name of type y . Hence $f(\dot{z}_i, \dot{y}_i) \neq f(\ddot{z}_j, \ddot{y}_{j1})$. The resulting
 contradiction refutes the assumption .

b) Let $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$, but
 $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j \notin \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is $\bar{\beta}(\dot{z}_i) = \ddot{z}_j$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_{j1}$, where $j1 \neq j$.
 Hence and (a) follows $\bar{\beta}(\dot{y}_i) = \ddot{y}_j$. Hence
 $\dot{x}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_{j1}$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{z}_i) = \ddot{z}_j$,
 $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{x}_i) = f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1})$. On the other hand $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{x}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1}) = 0$, since
 a vertex of type y is adjacent only to a vertex of type x with the same
 name . Hence $f(\dot{y}_i, \dot{x}_i) \neq f(\ddot{y}_j, \ddot{x}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes
 the assumption .

c) Let \exists be such a $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$ such that $\dot{z}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_j \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$,
 but $\dot{w}_i \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_j \notin \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2})$. That is, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{z}_i) = \ddot{z}_j$, $\bar{\beta}(\dot{w}_i) = \ddot{w}_{j1}$,
 where $j1 \neq j$. Hence and from (b) it follows that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{x}_i) = \ddot{x}_j$. Hence

-16 -

$f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) = f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{w}_{j1})$.

On the other hand $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) \neq 0$, $f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{w}_{j1}) = 0$, since any vertex of type x is
 adjacent only to a vertex of the same name of type w . Hence
 $f(\dot{x}_i, \dot{w}_i) \neq f(\ddot{x}_j, \ddot{w}_{j1})$. The resulting contradiction refutes
 the conjecture . The assertion is completely proved .

4. Immersion of an oriented graph (Berge graph)

Into an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges
V-graph .

Definition 5. Let an unloaded $V_{gr}((S, P, \cup_1^n W_i), (U_c, U_{xz}, \cup_1^n U_i))$
 with dimension $R(V_{gr}) = n$ and an oriented graph $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)$, where
 $|V_1| = n$. $\forall v_i \in V_1$, where $i \in \overline{1, n}$, with number i ,
 correspond to two vertices of the same name $x_i \in s_i$, $z_i \in s_i$, with the
 same number i , where $i \in \overline{1, n}$ and $s_i \in S$. A vertex of the graph \vec{G}_1 with
 number i and vertices $(w_i, x_i, z_i, y_i) \in S$ of the V-graph with the same
 number i will be called homonymous . Let V_{gr} be supplemented with
 edges so that the following conditions are fulfilled .

For $\forall v_i, v_j \in V$, where $i, j \in \overline{1, n}$, if v_i has a loop, then the vertices with the same name in $V_{gr} x_i$ and z_i are connected by an edge; if v_j has a loop, then the vertices with the same name in $V_{gr} x_j$ and z_j are connected by an edge.

If it is an arc going from v_i to v_j , i.e. $(v_i, v_j) \in U_1$, then the vertices x_i and z_j with the same name in V_{gr} are connected by an edge. If it is an arc going from v_j to v_i , i.e. $(v_j, v_i) \in U_1$, then in V_{gr} the vertices z_i and x_j with the same name are connected by an edge. i.e. for

$\forall i, j \in \overline{1, n}$ and $i \neq j$, the relations:

$$(v_i, v_j) \in U_1 \Leftrightarrow (x_i, z_j) \in U_{xz},$$

$$(v_j, v_i) \in U_1 \Leftrightarrow (x_j, z_i) \in U_{xz},$$

$$(v_i, v_i) \in U_1 \Leftrightarrow (x_i, z_i) \in U_{xz},$$

$$(v_j, v_j) \in U_1 \Leftrightarrow (x_j, z_j) \in U_{xz}.$$

The V-graph thus augmented with edges will be called the image of the graph $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)$ or the representation of the oriented graph $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)$ as an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges or loading an oriented graph into a V-graph and denote by $V_{gr}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)]$.

Thus the V-graph augmented with edges will be also

-17 -

be called an immersion of an oriented graph into an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges.

5. On the non-existence of the polynomial P problem and the a polynomial algorithm for the graph isomorphism problem.

Assertion 8. Let oriented graphs $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1), \vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)$ be given, where $|V_1| = n$, $|V_2| = n$. Their corresponding images

$$V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1), ((\vec{S}, \vec{P}, U_1^n \vec{W}_i), (U_c, U_{xz}, U_1^n \vec{U}_i))],$$

$$V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2), ((\vec{S}, \vec{P}, U_1^n \vec{W}_i), (\vec{U}_c, \vec{U}_{xz}, U_1^n \vec{U}_i))], \text{ where } R(V_{gr1}) = n \text{ and } R(V_{gr2}) = n.$$

The oriented graphs \vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2 are isomorphic

if and only if when their images $V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1], V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2]$ are isomorphic.

If mutually the one-to-one correspondence between vertices \vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2 preserves adjacency, then the one-to-one correspondence

between coalitional vertices of V-graphs with the same name as them $V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1], V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2]$ also preserves adjacency. Conversely, if

mutually unambiguous correspondence between coalitional

vertices $V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1], V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2]$ preserves adjacency, then a mutually

the one-to-one correspondence between the vertices of graphs $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)$, $\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)$ with the same name as them preserves adjacency .
I.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{G}_1 \vec{G}_2 &\leftrightarrow V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)] \quad V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)] , \\ (\{\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jn}\} &= \bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2)) \leftrightarrow \\ \Leftrightarrow (\{\dot{s}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j1}, \dot{s}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{s}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{jn}\} &\in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1], V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2]) , \text{ where} \\ \{\dot{s}_{i1}, \dot{s}_{i2}, \dots, \dot{s}_{in}\} = \dot{S}, \{\ddot{s}_{j1}, \ddot{s}_{j2}, \dots, \ddot{s}_{jn}\} &= \ddot{S}, \\ \{\dot{v}_{i1}, \dot{v}_{i2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{in}\} = V_1, \{\ddot{v}_{j1}, \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \ddot{v}_{jn}\} &= V_2 . \end{aligned}$$

Proof .

Sufficiency .

Given $V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)] \quad V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)]$. It is required to prove that $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1) \vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)$ and if $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)], V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)]) \ni$

$\ni (\{\dot{s}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j1}, \dot{s}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{s}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{jn}\}$, then

$$\{\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jn}\} = \bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1), \vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)) .$$

From $V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)] \quad V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)]$ and assertion 7, it follows that

$$\forall \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1], V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2]) = \{\dot{s}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j1}, \dot{s}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{s}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{jn}\} \cup \dot{P} \leftrightarrow \ddot{P} .$$

Hence , let

-18 -

$$\begin{aligned} (\dot{w}_{i1}, \dot{x}_{i1}, \dot{y}_{i1}, \dot{z}_{i1}) &\leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_{j1}, \ddot{x}_{j1}, \ddot{y}_{j1}, \ddot{z}_{j1}) , \\ (\dot{w}_{i2}, \dot{x}_{i2}, \dot{y}_{i2}, \dot{z}_{i2}) &\leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_{j2}, \ddot{x}_{j2}, \ddot{y}_{j2}, \ddot{z}_{j2}) , \\ \dots & \\ (\dot{w}_{in}, \dot{x}_{in}, \dot{y}_{in}, \dot{z}_{in}) &\leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_{jn}, \ddot{x}_{jn}, \ddot{y}_{jn}, \ddot{z}_{jn}) , \\ \{\dot{P}_1, \dot{P}_2, \dot{P}_3\} &\leftrightarrow \{\ddot{P}_1, \ddot{P}_2, \ddot{P}_3\} \end{aligned}$$

mutually one-to-one correspondence between vertices $V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)]$, $V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)]$ preserving adjacency .

Hence for $\forall k, l \in \overline{1, n}$, where $k \neq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_{ik} \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_{jk}, \dot{z}_{ik} &\leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_{jk} , \\ \dot{x}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_{jl}, \dot{z}_{il} &\leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_{jl} , \end{aligned}$$

preserve adjacency . Hence

$$f(\dot{x}_{ik}, \dot{z}_{ik}) = f(\ddot{x}_{jk}, \ddot{z}_{jk}) , \quad (1)$$

$$f(\dot{x}_{ik}, \dot{x}_{il}) = 0 , f(\ddot{x}_{jk}, \ddot{x}_{jl}) = 0 ,$$

since vertices of type x are not adjacent .

$$f(\dot{x}_{ik}, \dot{z}_{il}) = f(\ddot{x}_{jk}, \ddot{z}_{jl}) , \quad (2)$$

$$f(\dot{z}_{ik}, \dot{x}_{il}) = f(\ddot{z}_{jk}, \ddot{x}_{jl}) , \quad (3)$$

$$f(\dot{z}_{ik}, \dot{z}_{il}) = f(\ddot{z}_{jk}, \ddot{z}_{jl}) ,$$

$$f(\dot{x}_{il}, \dot{z}_{il}) = f(\ddot{x}_{jl}, \ddot{z}_{jl}) , \quad (4)$$

On the other hand $\dot{v}_{ik}, \dot{v}_{il}$ of graph $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)$ and $\ddot{v}_{jk}, \ddot{v}_{jl}$ of graph $\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)$ are homonymous vertices respectively with

$\dot{x}_{ik}, \dot{z}_{ik}; \dot{x}_{il}, \dot{z}_{il}$ and $\ddot{x}_{jk}, \ddot{z}_{jk}; \ddot{x}_{jl}, \ddot{z}_{jl}$.

Consider the relations

$$\dot{v}_{ik} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jk} \quad (5)$$

$$\dot{v}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jl}. \quad (6)$$

From relation 1 and the loading of the graphs V_{gr1} and V_{gr2} it follows that $f(\dot{v}_{ik}, \dot{v}_{ik}) = f(\ddot{v}_{jk}, \ddot{v}_{jk})$.

From relations 2, 3 and the loading of the graphs V_{gr1} and V_{gr2} it follows that $f(\dot{v}_{ik}, \dot{v}_{il}) = f(\ddot{v}_{jk}, \ddot{v}_{jl})$.

From relation 4 and the loading of the graphs V_{gr1} and V_{gr2} it follows that $f(\dot{v}_{il}, \dot{v}_{il}) = f(\ddot{v}_{jl}, \ddot{v}_{jl})$.

It follows that relations 5, 6 preserve adjointness.

By virtue of an arbitrary choice of $\dot{x}_{ik}, \dot{z}_{ik}; \dot{x}_{il}, \dot{z}_{il}$ and $\ddot{x}_{jk}, \ddot{z}_{jk}; \ddot{x}_{jl}, \ddot{z}_{jl}$

It follows that

$$\bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1), \vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)) = \{\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jn}\}.$$

That is, $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1) \sim \vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)$. Sufficiency is proved.

- 19 -

Necessity.

Given $\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1) \sim \vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)$ it is required to prove that

$V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)] \quad V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)]$ and if

$\bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1), \vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)) = \{\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jn}\}$ then

$$\{\dot{s}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j1}, \dot{s}_{i2} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{s}_{in} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{jn}\} \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1)], V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)]).$$

Let $\bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1(V_1, U_1), \vec{G}_2(V_2, U_2)) = \{\dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_{i1} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jn}\}$

where $\dot{v}_{ik} \in V_1$ for $\forall k \in \overline{1, n}$ and $\ddot{v}_{jk} \in V_2$ for $\forall k \in \overline{1, n}$. Take

$\forall r, l \in \overline{1, n}$, where $r \neq l$.

$$\dot{v}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jr}, \quad (8)$$

$$\dot{v}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jl}. \quad (9)$$

$$\text{Then } f(\dot{v}_{ir}, \dot{v}_{il}) = f(\ddot{v}_{jr}, \ddot{v}_{jl}), \quad (10)$$

$$f(\dot{v}_{ir}, \dot{v}_{ir}) = f(\ddot{v}_{jr}, \ddot{v}_{jr}),$$

$$f(\dot{v}_{il}, \dot{v}_{il}) = f(\ddot{v}_{jl}, \ddot{v}_{jl}).$$

From 10 and since V_{gr1}, V_{gr2} are images, respectively, of the graphs of \vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2 it follows.

$$f(\dot{x}_{ir}, \dot{z}_{il}) = f(\ddot{x}_{jr}, \ddot{z}_{jl}), \quad (11)$$

$$f(\dot{x}_{il}, \dot{z}_{ir}) = f(\ddot{x}_{jl}, \ddot{z}_{jr}), \quad (12)$$

$$f(\dot{x}_{ir}, \dot{z}_{ir}) = f(\ddot{x}_{jr}, \ddot{z}_{jr}), \quad (13)$$

$$f(\dot{x}_{il}, \dot{z}_{il}) = f(\ddot{x}_{jl}, \ddot{z}_{jl}). \quad (14)$$

In a V-graph, vertices of type **x** are not connected by edges , and vertices of type **z** are connected by edges . Hence

$$f(\dot{x}_{ir}, \dot{x}_{il}) = f(\ddot{x}_{jr}, \ddot{x}_{jl}) , \quad (15)$$

$$f(\dot{z}_{ir}, \dot{z}_{il}) = f(\ddot{z}_{jr}, \ddot{z}_{jl}) . \quad (16)$$

From 11,12,13,14,15,16 it follows that

$$\dot{x}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_{jr}, \dot{z}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_{jl}, \quad (17)$$

$$\dot{x}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{x}_{jl}, \dot{z}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{z}_{jr} , \quad (18)$$

remain contiguous.

On the other hand .

A vertex \dot{w}_{ir} - adjacent only to \dot{x}_{ir} .

Vertex \ddot{w}_{jr} - adjacent only to \ddot{x}_{jr} .

Vertex \dot{w}_{il} - adjacent only to \dot{x}_{il} .

Vertex \ddot{w}_{jl} - adjacent only to \ddot{x}_{jl} .

Hence

$$\dot{w}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{jr}, \dot{w}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{jl} \quad (19)$$

Together with relations 17,18 preserve the adjacency .

-20 -

Hence the relations

$$(\dot{w}_{ir}, \dot{x}_{ir}, \dot{z}_{ir}) \leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_{jr}, \ddot{x}_{jr}, \ddot{z}_{jr}) , \quad (20)$$

$$(\dot{w}_{il}, \dot{x}_{il}, \dot{z}_{il}) \leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_{jl}, \ddot{x}_{jl}, \ddot{z}_{jl}) \quad (21)$$

preserve contiguity .

Vertices of type **y** are adjacent only to vertices of the same name of types **x** and **z** . Hence

$$\dot{y}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_{jr} , \dot{y}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{y}_{jl}$$

together with relations 20 , 21 preserve adjacency.

Hence

$$(\dot{w}_{ir}, \dot{x}_{ir}, \dot{y}_{ir}, \dot{z}_{ir}) \leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_{jr}, \ddot{x}_{jr}, \ddot{y}_{jr}, \ddot{z}_{jr}) ,$$

$$(\dot{w}_{il}, \dot{x}_{il}, \dot{y}_{il}, \dot{z}_{il}) \leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_{jl}, \ddot{x}_{jl}, \ddot{y}_{jl}, \ddot{z}_{jl})$$

preserve adjacency .

The vertices placed in correspondence with each other are taken from (1) arbitrarily . Consequently, there is a one-to-one correspondence between coalition vertices V_{gr1} , V_{gr2} constructed from (1) by replacing vertices from \vec{G}_1 , \vec{G}_2 by coalition vertices of the same name from V_{gr1} , V_{gr2} preserves adjacency .

That is, $\dot{v}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jr}$, where $r \in \overline{1, n}$, is replaced by $\dot{s}_{ir} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{jr}$, and $\dot{v}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jl}$, where $l \in \overline{1, n}$, is replaced by $\dot{s}_{il} \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{jl}$.

Vertices of type **p** are adjacent to each vertex of type **z** . Hence any mutually one-to-one correspondence between vertices of type **p** of graphs V_{gr1}, V_{gr2} and the specified mutually one-to-one correspondence between their coalitional vertices preserve adjacency .

That is, $V_{gr1} \sim V_{gr2}$. The assertion is proved .

Notation .

Let $V_{gr1}((S_1, P_1, \cup_1^n \dot{W}_i), (\dot{U}_{c_1}, \dot{U}_{xz_1}, \cup_1^n \dot{U}_i))$ be given ,
 $V_{gr2}((S_2, P_2, \cup_1^n \ddot{W}_i), (\ddot{U}_c, \ddot{U}_{xz}, \cup_1^n \ddot{U}_i))$, where $S_1 = \cup_1^n (\dot{w}_i, \dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i)$,
 $S_2 = \cup_1^n (\ddot{w}_j, \ddot{x}_j, \ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_j)$.

We label the vertices $\dot{w}_l, \ddot{w}_r, |\dot{W}_l| = |\ddot{W}_r|$ and $|\dot{W}_l| \neq |\ddot{W}_k|$, for
 $\forall k \in \overline{1, n}$, where $k \neq l$, and $|\ddot{W}_r| \neq |\ddot{W}_k|$, for $\forall k \in \overline{1, n}$, where $k \neq r$.

We will denote labeled vertices by (\dot{w}_l) , (\ddot{w}_r) . V-graphs
in which m vertices are labeled we denote by $V_{gr}(m)$.

Mutually one-to-one correspondence between vertices $V_{gr1}(m)$,
 $V_{gr2}(m)$ will be denoted by β_m .

Assertion 9 .Let loaded V-graphs be given in which

-21 -

m - 1 vertices are correctly labeled, where $m \in \overline{1, n}$.

$V_{gr1}[(m - 1), ((S_1, P_1, \cup_1^n \dot{W}_i), (\dot{U}_{c_1}, \dot{U}_{xz_1}, \cup_1^n \dot{U}_i))] \sim$
 $\sim V_{gr2}[(m - 1), ((S_2, P_2, \cup_1^n \ddot{W}_i), (\ddot{U}_c, \ddot{U}_{xz}, \cup_1^n \ddot{U}_i))]$ where $S_1 = \cup_1^n \dot{s}_i$,
 $\dot{s}_i = (\dot{w}_i, \dot{x}_i, \dot{y}_i, \dot{z}_i)$, $S_2 = \cup_1^n \ddot{s}_j$, $\ddot{s}_j = (\ddot{w}_j, \ddot{x}_j, \ddot{y}_j, \ddot{z}_j)$.

We label the vertices $\dot{w}_l \in \dot{s}_l$ and $\ddot{w}_r \in \ddot{s}_r$, where $l, r \in \overline{1, n}$, with proper
labels that are equal to each other .

If $V_{gr1}(m - 1) \sim V_{gr2}(m - 1)$, then

$\exists \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m - 1), V_{gr2}(m - 1)) \ni \dot{w}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_r$ if and only if

$(\dot{w}_l) \leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_r) \in \bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m))$, where

$\bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m)) = \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m - 1), V_{gr2}(m - 1)) \cup \{\dot{w}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_r\}$.

That is, $V_{gr1}(m - 1) \sim V_{gr2}(m - 1) \rightarrow$

$\rightarrow [((\exists \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m - 1), V_{gr2}(m - 1)) \ni$

$\ni \dot{w}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_r) \leftrightarrow ((\dot{w}_l) \leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_r) \in \bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m)))]$, where

$\bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m)) = \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m - 1), V_{gr2}(m - 1)) \cup \{\dot{w}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_r\}$.

Proof .

Necessity .

Given : $V_{gr1}(m - 1) \sim V_{gr2}(m - 1)$ and

$\exists \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m - 1), V_{gr2}(m - 1)) \ni \dot{w}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_r$. It is required to prove that

$(\dot{w}_l) \leftrightarrow (\ddot{w}_r) \in \bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m))$, where $\bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m)) =$

$$= \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m-1), V_{gr2}(m-1)) \cup \{\dot{W}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_r\}.$$

From assertion 3 and the condition of this assertion follows proof.

Sufficiency.

Given

$$\bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m)) = \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m-1), V_{gr2}(m-1)) \cup \{\dot{W}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_r\}$$

It is required to prove that

$$\dot{W}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_r \in \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m-1), V_{gr2}(m-1)).$$

From assertion 3 and the condition of our assertion follows

$$\{\dot{W}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_r\} \in \bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m)), \text{ where}$$

$$\bar{\beta}_m(V_{gr1}(m), V_{gr2}(m)) = \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m-1), V_{gr2}(m-1)) \cup \{\dot{W}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_r\},$$

it follows that $\dot{W}_l \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_r \in \bar{\beta}_{m-1}(V_{gr1}(m-1), V_{gr2}(m-1))$.

The assertion is proved.

Assertion 10. For the isomorphism problem of graphs without loops

-22 -

and multiple edges :

a) there does not exist a polynomial solution algorithm ,

b) there does not exist a polynomial P of the task .

Proof .

a) Let $\vec{G}_1(\vec{V}_1, \vec{U}_1)$ and $\vec{G}_2(\vec{V}_2, \vec{U}_2)$, where $|\vec{V}_1| = n$, $|\vec{V}_2| = n$, be given oriented graphs (Berge graphs) . Their corresponding images are

$$V_{gr1}[\vec{G}_1(\vec{V}_1, \vec{U}_1), ((\vec{S}, \vec{P}, \cup_1^n \vec{W}_i), (\vec{U}_c, \vec{U}_{xz}, \cup_1^n \vec{U}_i))] ,$$

$$V_{gr2}[\vec{G}_2(\vec{V}_2, \vec{U}_2), ((\vec{S}, \vec{P}, \cup_1^n \vec{W}_i), (\vec{U}_c, \vec{U}_{xz}, \cup_1^n \vec{U}_i))] .$$

Suppose the contrary . There exists a polynomial algorithm computing isomorphism of graphs without loops and multiple edges .

Let us compute $\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}(\vec{G}_1), V_{gr2}(\vec{G}_2))$. It follows from assertion 7 that

$$\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}(\vec{G}_1), V_{gr2}(\vec{G}_2)) \ni \cup_1^n ((\dot{w}_{ik}, \dot{x}_{ik}, \dot{y}_{ik}, \dot{z}_{ik}) \leftrightarrow ((\ddot{w}_{jk}, \ddot{x}_{jk}, \ddot{y}_{jk}, \ddot{z}_{jk})) .$$

It follows from hence and assertion 8 that $\bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2) = \cup_1^n (\dot{v}_{ik} \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jk})$.

I.e., it follows from our assumption that there exists a polynomial algorithm for the Berge graph isomorphism problem . And this contradicts the statement proved by

S.V. Yablonsky [1] that there exist Berge graphs

for which there does not exist a polynomial algorithm for computing their isomorphism .

The obtained contradiction proves the point a of the assertion .

b) Suppose the contrary . There exists a polynomial P task for the isomorphism problem of graphs without loops and multiple edges .

Let us start labeling with correct labels the vertices of type w of graphs V_{gr1}, V_{gr2} . Consider a sequentially numbered series of vertices $\dot{w}_1, \dot{w}_2, \dots, \dot{w}_n$ of graph V_{gr1} .

For vertex \dot{w}_1 we assign label $k_1 = |\dot{W}_1|$, where $|\dot{W}_1| = 1$.

In the graph V_{gr2} , compute a vertex \ddot{w}_{j1} such that after assigning to it the label $k_{j1} = |\ddot{W}_{j1}|$, where $|\ddot{W}_{j1}| = 1$, P the task establishes that $V_{gr1}(1) \sim V_{gr2}(1)$. In this case, it follows from statements 3 and 2 that $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}(1), V_{gr2}(1))$ such that

$$\{\dot{W}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{j1}, \dot{w}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{j1}\} \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}(1), V_{gr2}(1)).$$

For vertex \dot{w}_2 of graph $V_{gr1}(1)$, we assign a label with value $K_2 = |\dot{W}_2|$, where $|\dot{W}_2| = 2$. In the graph $V_{gr2}(1)$, compute a vertex \ddot{w}_{j2} such that after labeling it $k_{j2} = |\ddot{W}_{j2}|$, where $|\ddot{W}_{j2}| = 2$,

-23 -

P task will establish $V_{gr1}(2) \sim V_{gr2}(2)$. In this case, from statements 3 and 2, it follows $\exists \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}(2), V_{gr2}(2))$ such that

$$\{\dot{W}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{j1}, \dot{w}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{j1}, \dot{W}_2 \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{j2}, \dot{w}_2 \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{j2}\} \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}(2), V_{gr2}(2)).$$

Let us continue the above process. For each vertex in the set $\{\dot{w}_1, \dot{w}_2, \dot{w}_3, \dots, \dot{w}_n\}$, we compute their corresponding vertices $\{\ddot{w}_{j1}, \ddot{w}_{j2}, \ddot{w}_{j3}, \dots, \ddot{w}_{jn}\}$. That is, let us compute

$$\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}(n), V_{gr2}(n)) \ni \{\dot{W}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{j1}, \dot{w}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{j1}, \dot{W}_2 \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{j2}, \dot{w}_2 \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{W}_n \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{jn}, \dot{w}_n \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{jn}\}. \quad (22)$$

The graphs V_{gr1}, V_{gr2} are subgraphs, respectively, of the graphs $V_{gr1}(n), V_{gr2}(n)$. It follows from 22 that

$$\beta(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2}) = \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}(n), V_{gr2}(n)) \setminus \{\dot{W}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{j1}, \dot{W}_2 \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{W}_n \leftrightarrow \ddot{W}_{jn}\}. \text{ From assertion 1, it follows that } V_{gr1} \sim V_{gr2} \text{ and } \beta(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2}) \text{ preserves adjacency i.e. } \beta(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2}) = \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2}) \text{ and } \{\dot{w}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{j1}, \dot{w}_2 \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{w}_n \leftrightarrow \ddot{w}_{jn}\} \in \bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2}).$$

It follows from hence and assertion 7 that

$$\bar{\beta}(V_{gr1}, V_{gr2}) = \{\dot{s}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j1}, \dot{s}_2 \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{s}_n \leftrightarrow \ddot{s}_{jn}\} \cup \{\dot{P} \leftrightarrow \ddot{P}\}. \text{ Hence}$$

and assertion 8 follows

$$\{\dot{v}_1 \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j1}, \dot{v}_2 \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{j2}, \dots, \dot{v}_n \leftrightarrow \ddot{v}_{jn}\} = \bar{\beta}(\vec{G}_1, \vec{G}_2). \text{ That is, we applied the polynomial P task n times and}$$

computed the isomorphism of Berge graphs. We obtained a contradiction.

S.V. Yablonsky [1] proved that there exist oriented graphs for which there does not exist a polynomial algorithm

for computing their isomorphism . Hence our assumption is incorrect .
There is no polynomial P of the task and there is no polynomial algorithm for solving .

Assertion 11. For NP tasks belonging to the NPC class there are no polynomial P problems and polynomial solution algorithms.

Proof .

The polynomial solution to any problem from the NPC class gives polynomial algorithm to solve each problem belonging to the NPC class.

The graph isomorphism problem is a special case of the problem

isomorphism to a subgraph belonging to the class NPC.

From here

- 24 -

a) if there is a polynomial P task for at least one problems from the NPC class, then there is a polynomial P problem

for the graph isomorphism problem,

b) if there is a polynomial algorithm for solving at least for one of the problems of the NPC class, then there is a polynomial algorithm for solving the graph isomorphism problem.

From here and assertion 10 it follows that for any problem of the class

NPC there is no polynomial P task and there is no polynomial solution algorithm.

Literature .

1. С.В. Яблонский .

“Об алгоритмических трудностях синтеза минимальных
контактных схем//Проблемы кибернетики . М., 1959. с. 75 – 121”