

**Authors:** Laura Botasheva

**Academic Supervisor:** Al Khan

**Institution:** INAI

**Date:** 2025

## 1. Introduction

With the rapid growth and increasing complexity of machine learning applications, the twin challenges of efficient model training and comprehensive model analysis have become paramount. Researchers continuously strive to improve both the speed and stability of learning processes, alongside developing robust methodologies for understanding and interpreting model behavior. Optimization methods based on perturbed equations offer a promising avenue for stabilizing and accelerating the learning process, particularly in deep learning. Concurrently, ablation-based code generation provides a controlled and automated methodology for rigorously analyzing the contributions of individual components within complex ML architectures.

This paper aims to synthesize and critically compare these two distinct, yet complementary, approaches by examining two key recent research works:

- Usupova & Khan (2025) on optimization using perturbed equations, focusing on training stability.
- Rakimbekuulu et al. (2024) on code generation for automated ablation techniques, emphasizing interpretability and experimental efficiency.

These studies illustrate two different but equally vital lines of innovation within the ML workflow, addressing different stages from initial model training to post-hoc analysis. This comparative analysis will illuminate their individual strengths, limitations, and potential synergies, contributing to a more holistic understanding of modern ML system development.

## 2. Related Work

The field of machine learning optimization is vast, with perturbed equations representing a specific direction aimed at enhancing convergence properties. Usupova and Khan (2025) propose an optimization strategy using perturbed equations to improve training stability and reduce sensitivity to learning-rate fluctuations. Their work demonstrates improved performance on several benchmark datasets, emphasizing robustness during gradient descent, which is crucial for training large-scale neural networks.

On the other hand, ablation studies have long been a fundamental tool for understanding the internal workings of complex models by systematically removing or disabling components. Rakimbekuulu et al. (2024) introduce a novel code-generation system for automated ablation experiments. This system significantly supports efficient model evaluation by automatically isolating specific architectural components, allowing researchers to test the contribution of individual modules without manually rewriting extensive experiment pipelines.

## 3. Optimization Using Perturbed Equations

### 3.1 Overview

Perturbed-equation optimization modifies the standard update rule in gradient descent by introducing a controlled, typically small, disturbance. This perturbation is designed to mitigate issues such as oscillations around minima, saddle points, or local optima, which can plague training in high-dimensional, non-convex loss landscapes. By injecting carefully calibrated noise, the method can reduce training variance and help the model converge more consistently and robustly.

### 3.2 Key Contributions

- **Reduction of Training Variance:** The controlled perturbation helps smooth the optimization trajectory, leading to more stable updates and less erratic training behavior.
- **Improved Convergence Stability:** By escaping shallow local minima and navigating flat regions more effectively, the method can achieve better final model performance and faster convergence rates.
- **Compatibility:** This technique is designed to be compatible with a wide range of standard optimizers, including Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) and Adam, making it broadly applicable.
- **Robustness to Hyperparameters:** The method can reduce the sensitivity of training performance to the choice of learning rate, making hyperparameter tuning less arduous.

### 3.3 Limitations

- **Additional Computational Cost:** Introducing perturbations typically requires additional calculations per training step, potentially increasing the overall training time, especially for very large models.
- **Sensitivity to Perturbation Magnitude:** The effectiveness of the method is sensitive to the choice of perturbation magnitude. An improperly chosen magnitude can either be ineffective or destabilize the training process. This introduces a new hyperparameter that needs careful tuning.

## 4. Ablation-Based Code Generation

### 4.1 Overview

Ablation studies are a cornerstone of empirical research in machine learning, aiming to evaluate the importance and contribution of individual layers, modules, or features within a neural architecture. Traditionally, these experiments often involve significant manual effort to modify code, reconfigure models, and manage experimental setups. The code-generation system proposed by Rakimbekulu et al. automates this

laborious process. It works by generating specific code snippets or configuration files that systematically disable or replace target components, thereby significantly reducing manual errors and accelerating experimental cycles.

## 4.2 Key Contributions

- **Automatic Generation of Ablation Configurations:** The system can automatically create multiple experimental setups, each designed to ablate a different component, based on high-level specifications.
- **Enhanced Reproducibility:** By automating code modifications, the system ensures consistency across experiments, making ablation studies more reproducible and less prone to human error.
- **Reduced Developer Workload:** Researchers can focus on interpreting results rather than spending time on manual code adjustments, significantly speeding up the research pipeline.
- **Systematic Evaluation:** It allows for a more systematic and exhaustive exploration of component contributions, which might be impractical with manual methods.

## 4.3 Limitations

- **Integration with Existing ML Frameworks:** The effectiveness and ease of use are highly dependent on seamless integration with the specific machine learning frameworks (e.g., TensorFlow, PyTorch) used by researchers.
- **Dependency on Code-Generation Templates:** The quality and flexibility of the automatically generated code are tied to the robustness and completeness of the underlying code-generation templates. Poorly designed templates can lead to incorrect experiments or limit the types of ablations possible.
- **Complexity for Novel Architectures:** For highly novel or unconventional model architectures, creating appropriate templates for ablation might still require significant initial manual effort.

## 5. Comparative Discussion

Optimization techniques, particularly those involving perturbed equations, primarily target the **training stage** of machine learning systems, aiming to improve efficiency, stability, and convergence. In contrast, ablation techniques, especially when enhanced by code generation, are focused on the **analysis stage**, enhancing model interpretability and understanding post-training.

While distinct in their primary objectives, both approaches significantly contribute to making ML models more reliable and understandable, addressing two different yet equally critical research challenges.

Feature / Technique	Optimization (Perturbed Equations)	Ablation (Code Generation)
<b>Primary Goal</b>	Improve training efficiency, stability, and convergence	Enhance model interpretability, component understanding
<b>Stage of ML</b>	Training Phase	Analysis/Evaluation Phase (post-training)
<b>Workflow</b>		
<b>Key Benefit</b>	Robustness to hyperparameters, faster convergence, better minima	Automated experimentation, reduced errors, systematic analysis
<b>Main Challenge</b>	Computational overhead, tuning perturbation magnitude	Integration with frameworks, quality of generation templates
<b>Impact on Model</b>	Improves <i>how</i> the model learns and its final performance	Explains <i>what</i> the model has learned and <i>how</i> it functions

<b>Potential</b>	A robustly optimized model	Ablation studies can reveal
<b>Synergy</b>	provides a stable baseline for ablation studies.	components whose learning could be further optimized.

The provided image visually summarizes the distinct focus areas of optimization and ablation, yet highlights their combined contribution towards robust and interpretable AI. Optimization ensures a well-trained, stable model, which then serves as a reliable foundation for ablation studies to dissect its internal workings. In essence, while optimization focuses on "**how well**" a model learns, ablation focuses on "**why**" it performs in a certain way. This synergy is crucial for developing trustworthy and deployable AI systems.

## 6. Conclusion

Both optimization through perturbed equations and automated ablation code generation represent essential advancements in modern machine learning research. Optimization techniques enhance the performance and stability during the crucial training phase, leading to more robust and efficient model development. Simultaneously, automated ablation code generation provides a systematic, reproducible, and less error-prone way to interpret model behavior, offering invaluable insights into the contributions of individual architectural components.

Together, these techniques contribute significantly to the broader goal of creating more efficient, transparent, and reliable ML pipelines. Future research could explore hybrid methodologies that integrate these approaches, for instance, by using optimization techniques to refine the learning processes of critical components identified through ablation studies. Furthermore, investigating how perturbed equations could be adapted for more interpretable "noisy" training, or how ablation

systems could dynamically adapt to the specifics of optimized models, presents exciting avenues for future work towards truly robust and interpretable artificial intelligence.

## **References**

E. Usupova and A. Khan, "Optimizing ML Training with Perturbed Equations," 2025 6th International Conference on Problems of Cybernetics and Informatics (PCI), Baku, Azerbaijan, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/PCI66488.2025.11219819.

S. Rakimbekulu, K. Shambetaliev, G. Esenalieva, and A. Khan, "Code Generation for Ablation Technique," 2024 IEEE East-West Design & Test Symposium (EWDTS), Yerevan, Armenia, 2024, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/EWDTS63723.2024.10873640.