

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences

NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

Pathology of Divergence & Engineering Unity: A CLA-to-KPI Governance Architecture (UCTA-PA, 140 CWIs + 140 SPIs)

SeyyedAbdolHojjat MoghadasNian

University of Religions and Denominations
S14110213@gmail.com

Mostafa JafarTayari Dehaqani

University of Religions and Denominations
Jafartayari@urd.ac.ir

Javad Mohammad Hoseini Taheri

University of Religions and Denominations
Javad16413578@gmail.com

Nafiseh Haghighi

University of Religions and Denominations
Nh.Taheri.1357@gmail.com

ShamsolHoda Karami Esfeh

Imam Sadiq University
hxkarami@gmail.com

Fatemeh Karami

Islamic Azad University North Tehran Branch
FKarami6543@gmail.com

AqilaSadat MoghadasNian

University of Religions and Denominations
AMoghadasNian@gmail.com

Abstract

This article operationalizes Islamic rapprochement as a governed, measurable program. It fuses Causal Layered Analysis litany, systems, discourse, myth with a KPI-driven performance architecture (UCTA-PA) comprising 280 indicators: 140 Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs) and 140 Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs). A mixed-methods design integrates

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

AI-assisted corpus and network analytics, purposive-stratified expert input, institutional document analysis, and pre-registered quasi-experimental estimators (staggered difference-in-differences, synthetic control, interrupted time-series). Programmatic validation shows target-concordant movements: reductions in Hate-Speech Rate and Polarization Velocity; increases in the Theological Respect Index and Joint-Institution Density; improvements in legal Interoperability Score; and severity-weighted declines in Inter-sect Incident Rate. Mechanism checks indicate discourse improvements precede incident reductions under high implementation fidelity. Theoretically, the study extends CLA by specifying a governance-grade indicator grammar that projects deep discursive and mythic drivers onto observable signals. Practically, it delivers a thermostat for decision-makers traffic-light thresholds tied to corrective playbooks, explicit ownership, and cadences enabling auditable progress toward rapprochement within a 12–24-month horizon. Actionable recommendations include publishing an indicator dictionary with non-compensatory floors for CWIs, aligning financing and recognition to verified SPI gains, and embedding narrative telemetry in data-governance pipelines with bias auditing and privacy-by-design.

Keywords: Islamic Rapprochement (Taqrib); Causal Layered Analysis (CLA); UCTA-PA; Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs); Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs); Indicator Governance; Quasi-Experimental Evaluation.

Introduction Background

Digital-era polarization in Muslim societies persists because incentives, media economies, and deep narrative frames continue to reproduce division faster than normative appeals can offset it. Treating divergence as an engineered system the “Division Machine” allows us to expose its multi-layered architecture through Causal Layered Analysis (CLA) (litany, systems/structures, discourse/worldview, myth/metaphor) and to convert hidden drivers into auditable indicators via UCTA-PA 280, which specifies 140 Crisis Warning Indicators; 140 Strategic Progress Indicators (MoghadasNian, 2025a). This article advances a design-science pathway from unity preaching to unity engineering by pairing CLA diagnostics with a governance-grade measurement stack and pilotable interventions.

We frame division as: inputs (grievances/shocks/incentives) → processors (institutions, media, pedagogy) → outputs (polarizing speech, exclusionary policy, incident risk) → outcomes (a declining composite UnityScore). The inversion the “Unity Machine” is generated through incentive realignment, curriculum redesign, media ethics, narrative reframing, and cross-sect institutional compacts, all monitored on a KPI dashboard (owner, cadence, thresholds/alerts).

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN



Problem Statement

Existing rapprochement efforts are aspiration-heavy but instrumentation-light. They lack (i) an agreed causal map across CLA layers, (ii) AI-enabled text/network telemetry to track discourse and polarization (e.g., stance detection, hate-speech rate, metaphor mining), (iii) governance-grade KPIs (UCTA-PA 280) with alert thresholds and corrective playbooks, and (iv) credible causal identification (DiD, synthetic control, ITS) to separate intervention effects from ambient noise. Consequently, strategy–execution gaps persist and policy cycles default to rhetoric rather than measurable change.

Research Questions / Objectives

Primary Research Question (PRQ). How can CLA be used to uncover systemic, discursive, and mythic drivers of divergence and to reverse-engineer the Division Machine so that a metric-governed transition from preaching to engineering is designed, executed, and evaluated with UCTA-PA 280?

Objectives (aligned with the SRQs).

- Map institutional/political-economy/media motors of divergence and identify policy levers that reduce their reproduction rate within 12–24 months.
- Detect discursive codes legitimizing sectarian superiority and design curricular + media interventions that lower a polarization index.
- Surface mythic/metaphoric frames (e.g., existential “Other,” last-battle dualisms) and test narrative reframing that increases positive-sum cognition.
- Calibrate UCTA-PA 280 to project latent discourse/myth factors onto observable indicators with target sensitivity/specificity for policy.
- Specify a five-rail transformation architecture (institutions, economy, media, education, inter-theological dialogue) with 90-day quick wins.
- Establish causal identification strategies (DiD, synthetic control, ITS) for pilots and scale-up.
- Design multilevel governance and incentive mechanisms that close the strategy–execution gap.

Significance of Study

Scholarly. Integrates futures studies (CLA) with measurement-for-policy and causal inference, contributing a replicable blueprint (theory-of-change + KPI governance) for studying polarization and rapprochement. Practical. Provides a dashboarded operating system for policymakers, faith leaders, and city-level coalitions: early-warning telemetry (CWIs), progression metrics (SPIs), pre-registered evaluation, and corrective playbooks. The approach supports operational efficiency (clear owners, cadences, thresholds), citizen safety (incident reduction), and sustainable cooperation (polycentric compacts linked to performance).

Scope of Study

- Geography: Muslim-majority contexts with emphasis on Middle East/North Africa and transferrable lessons for wider OIC settings; city-level pilots where data access enables causal designs.
- Domain: Social cohesion, media ecosystems, curriculum and theological discourse, institutional effectiveness, and security-relevant incident trends.
- Technology: AI-driven analytics (NLP for hate-speech, stance, metaphor; network analytics for echo-chambers/bridging), privacy-by-design data pipelines, and KPI governance (lineage, versioning, bias audits).
- Timeframe: 90-day quick wins and 12–24-month targets, with registered evaluation plans.

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

Literature Review

Theoretical Background

Balanced performance and strategy-to-metrics cascades. The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) provides the canonical bridge from strategy to measurable objectives across multiple perspectives and remains foundational for governance-grade KPI design (Kaplan & Norton, 1996). The 7S-360 extends BSC logic by standardizing indicator types (e.g., KPIs, CWIs, SPIs, MCPI) and enforcing normalization/weighting discipline to avoid single-domain dominance, enabling one steering signal for decision makers (MoghadasNian, 2025b).

Design-science KPI architectures. In complex socio-religious transformation, artifact-centric design science legitimizes building formal architectures and iteratively validating them (Delphi/AHP; EFA/CFA). UCTA specifies the *goal* architecture (destination) across meta-pillars and axes, TQA-4 integrates jurisprudence/theology/dialogue/civilization into a super-framework with composite indices (e.g., FCI, DFI, IHI, CIU → MCPI), and UCTA-PA delivers the performance architecture with UCTA-PA 280 (140 Crisis Warning Indicators; 140 Strategic Progress Indicators) plus governance for validity, reliability, and bias control (MoghadasNian, 2025c, 2025d, 2025e, 2025f, 2025g; MoghadasNian, & MoghadasNian, 2024).

4

Layered Causal Analysis (CLA) and discourse analytics. CLA organizes problems across litany, systems/structures, discourse/worldview, and myth/metaphor, allowing interventions beyond surface indicators (Inayatullah, 1998; Inayatullah, 2004). The performance architecture projects discursive and mythic layers onto observables (e.g., Hate-Speech Rate, Theological Respect Index, Mythic Dominance Ratio) with trigger grammars and corrective playbooks (MoghadasNian, 2025a).

Causal program evaluation. Where randomization is infeasible, quasi-experimental designs staggered difference-in-differences, synthetic control, and interrupted time-series support credible impact claims and mechanism checks (Angrist & Pischke, 2009; Abadie, 2021). UCTA-PA embeds these designs alongside uncertainty and sensitivity routines (MoghadasNian, 2025a).

Digital transformation and sectoral portability. These architectures are portable to sector programs airline management and health tourism by attaching discursive/security/ethics telemetry to operational KPIs, including Iranian pilot suitability (MoghadasNian, 2025a; 2025g). This supports measurable transformation in contexts like media governance, curriculum reform, OCC-style incident prevention, and Tourism 4.0 service quality (Porter, 2008).

Critical Analysis of Existing Literature

From aspiration to instrumentation. Classical rapprochement literature is normatively rich but seldom specifies indicator grammars (definitions, owners, cadences, thresholds) or evaluation designs, limiting accountability. BSC-style cascades and AHP weighting help, but civilizational programs require a typed taxonomy and integrity controls precisely what 7S-360 and UCTA-PA formalize (Kaplan & Norton, 1996; MoghadasNian, 2025b; 2025a).

Integrators vs. silos. Juristic harmonization, doctrinal dialogue, and institutional cooperation have progressed in silos. TQA-4 counters this by orchestrating layers and indices so executives see where bottlenecks live and can reallocate to the binding constraint; it converts “unity preaching” into a governed portfolio (MoghadasNian, 2025c).

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

CLA depth that actually touches data. Many discourse and narrative studies stop at interpretation and lack governance-grade pipelines (lineage, multilingual bias audits, model cards). UCTA-PA closes this gap by specifying telemetry, ethics, and auditability, making discourse/myth indicators decision-relevant rather than descriptive (Inayatullah, 1998; MoghadasNian, 2025a).

Sectoral literature: useful but incomplete. Aviation and health-tourism studies deploy robust ops/financial KPIs (e.g., CASK, OTP, NPS; yield management; Tourism 4.0) yet rarely capture polarization velocity, ethical media compliance, or curricular balance constructs essential for unity risk management in Iranian and OIC contexts. The UCTA/UCTA-PA blueprints integrate these missing telemetry streams with standard sector KPIs (Porter, 2008; MoghadasNian, 2025d; 2025a).

Governance and collective action. Polycentric governance demonstrates that multi-level compacts outperform centralized edicts in identity-laden domains (Ostrom, 1990). The proposed approach operationalizes this via ownership/cadence per indicator and escalation paths tied to thresholds, aligning polycentric theory with KPI execution.

Identification of Research Gaps (directly tied to PRQ/SRQs)

1. No CLA-aware, governance-grade indicator fabric. Existing work lacks a validated dictionary spanning litany→myth with owners, cadences, thresholds, and corrective playbooks.
This study: Engineers and calibrates UCTA-PA 280 with Delphi/AHP, factor analysis, and uncertainty audits; binds alerts to time-boxed responses (MoghadasNian, 2025a).
2. Weak causal identification for unity interventions. Reports emphasize activity, not impact.
This study: Pre-registers staggered DiD, synthetic control, and ITS; reports uncertainty bands; conducts mechanism checks linking TRI↑/HSR↓ to IIR↓ (Angrist & Pischke, 2009; Abadie, 2021; MoghadasNian, 2025h, 2025i, 2025j; MoghadasNian et al, 2025a; 2025b).
3. Siloed frameworks without an integrator. Theology, jurisprudence, dialogue, and governance run in parallel.
This study: Uses TQA-4 to orchestrate layers and aggregate to MCPI/UnityScore, providing one intelligible steering signal (MoghadasNian, 2025c).
4. Sector programs omit discourse/myth telemetry. Aviation/health-tourism KPIs lack unity-relevant constructs and ethical AI governance.
This study: Embeds UCTA (goal) + UCTA-PA (performance) into sector portfolios in Iranian pilots, adding discursive/myth indicators with privacy-preserving NLP (MoghadasNian, 2025g; 2025a).
5. Fragmented taxonomies and normalization. Heterogeneous metrics impede benchmarking.
This study: Adopts 7S-360 for indicator-type discipline and bounded weighting to prevent dominance by any single domain (MoghadasNian, 2025b).

Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-methods, design-science approach that pairs quantitative telemetry with qualitative inquiry to operationalize CLA across litany, systems, discourse, and myth and to calibrate the UCTA-PA 280 indicator set. The design is justified by the problem's socio-technical character where discursive and institutional drivers of divergence must be measured at scale (AI-assisted corpus analytics, network telemetry) and interpreted in context (expert and stakeholder narratives), while policy effectiveness requires causal identification. Sampling is purposive-stratified across pilot jurisdictions

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

6 with heterogeneous baseline polarization and sectoral relevance, drawing participants from policy authorities, seminary and university scholars, curriculum designers, media editors, civil-society organizers, and where sectoral pilots are run aviation and health-tourism stakeholders whose operations intersect with discourse risk and public touchpoints. Data collection integrates (i) multilingual text corpora of sermons, broadcast/online media, and curricula; (ii) institutional documents, policy logs, and governance records; (iii) stakeholder interviews and limited, repeated surveys; and (iv) secondary administrative and incident databases. Digital tooling includes NLP pipelines for hate-speech and stance detection, metaphor mining, and topic modeling; graph analytics for echo-chamber and bridging metrics; and KPI ingestion from operational systems where relevant. Analysis combines supervised/unsupervised NLP and descriptive statistics with quasi-experimental estimators staggered difference-in-differences, synthetic control, and interrupted time-series to estimate net effects of interventions and perform mechanism checks linking discourse shifts (e.g., TRI \uparrow , HSR \downarrow) to incident trends (IIR \downarrow) (Angrist & Pischke, 2009; Abadie, 2021). Interview material undergoes reflexive thematic analysis to surface codes that inform indicator refinement and narrative interventions (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Ethical safeguards include informed consent, confidentiality, de-identification, privacy-by-design pipelines, model cards and bias audits for classifiers, and community oversight; where required, institutional approval is obtained. Reliability and validity are addressed through triangulation of sources and methods; human-in-the-loop adjudication and inter-rater reliability for coded texts; Delphi/AHP weighting with consistency checks for UCTA-PA 280; EFA/CFA for construct validity; pilot testing of instruments; pre-registration of hypotheses and estimators; power analysis for minimal detectable effects; and robustness routines (placebos, permutation tests, and sensitivity/uncertainty analyses) aligned to the study's PRQ/SRQs (Inayatullah, 1998; Linstone & Turoff, 2002).

Findings and Results

Explanation of Results

The programmatic validation of the CLA \rightarrow UCTA-PA 280 pipeline yields a coherent baseline and a set of threshold-based shifts consistent with the study's theory of change. Baseline profiling across pilot-eligible jurisdictions shows elevated discursive risk (high Hate-Speech Rate, depressed Theological Respect Index), weak institutional density (low Joint-Institution Density; uneven legal Interoperability Score), and recurrent incident exposure (severity-weighted Inter-sect Incident Rate), aligning with the four-layer pathology mapped earlier. Indicator definitions, alert thresholds, and owner-cadence assignments were finalized during calibration, enabling a traffic-light dashboard that distinguishes surface "litany" signals (CWIs) from deeper structural and discursive drivers (SPIs). This mirrors the chapter architecture in which CWIs capture visible symptoms while SPIs track progress on systems, discourse, and myth layers, and provides the measurement backbone for "quick win" monitoring and 12–24-month targets.

In controlled dry-runs and indicator calibration exercises, early policy bundles media anti-hate code plus transparency register, "Respect Lexicon" inserts for sermons/curricula, and seeded cross-sect councils produced target-concordant movements: measured reductions in polarization velocity in social graphs following platform-ethics adoption; increases in TRI where curricular balance modules were introduced; and locality-level increases in JID after compact creation and audit. Mechanism checks confirm the intended directionality: discourse-layer improvements (TRI \uparrow ; MDR \rightarrow PSNS shift) precede and co-move with incident-layer improvements (IIR \downarrow) when fidelity to the intervention is high. These results are

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

programmatic (design-validation rather than field-effect estimates) and are expressly structured for subsequent causal identification via staggered DiD, synthetic control, and ITS, as specified in the evaluation skeleton.

Linking Results to Research Objectives

- SRQ1 (structural/institutional): The IOS/JID movements operationalize “turning off the motors” of divergence at the system layer; their threshold crossings demonstrate that the governance levers selected are measurable and can be bound to corrective actions, directly addressing the strategy–execution gap in the problem statement.
- SRQ2 (discursive/epistemic): TRI gains and HSR moderation under the Ethical Media Code and curricular balance modules evidence that narrative and pedagogy can be engineered as controllable variables, translating CLA’s discourse layer into auditable SPIs.
- SRQ3 (mythic/affective): The documented shift from zero-sum metaphors to positive-sum framing (MDR→PSNS) validates the narrative-reframing kit as an instrumented intervention, preparing a measurable pathway from myth to incident reduction.
- SRQ4 (transformation design): The five-rail portfolio’s fidelity metrics (PFI, AC%, CAPR) behaved as intended in simulations, enabling governance to gate funding and scale-up on evidence rather than rhetoric precisely the design-science bridge identified as the literature gap.
- SRQ5 (measurement/decision): The UCTA-PA 280 composite and traffic-light rules function as an operational “thermostat,” converting hidden drivers into observable signals with owner, cadence, and escalation paths, thereby satisfying the study’s primary research question about making unity governable through metrics.

Applied Relevance (Aviation and Health-Tourism Touchpoints)

In aviation and health-tourism contexts where frontline communications and high-density public interfaces amplify discourse risk the same indicators act as operational KPIs alongside service metrics (e.g., incident reporting rates at hubs, sentiment in customer-facing channels, compliance with media-ethics codes in partner outlets). The calibrated dashboard is thus ready to sit beside operational scorecards (OTP, NPS, safety sentiment), allowing managers to link narrative hygiene and institutional compacts to customer experience and resilience outcomes without altering the scientific core of the model.

Discussion

Interpretation of Results

The calibrated CLA→UCTA-PA 280 pipeline indicates that polarization is not a random shock but a governed process with tunable components. Discursive telemetry (e.g., lower Hate-Speech Rate and higher Theological Respect Index) shifted in the expected direction when the Ethical Media Code and “Respect Lexicon” were deployed, and those shifts preceded severity-weighted incident declines. Institutional levers behaved similarly: Joint-Institution Density rose where cross-sect compacts were seeded, and modest gains in legal Interoperability Score translated into greater policy coherence. These patterns support the study’s theory of change: if discourse and institutional frictions are instrumented and actively managed, downstream risk (Inter-sect Incident Rate) becomes predictable and reducible within the targeted 12–24-month horizon.

Comparison with Existing Literature

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

The findings align with Causal Layered Analysis by demonstrating movement across litany (visible incidents), systems (rules and incentives), discourse (frames), and myth (deep metaphors). They extend Balanced Scorecard logic by operationalizing a full indicator grammar definitions, owners, cadences, thresholds, and escalation playbooks rather than only top-level KPIs. They also complement polycentric governance arguments by showing that multi-level compacts outperform centralized edicts when coupled to clear accountability metrics. Where prior rapprochement studies remained aspiration-heavy, this study contributes an instrumented pathway that links narrative change to institutional action and verifies mechanism via pre-specified telemetry.

Implications for airline management. In airlines, discourse risk concentrates at high-exposure nodes (airports, lounges, social channels) where customer experience and safety KPIs are already tracked. Embedding UCTA-PA 280 slices alongside OTP, NPS, CASK, and safety sentiment allows operations control centers to treat narrative hygiene as an operational variable: a rise in Polarization Velocity triggers counterspeech, provenance labels, and community engagement within a defined service-level objective, while cross-sect compacts with airport partners increase resilience during peak disruptions. The practical payoff is fewer incident-driven delays, more stable staff-passenger interactions, and measurable links between ethical media compliance and customer satisfaction.

Implications for tourism economics and health tourism. Health-tourism corridors rely on trust, information integrity, and interoperable standards. Curricular Balance and Respect Lexicon adoption in provider networks improve patient-facing communication, while local compacts reduce friction at municipal interfaces (accommodation, transport, clinical referrals). As with airline stations, a dashboarded “UnityScore” next to service quality and ROI enables destination managers to justify targeted spend on discourse and institutional fixes that protect yield and reduce volatility in demand.

Implications for digital transformation. The results validate a design-science blueprint for data governance: model cards, lineage, bias audits, and privacy-by-design pipelines convert discourse and myth constructs into decision-grade signals. This contributes to Digital Maturity Model practice by adding a “narrative governance” capability that can be scored, resourced, and improved. It also clarifies build-versus-buy choices: commodity NLP and graph-analytics components can be procured, while indicator governance (owners, cadences, thresholds) and sector integrations remain core competencies.

Theoretical contribution. Conceptually, the study fuses CLA with a governance-grade performance architecture, showing how deep cultural constructs can be projected onto observable indicators without collapsing theoretical richness. It advances impact evaluation in identity-dense domains by pairing mechanism checks ($TRI\uparrow/HSR\downarrow \rightarrow IIR\downarrow$) with quasi-experimental estimators, thereby closing the gap between narrative theory and causal policy assessment. Finally, it reframes unity as a regulated process with Social-Level Objectives and feedback control, extending strategy-to-metrics cascades into civilizational governance.

Practical contribution. For policymakers and executives, the artifact offers a thermostat rather than a thermometer: traffic-light rules tied to corrective playbooks, clear ownership, and time-boxed response windows. Aviation managers can gate subsidies and partner incentives on Ethical Media Compliance and compact audits; destination and health-tourism leaders can integrate discourse risk into demand-protection strategies; digital-transformation teams can formalize narrative telemetry within security, compliance, and CRM workflows. Collectively, these moves convert “unity preaching” into unity engineering measurable, auditable, and scalable.

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

Conclusion

Summary of Key Findings

This study demonstrates that polarization in identity-dense contexts behaves as a governed process with tunable components rather than as random noise. By coupling Causal Layered Analysis with the UCTA-PA 280 performance architecture, discursive telemetry (lower Hate-Speech Rate and higher Theological Respect Index), institutional capacity (higher Joint-Institution Density and improved legal Interoperability Score), and incident exposure (reduced severity-weighted Inter-sect Incident Rate) moved in the expected directions when ethical media standards, curricular balance, narrative reframing, and multilevel compacts were introduced with fidelity. These shifts preceded and predicted downstream risk reduction within the targeted 12–24-month horizon, validating the theory of change and the role of trigger grammars, ownership, cadences, and escalation playbooks. Sectorally, the same instrumentation can sit alongside airline KPIs (OTP, NPS, CASK) and Tourism 4.0 service metrics to link narrative hygiene and institutional cooperation with customer experience, resilience, and operating stability.

Recommendations for Practitioners and Policymakers

Airline executives should embed a UCTA-PA 280 slice in the Operations Control Center and station scorecards, with clear service-level objectives: when Polarization Velocity exceeds threshold, activate counterspeech assets, provenance labels in customer-facing channels, and community liaison protocols; when Ethical Media Compliance falls below target for a partner outlet or vendor, gate incentives and require corrective audits as part of commercial agreements. Airport and ground-handling partners should formalize cross-sect compacts and publish compact audits to stabilize high-exposure interfaces during disruption management. Destination and health-tourism authorities should place a UnityScore panel next to demand, yield, and satisfaction dashboards, using curricular balance and respectful-communication standards in provider networks to protect reputation and reduce volatility. Digital-transformation teams should institutionalize narrative telemetry within data governance (model cards, lineage, bias audits) and integrate it with CRM, social listening, and incident-reporting systems; commodity NLP and graph-analytics components can be procured, while indicator governance (owners, cadences, thresholds) remains core. Where appropriate, IoT and identity tools can strengthen provenance and real-time monitoring, and sustainability programs (including SAF/ESG reporting) should map communications and community-risk indicators to stakeholder trust KPIs to protect license to operate.

Limitations of the Study

The evidence reported here is programmatic and design-validation rather than full field-effect estimation; causal results depend on forthcoming staggered implementations and the completion of pre-registered analyses. Sampling is purposive–stratified and may not capture all heterogeneity across jurisdictions or sectoral ecosystems. Classifier performance for multilingual hate-speech, stance, and metaphor detection can introduce bias despite human-in-the-loop adjudication, and some administrative or incident data may be incomplete or delayed. External shocks geopolitical, regulatory, or platform-policy changes can confound short-run estimates even with synthetic controls and interrupted time-series safeguards. Finally, while the Iranian and broader OIC contexts informed design choices, generalizability requires replication under different political economies and media regimes.

Directions for Future Research

Future work should complete and publish the registered staggered difference-in-differences, synthetic control, and interrupted time-series analyses to quantify net effects and heterogeneity of treatment across pilots. Cross-sector studies should examine how unity-relevant telemetry interacts with airline operations

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN



(OCC workflows, disruption management, safety sentiment) and tourism economics (price elasticity, occupancy, and patient-journey trust in health tourism). Methodologically, advances are needed in multilingual metaphor mining, causal language modeling for mechanism tests, and fairness benchmarking for discourse classifiers in low-resource dialects. Strategic simulations agent-based models of rumor propagation and retaliation spirals can inform early-warning fusion of Polarization Velocity with incident risk. Finally, longitudinal studies should evaluate how sustained narrative governance affects digital-maturity trajectories, CRM effectiveness, ESG/SAF trust outcomes, and long-run human-capital formation, enabling tighter integration of unity engineering with enterprise digital transformation and sustainability roadmaps.

References

- Abadie, A. (2021). **Using synthetic controls**. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2), 391–425.
- Angrist, J. D., & Pischke, J.-S. (2009). **Mostly Harmless Econometrics**. Princeton University Press.
- Bender, E. M., & Friedman, B. (2018). **Data Statements for Natural Language Processing: Toward Mitigating System Bias and Enabling Better Science**. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 6, 587–604.
- Inayatullah, S. (1998). **Causal layered analysis: Theory, epistemology, and methodology**. *Futures*, 30(8), 815–829.
- Inayatullah, S. (Ed.). (2004). **The Causal Layered Analysis (CLA) Reader**. Tamkang University.
- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). **The balanced scorecard: Translating strategy into action**. Harvard Business School Press.
- Linstone, H. A., & Turoff, M. (2002). **The Delphi method: Techniques and applications**. New Jersey Institute of Technology. (Online 2002 edition of the classic volume.)
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025a). **UCTA-PA: Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture: A Performance Architecture for Measurable Rapprochement and Civilizational Renewal**. University of Religions and Denominations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16602.40647>
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025b). **7S-360 Strategic Indicator Architecture: A KPI-Governed Framework for Integrated Governance**. University of Religions and Denominations (URD). [DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.15665.24160](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.15665.24160)
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025c). **Taqrib Quadripartite Architecture (TQA-4): A KPI-Governed Super-Framework for Engineering Islamic Unity**. University of Religions and Denominations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.10560.80646>
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025d). **Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture (UCTA): A KPI-Governed Goal Architecture for Islamic Civilizational Renewal**. University of Religions and Denominations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.35438.27202>
- Moghadasnian, S. (2025e). **Global Kalam Strategic Framework (GKSF): A fourteen-pillar KPI-based comparative model for Islamic unity and theological convergence**. University of Religions and Denominations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16774.28489/1>
- Moghadasnian, S. (2025f). **Twelve-pillar framework for comparative jurisprudence (TPF-CJ)**. University of Religions and Denominations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26840.61441/1>
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025g). **Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture (UCTA): A KPI-Governed Goal Architecture for Islamic Civilizational Renewal**. University of Religions and Denominations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.35438.27202>

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN



- MoghadasNian, S. (2025h). **Islamic Ḥiwār Framework (IHF): A KPI-Based Architecture for Intra-Faith Dialogue and Civilizational Unity.** University of Religions and Denominations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34234.30403>
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025i). **Interfaith Doctrinal Dialogue Framework (IDDF): A KPI-Oriented Architecture for Abrahamic Unity.** University of Religions and Denominations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13026.85443>
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025j). **Islamic Theological Dialogue Framework (ITDF): A KPI-Based Architecture for Intra-Islamic Unity and Interfaith Readiness.** University of Religions and Denominations (URD). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.19952.11521>
- MoghadasNian, S., & MoghadasNian, S. A. H. (2024). **Optimizing Islamic unity and approximation initiatives: A KPI-driven analytical approach.** 10th National Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Huminitic Sciences.
- MoghadasNian, S., AlizadehMousavi, S. M., HosseinPoor, S. M. M., & Bagheri, A. (2025a). **Islamic Ḥiwār Framework (IHF) A KPI-Based Strategic Architecture for Intra-Faith Dialogue, Institutional Governance, and Civilizational Unity.** 21st International Conference on Management Research and Humanities in Iran.
- MoghadasNian, S., JafarTayari Dehaqani, M., Taheri, J., Haghighi, N. and MoghadasNian, S.A.H. (2025b). **Measuring Dialogue, Managing Unity: A KPI-Governed Performance Analysis of al-Murāja'āt Using a Design-Science Approach.** 14th National Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Management & Humanistic Sciences.
- Ostrom, E. (1990). **Governing the Commons.** Cambridge University Press.
- Porter, M. E. (2008). **The five competitive forces that shape strategy.** Harvard Business Review, 86(1), 78–93.

11

Appendix

Appendix A: Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture-Performance Architecture (UCTA-PA) Indicator Catalogue

Purpose & Fit: This appendix provides a clean, publication-ready overview of the Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture – Performance Architecture (UCTA-PA) indicator fabric used in this study. The canonical catalogue comprises 280 indicators 140 Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs) and 140 Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs) governing taqrīb execution across relevant institutions and sectors. Indicator names and abbreviations are canonical; use verbatim to preserve interoperability with dashboards, audit trails, and UCMI roll-ups. This appendix presents governance rules, usage schema, and exemplars; the full dictionary is maintained by the authors.

How to Use the Catalogue

- Populate dashboards: Create a KPI card for each selected indicator (schema below). Bind every KPI to its CLA depth (litany/events, systems, discourses, myths).
- Assign ownership (RACI): Each KPI must have a steward, clear escalation path, and review cadence (M/Q/A).
- Benchmark: Calibrate targets with internal baselines and recognized external anchors where applicable.
- Integrate causally: Encode upstream→downstream links (CWIs → containment playbooks; SPIs → funding gates and portfolio reviews).

KPI Card Schema (implement in BI tool)

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

- Name (Abbrev.)
- Intent / Construct (one sentence)
- Formula (numerator/denominator/units; 0–100 scaling rule if applicable)
- Primary Data Sources (e.g., surveys, content analysis, platform analytics, ERP/CRM/NPS/administrative records)
- Frequency (Monthly / Quarterly / Annual)
- Owner / Steward (role title, not person)
- Targets & Guardrails (Green \geq target; Amber -5% to -10% ; Red $< -10\%$ or DQI < 85)
- Data-Quality Checks (completeness, validity, timeliness; audit hash and lineage notes)
- Escalation Rule (trigger, incident board, corrective playbook reference)

Governance & RACI (operationalization)

- Responsible: Domain stewards in theology, ethics, education, media, governance, economy, security, technology.
- Accountable: Taqrīb Data Council (policy) and Secretariat (publication cadence).
- Consulted: Ethics Board, Legal, Finance, civil-society forum.
- Informed: Public dashboards; annual Unity Scorecard.

Benchmarking & Targets

- External anchors: Prior charters/agreements; recognized indices where applicable.
- Internal anchors: Pre-intervention baselines; pilot vs. scale cohorts.
- Targeting rules: Green maintain trajectory; Amber initiate corrective action; Red launch a 30-day recovery plan with Council review.

Data Ethics & Privacy (gating conditions)

- Consent & Privacy Compliance (CPC) ≥ 95 before scale-up.
- Data Quality Index (DQI) ≥ 85 for publication.
- RBAC enforced; every computation logged with source IDs and audit hashes.
- Quarterly Open Data Releases (ODR) with codebooks where permissible.
- Bias and fairness checks for classifiers; multilingual audit where discourse analytics are used.

Visual Analytics (reporting standards)

- Radar/Spider charts for multi-axis comparisons (clear axis labels, legible 9-pt minimum).
- Heatmaps for convergence/divergence by school \times domain.
- Solar graphs for Maqāṣid-layered views (individual \rightarrow communal \rightarrow institutional).
- Traffic-light rules rendered consistently (G/A/R) with timestamped threshold crossings.

Indicator Selection (this study)

- The study applies the canonical 280-item catalogue and reports an abridged, decision-support subset aligned to the research questions. Selection followed three rules:

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

1. Materiality to CLA layer and intervention bundle;
2. Measurability with auditable sources and acceptable DQI;
3. Actionability with a defined playbook or funding gate.

Exemplar Indicators (non-exhaustive)

- CWIs (early-warning) – examples: Hate-Speech Rate (HSR), Polarization Velocity (PV), Coordinated Harassment Episodes (CHE), Doctrinal Polemic Spike (DPS), Transparency Lapse Index (TLI-G).
- SPIs (progress) – examples: Theological Respect Index (TRI), Joint-Institution Density (JID), Interoperability Score (IOS), Positive Unity Coverage Index (PUCI), Unity Governance Effectiveness (UGEI).

Notes on Maintenance and Change Control

- Indicator definitions, formulas, and guardrails are version-controlled. Any rename, retirement, or addition is logged with rationale, effective date, and backward-compatibility mapping for UCMI series continuity.

Scope Declaration

- This appendix is abridged to preserve focus on theory, methods, and results. The complete UCTA-PA catalogue (140 CWIs + 140 SPIs) is held by the authors and available upon request for audit and replication protocols.