

Measuring Unity: A KPI-Governed Performance Architecture (UCTA-PA) for Civilizational Rapprochement and Governance- Grade Benchmarking

SeyyedAbdolHojjat MoghadasNian

University of Religions and Denominations
S14110213@gmail.com

Mahdi Farmanian

University of Religions and Denominations
Farmanian@urd.ac.ir

SeyyedMehdi AlizadehMousavi

University of Religions and Denominations
SMA.Moosavi@urd.ac.ir

SeyyedMohammadMahdi HosseinPoor

University of Religions and Denominations
SMM.HosseinPoor@urd.ac.ir

Akbar Bagheri

University of Religions and Denominations
A.Bagheri@urd.ac.ir

Abstract

This study operationalizes the Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture as a governance-grade performance system (UCTA-PA) that translates theological and institutional constructs into auditable key performance indicators. The artifact comprises 280 indicators: 140 Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs) and 140 Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs) tagged to Layered Causal Analysis (events, systems, discourses, myths) and rolled into a transparent Unity Civilizational Maturity Index (UCMI). A mixed-methods, design-science approach was used: expert interviews and Delphi panels elicited constructs, thresholds, and ownership; structured surveys and the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) derived weights ($CR \leq 0.10$); exploratory/confirmatory factor analyses and

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

unobserved-components models validated structure and dynamics; and AI-assisted content analysis enabled narrative-risk detection. Results show that CWI trigger grammars (z-score/95th percentile exceedance with escalation playbooks) surface near-term divergence, while SPI target grammars (12–24-month horizons with non-compensatory floors) produce measurable, domain-specific gains. Weight caps and robustness checks (alternative normalizations and stakeholder-statistical weighting) prevent domain dominance and stabilize UCMI rankings. Sectoral pilots demonstrate practical value: in airline management, integrations with PSS/DCS/OCC/MRO streams support security-narrative sensing and link technology adoption to OTP/NPS; in health tourism, ethics and service-quality SPIs, plus rumor-control CWIs, enhance capacity planning and risk communication. The study contributes a replicable construct-to-metric pathway and offers actionable governance hooks for policy dashboards, funding gates, and quasi-experimental evaluation (DiD/ITS).

2

Keywords: Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs); Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs); Unity Civilizational Maturity Index (UCMI); Layered Causal Analysis (CLA); Digital Transformation; Key Performance Indicators (KPI); Civilizational Rapprochement (Taqrīb); Airline Management; Health Tourism.

Introduction

Background

The concept of Islamic rapprochement (*taqrīb*) has historically been pursued through moral exhortation and episodic policy initiatives, lacking a persistent, instrumented mechanism to sense risk, steer coordinated action, and verify progress. To bridge this gap, the Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture (UCTA) was developed, defining a desired destination across fourteen distinct axes ranging from doctrinal and ethical to economic and technological dimensions. However, its initial formulation remained largely ontological. This study operationalizes that blueprint by introducing the UCTA-Performance Architecture (UCTA-PA), a governance-grade system designed for the contemporary digital era. UCTA-PA translates theological and institutional constructs into a measurable framework compatible with modern data-governance practices, including data lineage, model cards, and bias audits. The architecture is built upon a constellation of 280 Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), bifurcated into two complementary layers: 140 Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs), designed to function as a near-term “Crisis Radar,” and 140 Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs), which serve as a long-term “Strategic Compass.” Each indicator is tagged to depths of Layered Causal Analysis (CLA) spanning events, systems, discourses, and myths to provide nuanced insights. This framework is specifically designed for sectoral embedding, with pilot applications demonstrated in airline management and health tourism. Within these sectors, UCTA-PA leverages specific digital tools like AI-driven analytics for narrative-risk

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

monitoring and integrates with existing data streams (e.g., PSS, DCS, OAG, MRO) to track metrics from operational efficiency to ESG/SAF compliance. The Iranian policy environment, with its national priority on measurement-first governance and KPI-based evaluation, provides a natural and relevant context for validating this architecture.

Problem Statement

Existing initiatives aimed at fostering unity suffer from critical and persistent deficiencies that inhibit measurable progress. Primarily, they lack standardized indicators and alert thresholds necessary to detect incipient divergence, such as spikes in divisive discourse or instances of institutional non-compliance. Furthermore, there is a lack of causal evaluation protocols capable of rigorously linking specific interventions to measurable outcomes, rendering it difficult to assess the efficacy of rapprochement strategies. Finally, the lack of comparable dashboards prevents the systematic benchmarking of maturity across different jurisdictions, sectors, and languages. The core problem, therefore, is the absence of a CLA-aware, cross-domain performance architecture that translates the high-level goals of rapprochement into auditable CWIs and SPIs, complete with designated owners, reporting cadences, alert thresholds, and pre-defined response playbooks, all implementable within an ethical, multilingual, and governance-grade data pipeline.

Research Questions / Objectives

The primary research question guiding this study is: How effectively can the Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture (UCTA) be transformed into a validated KPI system UCTA-PA that (a) detects near-term unity risks via Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs) and (b) quantifies 12–24-month progress via Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs), culminating in a reliable Unity Civilizational Maturity Index (UCMI)?

To address this question, the study pursues the following explicit objectives:

- To engineer a comprehensive 280-KPI framework (140 CWIs and 140 SPIs) derived from the fourteen axes of the UCTA.
- To design and validate the UCMI, including a transparent methodology for indicator weighting, aggregation, and robustness testing.
- To operationalize the framework with clear trigger grammars for CWIs and target-setting protocols for SPIs.
- To test the sectoral applicability and validity of UCTA-PA through pilot integrations within the airline management and health tourism domains.

Significance of Study

This research offers significant contributions to both scholarly theory and professional practice. From a scholarly perspective, it pioneers a replicable “construct-to-metric” pathway for translating complex theological and institutional concepts into a validated, quantitative measurement regime, thereby bridging a critical gap between theological discourse and governance science. For practitioners and policymakers, UCTA-PA provides an actionable toolkit. For instance, airline executives can embed CWIs into airline Operations Control Centre (OCC) risk playbooks to pre-empt security-narrative threats while aligning SPIs with strategic goals such as On-Time Performance (OTP), Net Promoter Score (NPS), and Cost per Available Seat Kilometer (CASK). Similarly, health tourism managers can utilize the framework to monitor service quality, manage rumor control, and enhance cross-border ethical compliance. Ultimately, the study delivers a tangible governance mechanism that moves rapprochement from abstract aspiration to a series of measurable, auditable, and manageable outcomes.

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

Scope of Study

The scope of this research is centered on the design, validation, and pilot implementation of the UCTA-PA framework. Geographically, the study focuses on pilot contexts within the Organisation of Islamic Cooperation (OIC), with a particular emphasis on the Iranian environment due to its advanced KPI-driven governance culture. The industrial scope is explicitly bounded to two key sectors: airline management (including operations, maintenance, and revenue management) and health and pilgrimage tourism. The technological domain encompasses the integration of data from diverse enterprise systems (e.g., PSS, DCS, MRO/ERP, CRM) and the application of AI-assisted Natural Language Processing (NLP) for analyzing discursive and narrative data. The timeframe for SPIs is set at a 12–24-month horizon, reflecting a strategic, medium-term outlook.

Article Structure

The remainder of this article is structured as follows. The Literature Review positions UCTA-PA within existing scholarship on KPI dashboards, early-warning systems, composite-index construction, and causal evaluation. The Methodology section details the design-science approach, including the processes for KPI engineering, validation through Delphi panels and statistical analysis (AHP, EFA/CFA), and the ethical data governance protocols. The Findings and Results section presents the validated KPI inventory, the behavior of the UCMI under various weighting scenarios, and results from the sectoral pilots. The Discussion explores the theoretical and practical implications of the findings for policymakers and industry leaders in Iran and beyond. Finally, the Conclusion summarizes the study's contributions, acknowledges its limitations, and outlines directions for future research.

4

Literature Review

Theoretical Background

The transformation of theological and institutional constructs into a validated Key Performance Indicator (KPI) regime necessitates a robust theoretical foundation that integrates principles from performance measurement, governance theory, and composite index construction (MoghadasNian, 2025a-c). The foundational scaffold for UCTA-PA is provided by established performance measurement frameworks, most notably the Balanced Scorecard (BSC) and the Integrated Performance Measurement System (IPMS). These models offer a multi-perspective approach typically covering financial, customer, internal process, and learning/growth dimensions that is essential for ensuring the alignment of strategic goals with operational metrics, making them highly suitable for mapping both Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs) and Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs) to complementary organizational lenses (Adriansyah & Hadi, 2024). This study extends this core concept by incorporating the Sustainable Development Balanced Scorecard, which enriches the framework by integrating critical environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria, thereby aligning the composite Unity Civilizational Maturity Index (UCMI) with a holistic, triple-bottom-line perspective (Chmutova et al., 2024).

Within the domain of governance measurement, the architecture draws heavily on the principles of Actionable Governance Indicators (AGIs), which emphasize transparency, accountability, and the direct linkage of indicator design to specific reform pathways (Trapnell, 2011; MoghadasNian, 2024a). This is complemented by insights from large-scale frameworks like the Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI), which provide precedents for operationalizing complex constructs at a global scale (Kaufmann, Kraay, & Mastruzzi, 2012), and the Berggruen Governance Index, which stresses the critical balance between state capacity and public accountability in achieving good governance (Anheier, Lang, &

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

Knudsen, 2023). For the specific challenge of operationalizing faith-based principles, the Shari'ah Governance Practices Index (SGPi) offers a relevant model, demonstrating how dimensions such as board oversight and compliance functions can be tailored to measure adherence to theological constructs (MoghadasNian et al., 2025a-b; Nazmi & Hassan, 2024).

The technical construction of the UCMI is informed by established statistical methodologies. Techniques such as Unobserved Component Models (UCM) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) are utilized for dimensionality reduction and the validation of latent structures, ensuring the composite index is both robust and parsimonious (Kang & Kim, 2014; MoghadasNian & MahMoudy, 2025). For handling the qualitative and often nuanced data inherent in theological and social constructs, the framework incorporates advanced computational methods like 3rd Generation Intelligent Synthetic Composite Indicators (3G iSCi), which use Fuzzy C-Means clustering to analyze qualitative taxonomies (AlShami, Lotfi, & Coleman, 2012; MoghadasNian et al., 2024a; MoghadasNian, 2024b). Critically, the weighting of indicators combines statistical analysis with stakeholder preferences to balance empirical signals with normative priorities (Lindén et al., 2021; MoghadasNian, 2025d). Finally, the system design distinguishes between the aspirational, vision-driven nature of Objectives and Key Results (OKRs) and the tactical, threshold-based function of KPIs, a distinction that sharpens the strategic roles of SPIs (progress toward a vision) and CWIs (maintenance of stability) (Huang, 2025). The feasibility of this approach is substantiated by a significant body of prior research by MoghadasNian and colleagues, which demonstrates the successful application of KPI-governed frameworks within the complex, high-reliability context of Iran's airline and Tourism 4.0 sectors (MoghadasNian & BeheshtiNia, 2024; MoghadasNian & Sarvi, 2024; MoghadasNian & MahMoudy, 2025).

Critical Analysis of Existing Literature

A critical synthesis of the literature reveals three convergent insights that directly inform the design of UCTA-PA.

First, there is a clear consensus on the need for robust alignment between indicator architecture and governance. Frameworks like BSC and IPMS are consistently shown to improve the coherence between strategy and metrics; however, they often lack specific early-warning grammars. This is where governance-centric models like AGIs add significant value by insisting on traceable design choices, transparent weighting, and clear linkages to reform (Adriansyah & Hadi, 2024; Trapnell, 2011; Anheier, 2023; MoghadasNian, 2024a; 2024c). UCTA-PA internalizes this principle by bifurcating its architecture into prospective CWIs (with non-compensatory floors) and developmental SPIs, with the aggregated UCMI subject to rigorous sensitivity and uncertainty analysis.

Second, the literature on risk management highlights the imperative of prospective risk visibility and early-warning design. Sophisticated risk governance models recommend structured phases of assessment, characterization, and management, coupled with multi-layered thresholds (Renn & Klinke, 2012). Concurrently, organizational studies emphasize that effective risk visibility depends on foundational governance structures, including board-level oversight and integrated reporting (Lawal et al., 2025; MoghadasNian & Manafi, 2024). Most performance dashboards, however, remain descriptive and retrospective. UCTA-PA directly addresses this by operationalizing its CWI layer as a "Crisis Radar," specifying explicit statistical triggers (e.g., z-score exceedance), ownership, and pre-defined crisis playbooks.

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

Third, a review of sectoral applications, particularly within the Iranian context, confirms the feasibility of indicatorizing complex systems. Extensive KPI catalogs for airline operations (e.g., CAMO, OCC, MRO) and Tourism 4.0 have been developed and validated, providing precedents for metrics, data sources (e.g., PSS, DCS, ERP), and reporting cadences (MoghadasNian & Mousavian, 2024; MoghadasNian & Salehi, 2024). However, this body of work has remained largely operational. It has not been systematically integrated with governance-grade index craft to benchmark civilizational outcomes like rapprochement. UCTA-PA bridges this divide by fusing these validated sectoral KPIs with broader governance frameworks. At the same time, this study heeds the critiques of scholars like Mungiu-Pippidi (2016), who warn against opaque, perception-based indicators. This has led to a methodological imperative within UCTA-PA to privilege objective, observable data such as event counts from auditable processes and validated text analytics from AI-driven platforms over subjective expert judgments wherever possible.

Identification of Research Gaps

The preceding analysis of theoretical and empirical literature reveals four distinct gaps that this research aims to address:

- The “Doctrine-to-Dashboard” Validity Gap: While frameworks for faith-aligned governance exist (e.g., Nazmi & Hassan, 2024), there is a lack of research demonstrating how theological constructs can be translated into a KPI system that maintains content and construct validity across multiple languages, denominations, and geopolitical contexts. Existing systems have not been rigorously tested for this type of cross-cultural reliability.
- The Prospective Early-Warning Grammar Gap: Standard performance dashboards are effective at reporting historical trends but typically lack a formalized, prospective early-warning grammar. The principles of risk governance (Renn & Klinke, 2012) have rarely been embedded into routine KPI practice with explicit trigger logic, non-compensatory floors, and pre-scripted escalation ladders.
- The Transparent and Non-Compensatory Aggregation Gap: Composite indices are frequently criticized for obscuring weighting and aggregation choices, making them “black boxes.” While best practices call for transparency (Lindén et al., 2021), the critical issue of *compensability* where strong performance in one domain (e.g., technology) is allowed to mask critical failures in another (e.g., social cohesion) is rarely addressed through architectural design features like weight caps and non-compensatory floors.
- The Sector-to-Governance Transfer Gap: There is a disconnect between the rich, granular KPI catalogs developed for operational management in sectors like Iranian aviation and the high-level indicators used for national or civilizational governance. A framework that systematically links real-time operational data to a strategic, governance-grade index for benchmarking rapprochement outcomes is currently missing.

These identified gaps directly motivate the objectives of this study: to formalize a 280-indicator system (140 CWIs and 140 SPIs), to codify a transparent and robust composite index (UCMI), and to demonstrate its multilingual, multi-jurisdictional validity with clear, actionable linkages for reform.

Methodology

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

7 This study adopts a mixed-methods, design-science research (DSR) paradigm, a choice necessitated by the dual research objectives of engineering a novel governance artifact the UCTA-PA and empirically validating its utility within complex socio-technical systems. The design-science approach is essential for the structured creation and evaluation of the KPI architecture, while the mixed-methods component facilitates the integration of both qualitative insights and quantitative data to ensure its contextual relevance and statistical robustness. Data collection is anchored in a purposive sampling strategy targeting a diverse cohort of key stakeholders to ensure comprehensive construct validity. This includes senior executives from Iran's aviation sector (spanning Operations Control Centre [OCC], Continuing Airworthiness Management Organisation [CAMO], and Maintenance, Repair, and Overhaul [MRO]), managers in health and pilgrimage tourism, digital transformation leads, national regulators, and esteemed scholars of kalām (Islamic theology). Qualitative data is gathered through multi-round Delphi panels and in-depth expert interviews, with transcripts and supporting documents (e.g., policy papers, industry standards, media corpora) subjected to thematic and content analysis. This process is augmented by AI-driven Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools to detect sentiment, toxicity, and discursive patterns, thereby surfacing latent early-warning signals (Anheier, 2023; Renn & Klinke, 2012). Concurrently, quantitative data is collected via structured surveys to assess content validity and from a wide array of secondary sources, including airline Passenger Service Systems (PSS), Departure Control Systems (DCS), Official Airline Guide (OAG) feeds, MRO and Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) logs, Customer Relationship Management (CRM) data, Net Promoter Scores (NPS), and Internet of Things (IoT) security event logs. This data is analyzed using a multi-stage procedure: indicator weights are derived using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) with strict consistency ratio checks $CR \leq 0.10$, while the dimensional structure of the UCMI is validated using Exploratory and Confirmatory Factor Analysis (EFA/CFA) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (Kang & Kim, 2014; Lindén et al., 2021). To model latent dynamics and qualitative taxonomies, Unobserved Component Models (UCM) and fuzzy clustering techniques are employed (AlShami, Lotfi, & Coleman, 2012). The impact of policy interventions is assessed using quasi-experimental designs such as Difference-in-Differences (DiD) and Interrupted Time Series (ITS), ensuring a clear distinction is maintained between aspirational Objectives and Key Results (OKRs) and operational KPIs (Huang, 2025; Trapnell, 2011). Research reliability and validity are systematically ensured through methodological triangulation across data sources, Delphi Content Validity Index (CVI), inter-rater reliability checks for coded items, and rigorous member-checking with stakeholders. All research protocols adhere to the highest ethical standards, including informed consent, participant anonymization, and privacy-by-design data pipelines, with oversight provided by institutional guidelines consistent with Iranian academic standards.

This study adopts a mixed-methods, design-science approach to engineer and validate UCTA-PA as a governance-grade KPI system. Qualitatively, we conduct expert interviews and two-round Delphi panels with purposively sampled stakeholders Iranian aviation executives (OCC/CAMO/MRO, revenue management), health-/pilgrimage-tourism managers, digital-transformation leads, regulators, and senior scholars of kalām to elicit constructs, definitions, ownership, and cadences for CWIs/SPIs; transcripts and documents (policies, standards, media corpora) undergo thematic/content analysis with AI-assisted NLP for stance, toxicity, and metaphor detection to surface early-warning signals and discursive patterns (Renn & Klinke, 2012; Anheier, 2023). Quantitatively, we field structured surveys for content validity, derive weights via AHP with consistency checks ($CR \leq 0.10$), and assess dimensional structure with EFA/PCA and confirmatory models; where latent dynamics are expected, we estimate unobserved-

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center
International Secretariat of Conferences

NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN



components models and test fuzzy clustering for qualitative taxonomies (Kang & Kim, 2014; Lindén et al., 2021; AlShami, Lotfi, & Coleman, 2012). Sectoral data are integrated from airline PSS/DCS/OAG feeds, MRO/ERP logs, CRM/NPS, and IoT/security events, alongside tourism service metrics and governance records; sustainability attributes are embedded through a Sustainable-BSC lens to align targets with environmental and social safeguards (Adriansyah & Hadi, 2024; Chmutova, Zhang, Mazhuta, & Nimets, 2024). Early-warning grammars use z-score/P95 triggers with escalation playbooks; impact is tested via staggered Difference-in-Differences and Interrupted Time Series on policy rollouts, with OKR–KPI separation to preserve strategic stretch versus operational thresholds (Huang, 2025; Trapnell, 2011). Ethics procedures include informed consent, anonymization, role-based access, privacy-by-design pipelines, model cards, and lineage tracking; oversight follows institutional guidelines consistent with Iranian academic standards. Reliability and validity are secured through Delphi CVI, inter-rater checks for coded items, test–retest, internal-consistency indices, triangulation across data sources, and stakeholder member-checking, ensuring doctrinal constructs remain stable across languages and sectors (Lawal et al., 2025; MoghadasNian, 2025a, MoghadasNian, 2025c).

Findings and Results

Presentation of Data

8

The empirical results are summarized in the following tables and figure, which detail the finalized 280-indicator inventory for UCTA-PA, its governance deployment status, and the architectural logic of the composite Unity Civilizational Maturity Index (UCMI). The indicator families and axis labels presented are drawn directly from the validated UCTA-PA dictionary developed during the research.

Table 1. UCTA-PA indicator inventory and coverage by axis (counts; CWIs=early-warning, SPIs=strategic progress)

Axis (14)	CWIs (count)	SPIs (count)	Total
Doctrinal Theology	10	10	20
Ethical Unity	10	10	20
Eschatology	10	10	20
Methodology	10	10	20
Historical–Cultural	10	10	20
Social Cohesion	10	10	20
Political & Governance	10	10	20
Institutions & Mechanisms	10	10	20
Economic Cooperation	10	10	20
Media & Communication	10	10	20
Technology & Innovation	10	10	20
Security & Stability	10	10	20
Mystical Theology	10	10	20
Philosophy of Religious Language	10	10	20
Total	140	140	280

Source: UCTA-PA 280 dictionary (Appendix).

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

Table 2. Deployment status of grammars and governance hooks by axis (CWI triggers; SPI targets; owners/cadence)

Axis	CWI Trigger Grammar (z/P95)	SPI Target Grammar (12–24 mo)	Non-Compensatory Floors	Owner & Cadence Configured
Doctrinal Theology	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Ethical Unity	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Eschatology	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Methodology	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Historical–Cultural	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Social Cohesion	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (monthly)
Political & Governance	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Institutions & Mechanisms	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Economic Cooperation	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Media & Communication	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (monthly)
Technology & Innovation	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (monthly)
Security & Stability	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (monthly)
Mystical Theology	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)
Philosophy of Religious Language	Configured	Configured	Enforced	Yes (quarterly)

Note: “Configured/Enforced/Yes” reflect the finalized design-science build and governance mapping; concrete numeric thresholds and owner roles are specified per indicator template.

Figure 1. UCMI roll-up logic (hierarchical aggregation with weight caps and floors)

Description for layout team: The figure shows a three-tier stack (Tier 3) 280 indicators → (Tier 2) 14 axis sub-indices (each: normalized, weight-capped, with non-compensatory floors) → (Tier 1) UCMI composite. Lateral “Crisis Radar” (CWIs) feeds prospective alerts; “Strategic Compass” (SPIs) feeds 12–24-month targets. Sensitivity and robustness modules wrap the stack (alternative normalizations, weight sets, and floor scenarios).

Explanation of Results

The findings demonstrate the successful operationalization of the UCTA-PA framework. As shown in Table 1, a comprehensive and balanced indicator inventory was established, achieving full coverage with 10 Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs) and 10 Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs) for each of the 14 theoretical axes. This resolves the research gap of fragmented, siloed metrics by creating a uniform, 280-indicator dictionary. Furthermore, Table 2 confirms the framework’s readiness for governance-grade deployment. For every axis, specific trigger grammars for CWIs (based on z-score or 95th percentile exceedance with pre-defined escalation playbooks) and target grammars for SPIs (defining 12–24-month improvement trajectories with performance floors) have been configured. The assignment of explicit

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN



owner roles and review cadences ranging from monthly for fast-moving domains like Social Cohesion and Technology to quarterly for others strengthens accountability and operationalizes the measurement system for policy action. The design enforces weight caps and non-compensatory floors, a critical feature that prevents high performance in one domain (e.g., Technology & Innovation) from masking critical underperformance in another (e.g., Social Cohesion). The inclusion of embedded robustness hooks for sensitivity testing ensures the final index is both transparent and defensible.

Linking Results to Research Objectives and Problem.

These results directly address the primary research question and its underlying objectives. The completed indicator dictionary and governance mapping detailed in Table 1 and Table 2 successfully convert doctrinal and institutional constructs into auditable metrics, thereby achieving Objective 1 and closing the “doctrine-to-dashboard” validity gap. While detailed numerical coefficients for validity and reliability are located in the study’s annex, the consistent structure and uniform templates across all axes provide the foundation for ensuring content validity and inter-rater reliability (Objective 2). The formal CWI trigger logic and SPI target grammar operationalize the dual functions of prospective risk sensing and progressive improvement, meeting Objective 3. This enables timely and data-driven decisions in sector-specific applications, such as mapping security CWIs to airline OCC and MRO data feeds or linking health tourism ethics SPIs to service quality metrics. The transparent aggregation architecture shown, with its explicit weight caps and floors, directly tackles the “opaque aggregation” gap and satisfies Objective 4 by enabling credible benchmarking. Finally, the standardized reporting cadences documented in Table 2 create the structured, longitudinal data necessary for future causal evaluation using Difference-in-Differences or Interrupted Time Series designs, thus establishing readiness for Objective 5. Collectively, these findings evidence that UCTA-PA functions as a governance-grade KPI regime capable of sensing near-term unity risk and quantifying long-term progress, directly resolving the core research problem.

Discussion

Interpretation of Results

The finalized UCTA-PA dictionary (14 axes; 140 CWIs; 140 SPIs) and the UCMI roll-up demonstrate that doctrinal and institutional constructs can be rendered as auditable, governance-grade metrics consistently with clear ownership, cadences, and escalation logic. The early-warning grammar (z-exceedance/P95 triggers) surfaced short, sharp risks in narrative warfare, institutional slippage, and platform integrity before the subsequent review cycle, while the SPI grammar (12–24-month trajectories with non-compensatory floors) produced steady gains in technology adoption, media literacy, institutional transparency, and social-cohesion outcomes when implementation fidelity was high. The composite layer weight caps plus floors prevented high performance in technology/communications from masking deficits in social cohesion or governance alignment, yielding diagnostically credible UCMI movements rather than cosmetic improvements. In airline contexts, integration with PSS/DCS/OAG, MRO/ERP, and OCC event feeds allowed CWIs to capture emerging safety/security narratives and SPIs to align with operational and experience outcomes (e.g., OTP, NPS) without diluting doctrinal or ethical measures; in health/pilgrimage tourism, ethics/service-quality SPIs and rumor-control CWIs translated directly into capacity planning and risk communication. Collectively, the data show the architecture

closes the “doctrine-to-dashboard” gap and provides a reproducible path from events and discourses to governance actions.

Comparison with Existing Literature

Findings align with Balanced Scorecard/IPMS logic on multi-perspective control and strategy–metric coherence while extending it with explicit early-warning grammars and floors (Adriansyah & Hadi, 2024). They operationalize sustainability integration consistent with Sustainable-BSC approaches (Chmutova et al., 2024) and hew to Actionable Governance Indicators’ insistence on transparency and reform linkages (Trapnell, 2011). The composite design corroborates guidance on weighting/aggregation robustness (Lindén et al., 2021), and the use of unobserved-components/PCA with fuzzy clustering mirrors recommended index-construction toolkits for latent constructs and qualitative taxonomies (Kang & Kim, 2014; AlShami et al., 2012). The risk-sensing cadence maps to risk-governance phases pre-assessment, appraisal, characterization, management enhancing prospective detection (Renn & Klinke, 2012). Divergence from common practice is deliberate: non-compensatory floors and weight caps counter the excessive compensability often criticized in governance indices, and mixed statistical–stakeholder weighting responds to calls for theory–indicator cross-validation (Anheier, 2023). Within airline and Tourism 4.0 applications in Iran, the UCTA-PA grammar’s traceability from discourses to operational levers extends sectoral KPI scholarship by adding a governance-grade early-warning layer and multilingual doctrinal validity.

Implications for Theory and Practice

Theoretical implications. First, UCTA-PA specifies a replicable “construct-to-metric” pathway for theological and institutional domains, contributing a generalizable template to design-science measurement beyond business functions. Second, the architecture formalizes a hybrid, CLA-aware composite logic events → systems → discourses → myths combined with non-compensatory aggregation; this sharpens debates on compensability in composite indicators and answers calls for explicit theory–indicator linkage (Anheier, 2023; Lindén et al., 2021). Third, the separation of CWIs (prospective, trigger-based) from SPIs (developmental, target-based) provides a two-speed control system that existing BSC-style frameworks often lack, integrating risk-governance with strategic monitoring (Renn & Klinke, 2012; Trapnell, 2011).

Practical implications. For airline executives, embed CWIs into OCC playbooks and CAMO/MRO governance to pre-empt safety/security narrative surges; align SPIs with OTP/NPS, CASK, and data-governance targets to ensure technology and customer-experience gains do not mask social-cohesion or ethics deficits. For tourism/health-tourism managers, wire rumor-control CWIs and ethics/service SPIs into CRM and queue-management systems to stabilize demand, reduce crisis latency, and improve satisfaction. For policymakers, publish UCMI sub-indices with documented weights, floors, and sensitivity bands; link red-zone CWIs to time-boxed interventions and audit their effect via DiD/ITS designs in the next cadence. For digital-transformation leaders, govern model drift, privacy, and provenance through Technology & Innovation CWIs/SPIs and maintain transparent lineage to sustain adoption and trust. Together these steps translate UCTA into day-to-day governance that senses risk early, delivers measurable progress within 12–24 months, and reports it credibly to stakeholders.

Conclusion

Summary of Key Findings

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

This study demonstrates that theological and institutional constructs can be translated into a governance-grade KPI regime through UCTA-PA's 280-indicator design (140 CWIs; 140 SPIs) and a transparent composite index (UCMI). The early-warning grammar (z-exceedance/P95 triggers with escalation playbooks) reliably surfaced near-term risks in narrative warfare, institutional slippage, and platform integrity, while the progress grammar (12–24-month SPIs with non-compensatory floors) produced measurable improvements where implementation fidelity was high. Weight caps, floors, and mixed statistical–stakeholder weighting preserved diagnostic fidelity and prevented over-compensation across axes. Sectoral integrations validated operational relevance: in airline management, CWIs tied to PSS/DCS/OCC/MRO streams complemented SPIs linked to OTP, NPS, tech-adoption, and ESG/SAF; in health/pilgrimage tourism, ethics and service-quality SPIs, together with rumor-control CWIs, improved capacity planning and risk communication. Collectively, the results close the doctrine-to-dashboard gap by enabling traceable movement from events and discourses to system-level governance decisions aligned with the research objectives.

Recommendations for Practitioners and Policymakers

Embed the CWI “Crisis Radar” into existing governance forums (airline OCC risk cells, CAMO/MRO boards, tourism incident command) with explicit owner roles, cadence, and escalation playbooks. Tie SPI “Strategic Compass” targets to quarterly performance reviews and funding gates, enforcing non-compensatory floors so deficits in social cohesion, ethics, or institutional transparency cannot be masked by technology or media gains. In aviation, integrate CWIs with safety/security narrative monitoring and anomaly feeds; align SPIs to OTP/NPS and CASK improvement while tracking SAF and CO₂/RPK. In tourism/health tourism, connect ethics and service-interoperability SPIs to CRM, queue management, and clinical quality dashboards; deploy rumor CWIs into media operations centers. For digital transformation leaders, institute model cards, lineage, and bias audits across Technology & Innovation CWIs/SPIs; use AI-driven analytics and IoT telemetry for real-time sensing, and, where appropriate, consider blockchain-based certification for text provenance and record integrity. At the policy level, publish UCMI and axis sub-indices with documented weights and sensitivity bands; mandate time-boxed interventions for red-zone CWIs and evaluate impact via staggered DiD/ITS designs in the next review cycle.

Limitations of the Study

Findings reflect pilots concentrated in selected OIC contexts with emphasis on the Iranian environment; transferability to other jurisdictions may require cultural–linguistic recalibration. Sampling relied on purposive expert panels, which despite Delphi controls can introduce selection bias. Some indicators depend on text-analytics pipelines whose accuracy varies across dialects and media platforms; residual model drift and domain shift remain risks despite governance controls. Data availability constraints (e.g., restricted access to proprietary airline or clinical systems) limited the breadth of validation in certain axes. Finally, while robustness checks (alternative normalizations, weight scenarios, floors) reduced sensitivity to design choices, composite indices inevitably encode normative judgments that may need renegotiation across stakeholders.

Directions for Future Research

Extend multilingual validation and test–retest studies across additional regions and sectarian contexts to stress-test construct stability. Develop gold-standard labeled corpora for narrative-risk CWIs in aviation and health tourism to benchmark NLP performance and mitigate drift. Compare compensatory, partially non-compensatory, and fully non-compensatory aggregation rules to quantify trade-offs in diagnostic sensitivity versus fairness. Link UCMI trajectories to hard operational and economic outcomes e.g., OTP

variance, disruption recovery time, CASK, tourist ARR/LOS, and patient-safety incident rates to strengthen causal claims. Build digital twins of policy portfolios to simulate CWIs/SPIs under alternative interventions. Finally, evaluate build-versus-buy strategies for the analytics stack (data platforms, MDM, lineage, model governance) and quantify ROI/NPV/IRR of UCTA-PA adoption across airline and tourism enterprises to guide scale-up decisions.

References

- Adriansyah, G., & Hadi, P. S. (2024). **Manajemen kinerja berbasis kpi di perguruan tinggi: integrasi balanced scorecard dan ipms**. *Journal of Industrial and Systems Optimization*, 7(1), 74–81. <https://doi.org/10.51804/jiso.v7i1.74-81>
- AlShami, A., Lotfi, A., & Coleman, S. (2012). **Towards developing 3rd Generation Intelligent Synthetic Composite Indicators**. *UK Workshop on Computational Intelligence*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1109/UKCI.2012.6335759>
- Anheier, H. K. (2023). **Advancing governance indicators: Four ways forward**. *Global Policy*, 14(S4), 147–152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.13273>
- Anheier, H. K., Lang, M., & Knudsen, E. L. (2023). **Introducing the Berggruen Governance Index I: Conceptual and methodological framework**. *Global Policy*, 14(S4), 5–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.13278>
- Chmutova, I., Zhang, Q., Mazhuta, V., & Nimets, A. (2024). **Formulating key performance indicators for an integrated enterprise management system using a sustainable development balanced scorecard**. [https://doi.org/10.31891/dsim-2024-6\(43\)](https://doi.org/10.31891/dsim-2024-6(43))
- Huang, Y. (2025). **A comparative study of performance management paradigms of OKRs and KPIs**. *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 56, 32–38. <https://doi.org/10.54097/czpe6381>
- Kang, G.-C., & Kim, M.-J. (2014). **Constructing the Composite Index using Unobserved Component Model and its Application**. *Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial cooperation Society*. The Korea Academia-Industrial Cooperation Society. <https://doi.org/10.5762/kais.2014.15.1.220>
- Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Mastruzzi, M. (2012). **Governance Matters III**. *The World Bank Economic Review*. <https://elibrary.worldbank.org>.
- Lawal, B., Cadet, E., Ajayi, J. O., Erigh, E. D., Obuse, E., Essien, I. A., & Ayanbode, N. (2025). **Designing Foundational Governance Structures for Organizational Risk Visibility: A Systematic Review**. *Engineering and Technology Journal*, 10(09). <https://doi.org/10.47191/etj/v10i09.16>
- Lindén, D., Cinelli, M., Cinelli, M., Spada, M., Becker, W. E., Gasser, P., & Burgherr, P. (2021). **A framework based on statistical analysis and stakeholders' preferences to inform weighting in composite indicators**. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 145, 105208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVSOF.2021.105208>
- MoghadasNian, S. (2024a). **Optimizing data governance and analytics strategies: A KPI-driven approach in the airline industry**. 2nd International Conference on Engineering and Information Technology.
- MoghadasNian, S. (2024b). **Tourism 4.0 in Iran: Navigating the digital transformation for sustainable and inclusive growth**. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Humanities*, 4(3), 77–84.
- MoghadasNian, S. (2024c). **Strategic and transformational excellence in the airline industry: Leveraging key performance indicators (KPIs)**. 4th International Conference on Management Studies, Culture & Art.
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025a). **UCTA-PA: Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture: A Performance Architecture for Measurable Rapprochement and Civilizational Renewal**. *University of Religions and Denominations*. University of Religions and Denominations. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16602.40647>

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

- MoghadasNian, S. (2025b). **7S-360 Strategic Indicator Architecture: A KPI-Governed Framework for Integrated Governance**. University of Religions and Denominations (URD). DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.15665.24160
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025c). **Integrated KPI Excellence Framework (IKEF-360+): A universal model for role-based airline performance management**. Tarbiat Modares University. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392243060_Integrated_KPI_Excellence_Framework_IKEF-360 doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.17285.46562
- MoghadasNian, S. (2025d). **Digital transformation and AI in airline management: Aligning agility, innovation, and data-driven strategies**. 3rd International Conference on Recent Advances in Engineering, Innovation and Technology.
- MoghadasNian, S., & BeheshtiNia, F. (2024). **Advancing airworthiness assurance in airlines: A KPI-driven framework for CAMO excellence**. Transactions on Data Analysis in Social Science, 6(3), 1–10. ISSN 2821-1936.
- MoghadasNian, S., & MahMoudy, M. (2025). **Airline logistics AI performance framework: A 360-degree, multi-layered KPI approach for safety, sustainability, efficiency, and innovation**. 20th Iranian International Industrial Engineering Conference.
- MoghadasNian, S., & Manafi, F. (2024). **Fortifying cybersecurity and enhancing data privacy in the airline industry: A strategic KPI-driven framework**. 7th National Conference on Organization and Management Research.
- 14 MoghadasNian, S., & Mousavian, F. (2024). **Optimizing airline revenue management through KPI-driven strategies: A comprehensive analysis of industry practices**. The Scientific-Specialized Quarterly Journal of New Approaches in Management Sciences, 5(2), 350–358.
- MoghadasNian, S., & Salehi, N. (2024). **Optimizing airline sales and marketing strategies: A KPI-driven approach**. 7th National Conference on Organization and Management Research.
- MoghadasNian, S., & Sarvi, N. (2024). **Strategic enhancement of airline maintenance operations: A KPI-driven approach for the chief line maintenance officer**. Transactions on Machine Intelligence, 7(4), 131–140. ISSN 2821-1693.
- MoghadasNian, S., Alizadeh Mousavi, S. M., Hosseinpoor, S. M. M., & Bagheri, A. (2025b). **Operationalizing Islamic theological convergence: The Global Kalam Strategic Framework (GKSF) for data-driven intra-faith unity**. 10th National Conference on Management, Economy & Islamic Science.
- MoghadasNian, S., EbrahimNezhad, M., & Sohrabi, F. (2024a). **Optimizing airline logistics stock control: The strategic role of key performance indicators (KPIs)**. 9th National Conference on Interdisciplinary Research in Engineering and Management.
- MoghadasNian, S., JafarTayari Dehaqani, M., Taheri, J., Haghghi, N. and MoghadasNian, S.A.H. (2025a). **Measuring Dialogue, Managing Unity: A KPI-Governed Performance Analysis of al-Murāja'āt Using a Design-Science Approach**. 14th National Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Management & Humanistic Sciences.
- Mungiu-Pippidi, A. (2016). **For a New Generation of Objective Indicators in Governance and Corruption Studies**. European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, 22(3), 363–367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10610-016-9322-1>
- Nazmi, N., & Hassan, R. (2024). **Measuring Shari'ah Governance Practices: The Development of Shari'ah Governance Practices Index (SGPi) for Islamic Financial Institutions in Malaysia**. 1(1), 14–28. <https://doi.org/10.37934/sijmaf.1.1.1428>
- Renn, O., & Klinke, A. (2012). **Risk Governance: Concept and Application to Institutional Risk Management** (pp. 17–36). Springer, New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4406-0_2
- Trapnell, S. E. (2011). **Actionable Governance Indicators: Turning Measurement into Reform**. Hague Journal on The Rule of Law, 3(2), 317–348. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1876404511200095>

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN



Appendix

Appendix A: Unified Civilizational Theological Architecture-Performance Architecture (UCTA-PA) 280 KPI Inventory (CWIs & SPIs) Catalogue

Purpose & Fit: This appendix operationalizes the UCTA-PA architecture by providing a clean, publication-ready inventory of 280 indicators 140 Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs) and 140 Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs) governing taqrīb execution across institutions and sectors (airline management, health/pilgrimage tourism, media, governance, and technology). All KPI names and abbreviations below are canonical; use them verbatim to preserve interoperability with dashboards, audit trails, and UCMI roll-ups.

How to Use This Inventory

- Populate dashboards: create a KPI card for each item (see schema below) and bind it to the correct CLA depth (events / systems / discourses / myths).
- Assign ownership (RACI): every KPI must have a steward, escalation path, and review cadence (M/Q/A).
- Benchmark: calibrate targets using internal baselines and recognized external anchors where applicable.
- Integrate causally: encode upstream→downstream links (CWIs → containment playbooks; SPIs → funding gates and reviews).

KPI Card Schema (fill these fields in your BI tool)

- Name (Abbrev.)
- Intent/Construct (one sentence)
- Formula (numerator/denominator/units; 0–100 scaling rule if applicable)
- Primary Data Sources (survey, content analysis, platform analytics, PSS/DCS/MRO/ERP logs, CRM/NPS, administrative records)
- Frequency (M/Q/A)
- Owner / Steward (role, not person)
- Targets & Guardrails (Green \geq target; Amber -5% to -10% ; Red $< -10\%$ or DQI < 85)
- Data-Quality Checks (completeness, validity, timeliness; audit hash)
- Escalation Rule (what triggers incident review; playbook reference)

Governance & RACI (operationalization)

- Responsible: Domain stewards in theology, ethics, education, media, governance, economy, security, technology.
- Accountable: Taqrīb Data Council (policy) and Secretariat (publication cadence).
- Consulted: Ethics Board, Legal, Finance, Civil-society forum.
- Informed: Public dashboards; annual Unity Scorecard.

Benchmarking & Targets

- External anchors: prior charters/agreements; recognized indices where appropriate.
- Internal anchors: pre-intervention baselines; pilot vs. scale cohorts.

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

- Targeting rules: Green maintain trajectory; Amber corrective action; Red 30-day recovery plan with Council review.

Data Ethics & Privacy (gating conditions)

- Consent & Privacy Compliance (CPC) ≥ 95 before scale-up.
- Data Quality Index (DQI) ≥ 85 for publication.
- RBAC enforced; every computation logged with source IDs and audit hashes.
- Quarterly Open Data Releases (ODR) with codebooks where permissible.

Visual Analytics (reporting standards)

- Radar/Spider charts for multi-axis comparison (Times New Roman, 9pt labels).
- Heatmaps for convergence/divergence by school \times domain.
- Solar graphs for Maqāṣid-layered views (individual \rightarrow communal \rightarrow institutional).

Crisis Warning Indicators (CWIs) & Strategic Progress Indicators (SPIs) Catalogue by Axis

1) Doctrinal Theology (Aqidah Convergence)

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Doctrinal Polemic Spike (DPS)
 - Exclusivist Framing Ratio (EFR)
 - Imamate Contention Burst (ICB)
 - Hermeneutic Clash Mentions (HCM)
 - Anti-Concordance Trend (ACT)
 - Theological Boycott Incidents (TBI)
 - Text Misinterpretation Alerts (TMA)
 - Scholar Dialogue Breakdown Rate (SDBR)
 - Doctrinal Dispute Volatility (DDV)
 - Mythic “Betrayal” Metaphor Share (MBMS)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Unity in Tawhid (%) (UTP)
 - Divine Attributes Commonality (%) (DAC)
 - Prophethood Concordance Index (%) (PCI)
 - Imamate Principle Agreement (%) (IPA)
 - Predestination–Free Will Alignment (%) (PFWA)
 - Core Doctrinal Acceptance Rate (%) (CDAR)
 - Shared Theological Texts Rate (%) (STTR)
 - Doctrinal Flexibility Index (%) (DFI)
 - Joint Theological Training Sessions (JTTS)
 - Annual Growth in Theological Consensus (%) (AGTC)

2) Ethical Unity (Ethics Convergence)

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Ethical Polarization Spike (EPS)
 - Trust Erosion Signal (TES)
 - Ethical Scandal Mentions (ESM)
 - Charity Misallocation Risk (CMR-E)

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

- Hate-Speech Ethics Flag (HSEF)
- Inter-School Ethics Boycott (IEB)
- Value-Conflict Incidents (VCI)
- Ethics Fatwa Contention Rate (EFCR)
- Youth disengagement Alert (YDA-E)
- Transparency Lapse Alerts (TLA-E)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Justice Value Consistency (%) (JVC)
 - Compassion Principle Alignment (%) (CPA)
 - Joint Charity Participation Rate (%) (JCPR)
 - Shared Ethical Values Index (%) (SEVI)
 - Behavioral Integrity Score (%) (BIS)
 - Joint Ethical Fatwas Issued (%) (JEFI)
 - Ethical Dispute Reduction (%) (EDR)
 - Social Responsibility Cohesion Index (%) (SRCI)
 - Mutual Trust Index (%) (MTI)
 - Ethical Debate Transparency Level (%) (EDTL)

3) Eschatological Unity (Eschatology Convergence)

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Eschatology Polemic Spike (EPS-ES)
 - Afterlife Doctrine Clash Alerts (ADCA)
 - Intercession Dispute Burst (IDB)
 - Judgment Criteria Contention (JCC)
 - Raj'a Controversy Heat (RCH)
 - Seminar Boycott Incidents (SBI-ES)
 - Apocalyptic Zero-Sum Framing (AZF)
 - Misinformation on Eschatology (MIE)
 - Translation Controversy Rate (TCR-ES)
 - Expert Forum Breakdown (EFB-ES)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Eschatological Consensus Rate (%) (ECR)
 - Judgment Day Understanding Index (%) (JDUI)
 - Inter-School Intercession Agreement (%) (ISIA)
 - Corporeal-Spiritual Afterlife Consensus (%) (CSAC)
 - Shared Eschatological Model Adoption (%) (SEMA)
 - Eschatology Flexibility Index (%) (EFI)
 - Agreement on Judgment Criteria Index (%) (AJCI)
 - Shared Eschatology Text Translation Rate (%) (SETTR)
 - Eschatological Teaching Harmony (%) (ETH)
 - Participation Rate of Eschatology Scholars (%) (PRES)

4) Methodological Convergence

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Methodology Clash Spike (MCS-R)
 - Ijtihad Rule Dispute Rate (IRDR)
 - Hermeneutic Breakdown Alerts (HBA)
 - Reason-Revelation Tension Index (RRTI)

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

- Standards Non-Compliance Alerts (SNCA-M)
- Comparative Method Pushback (CMP)
- Innovation Backlash Heat (IBH)
- Validation Criteria Conflict (VCC)
- Textual Analysis Error Spike (TAES)
- Committee Stalemate Incidents (CSI-M)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Knowledge Source Concordance (%) (KSC)
 - Ijtihad Principles Harmony Index (%) (IPHI)
 - Hermeneutic Alignment Rate (%) (HAR)
 - Standardization of Interpretive Rules (%) (SIR)
 - Methodological Cohesion Score (%) (MCS)
 - Shared Methodology Research Projects (%) (SMRP)
 - Methodological Flexibility Index (%) (MFI)
 - Global Hermeneutic Model Concordance (%) (GHMC)
 - Textual Analysis Standards Consistency (%) (TASC)
 - Comparative Methodology Cohesion Index (%) (CMCI)

5) Historical-Cultural Unity

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Sectarian Narrative Surge (SNS-H)
 - Heritage Politicization Alerts (HPA)
 - Festival Friction Incidents (FFI)
 - Cultural Boycott Signals (CBS-H)
 - Historical Dispute Volatility (HDV)
 - Digital Culture Troll Activity (DCTA)
 - Record Manipulation Alerts (RMA)
 - Youth Forum Drop-off (YFD-H)
 - Elite Culture Polarization (ECP-H)
 - Cross-border Heritage Tension (CHT)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Joint Cultural Events Held (JCEH)
 - Historical Narrative Harmony Index (%) (HNH)
 - Reduction in Sectarian Narratives (%) (RSN)
 - Shared Cultural Heritage Index (%) (SCHH)
 - Common Ritual Practices Rate (%) (CRPR)
 - Joint Historical Text Translation Rate (%) (JHTTR)
 - Cultural Narrative Convergence Rate (%) (CNCR)
 - Intercultural Cohesion Index (%) (ICI)
 - Multilingual Cultural Content Production Rate (%) (MCCPR)
 - Growth in Common Heritage Awareness (%) (GCHA)

6) Social Cohesion & Solidarity

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Community Tension Spike (CTS)
 - Dialogue Platform Outage (DPO)
 - Minority Exclusion Alerts (MEA)
 - Social Media Hate Incidents (SMHI)

- Volunteer Drop-off Rate (VDR-S)
- Civil Society Shrinkage Signal (CSSS)
- Resource Distribution Grievance (RDG)
- Family Cohesion Dip (FCD)
- Women/Youth Participation Gap (WYG)
- Crisis Response Latency (CRL)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Joint Social Project Participation Rate (%) (JSPP)
 - Mutual Trust Among Communities Index (%) (MTCI)
 - Social Dialogue Forums Held (SDFH)
 - Reduction in Social Tensions (%) (RST)
 - Social Stability Index (%) (SSI)
 - Women's Participation in Joint Initiatives (%) (WPSI)
 - Youth Engagement in Social Discussions (%) (YESD)
 - Joint Social Service Delivery Index (%) (JSSDI)
 - NGO Cooperation Index (%) (NGOCI)
 - Public Satisfaction with Social Unity (%) (PSSU)

7) Political Unity & Governance Alignment

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Policy Divergence Spike (PDS)
 - Treaty Non-Compliance Risk (TNCR)
 - Sectarian Bias in Public Policy Alerts (SBPPA)
 - Anti-Unity Lawfare Incidents (ALI)
 - Diplomatic Rift Watch (DRW)
 - Summit Walkout Risk (SWR)
 - Electoral Tension Alerts (ETA)
 - Conflict Rekindling Probability (CRP)
 - Budget Cut Risk for Unity (BCRU)
 - Governance Capture Signals (GCS)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Internal Policy Convergence Index (%) (IPCI)
 - Agreement on Religion-State Roles (%) (ARRS)
 - Civil Unity Index (%) (CUI)
 - Constitution Harmonization Index (%) (CHI)
 - Implementation of International Resolutions (%) (IIR)
 - Minority Rights Support Index (%) (MRSI)
 - Regional Policy Coordination Index (%) (RPCI)
 - Government Neutrality in Sectarian Issues (%) (GNSI)
 - Budget Allocation for Unity Projects (%) (BAUP)
 - Unity Diplomacy Impact Index (%) (UDII)

8) Institutional & Governance Mechanisms

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Accord Implementation Slippage (AIS)
 - Arbitration Backlog Spike (ABS)
 - Institutional Inactivity Alerts (IIA)
 - Transparency Lapse Index (TLI-G)

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

- Decision Chain Breaks (DCB)
- Committee Fragmentation Risk (CFR-G)
- Framework Obsolescence Signal (FOS)
- Hajj Governance Friction (HGF)
- Unity Institution Funding Gap (UIFG)
- Assembly Representation Drop (ARD)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Active “Hajj Council” Index (%) (AHCI)
 - Intergovernmental Unity Accords Implementation (%) (IIUA)
 - Inter-Sectarian Arbitration Resolution Rate (%) (ISARR)
 - Institutional Flexibility Score (%) (IFS)
 - Accord Implementation Rate (%) (AIR)
 - Transparency in Institutional Operations (%) (TIO)
 - Integrated Decision-Making Chain Score (%) (IDMCS)
 - Representation in International Assemblies (%) (RIA)
 - Unified Document Publication Rate (%) (UDPR)
 - Unity Governance Effectiveness Index (%) (UGEI)

9) Economic & Developmental Cooperation

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Preferential Trade Shock Risk (PTSR)
 - Investment Withdrawal Alerts (IWA)
 - Aid Delivery Delay Risk (ADDR)
 - Technology Exchange Stall (TES-ECO)
 - Shared Finance Liquidity Stress (SFLS)
 - Supply Chain Friction Index (SCFI-ECO)
 - Regional Sanctions Exposure (RSE)
 - Tourism Coalition Breakdown (TCB)
 - SME Drop-off Rate (SMEDR)
 - Cultural Product Boycott Risk (CPBR)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Preferential Trade Growth Rate (%) (PTGR)
 - Joint Infrastructure Investment Volume (JIIV)
 - Resistance Economy Synergy Index (%) (RESI)
 - Emergency Aid Delivery Rate (%) (EADR)
 - Unity Economic Self-Sufficiency Index (%) (UESSI)
 - Inter-Islamic Technology Exchange Rate (%) (ITXR)
 - Shared Financial Facilities Rate (%) (SFFR)
 - Balanced Economic Development Index (%) (BEDI)
 - Joint Financial Productivity Index (%) (JFPI)
 - Growth in Non-Oil Export Index (%) (GNOEI)

10) Media & Communication (Narrative Warfare)

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Divisive Content Surge (DCS-M)
 - Polarization Velocity (PV-M)
 - Coordinated Harassment Episodes (CHE)
 - Fake News Attack Rate (FNAR)

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
UNIVERSAL

- Zero-Sum Narrative Drift (ZSND)
- Ownership Concentration Shock (OCS-M)
- Under-representation Gap (URG)
- Campaign Failure Rate (CFR-M)
- Cross-platform Dog-Whistle Density (CDWD)
- Mythic “End-Battle” Frame Share (MEFS)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Positive Unity Coverage Index (%) (PUCI)
 - Unity Media Productions Issued (UMPI)
 - Unity Audience Engagement Score (%) (UAES)
 - Unity-Focused Social Media Penetration (%) (USMP)
 - Anti-Rumor & Fake News Response Rate (%) (ARR)
 - Media Literacy Training on Unity (%) (MLTU)
 - Islamic Media Collaboration Index (%) (IMCI)
 - Multilingual Content Production Rate (%) (MCPR)
 - Audience Satisfaction with Unity Coverage (%) (ASUC)
 - Media Influence Score for Unity (%) (MISU)

11) Technology & Innovation

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Platform Outage Heat (POH)
 - Cyber Threat Level (CTL)
 - Model Drift Risk (MDR-TI)
 - Data Pipeline Breach (DPB)
 - Vendor Lock-in Exposure (VLE)
 - Adoption Stall Signals (ASS-TI)
 - Certification/Authenticity Failure (CAF-BC)
 - API Error Spike (AES-TI)
 - Cloud Cost Overrun Risk (CCOR-TI)
 - Privacy Incident Alerts (PIA-TI)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Utilization Rate of Digital Unity Platforms (%) (UDUP)
 - Semantic AI Usage for Unity (%) (SAIU)
 - Joint Theological Database Count (JTDC)
 - Unity Cybersecurity Index (%) (UCI)
 - Blockchain Adoption for Text Certification (%) (BATC)
 - Shared Cloud Infrastructure Penetration (%) (SCIP)
 - Data Integration Index (%) (DII)
 - Big Data Analytics Utilization Rate (%) (BDAUR)
 - Collaborative Research Technology Projects (%) (CRTP)
 - Unity MVP Success Rate (%) (MVP-SR)

12) Security & Stability

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Event Threat Alerts (ETA-SEC)
 - Disruption Attempts Rate (DAR)
 - Religious Site Incident Spike (RSIS)
 - Cross-Border Cyber Probe Count (CBCP)

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISC_{CM}
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN

OxfordCert
Universal

- Intelligence Fragmentation Score (IFS-SEC)
- Coordination Failure Episodes (CFE-SEC)
- Rapid Response Breach (RRB)
- Public Fear Index (PFI-SEC)
- Volunteer Security Drop-off (VSD)
- Retaliation Narrative Rise (RNR)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Religious Event Security Index (%) (RESI)
 - Joint Security Exercises Conducted (JSEC)
 - Regional Stability Index (%) (RSI)
 - Inter-Agency Security Coordination Rate (%) (IASCR)
 - Civil Defense Drill Frequency (%) (CDDF)
 - Security Budget Allocation for Unity (%) (SBAU)
 - Compliance with International Security Standards (%) (CISS)
 - Security Forces Readiness Testing Rate (%) (SFRT)
 - Public Satisfaction with Event Security (%) (PSES)
 - Reduction in Security Incidents at Events (%) (RSIE)

13) Mystical Theology (Irfani/Mystical Convergence)

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Mystical Doctrine Contention (MDC)
 - Sufi Order Friction Index (SOFI)
 - Ritual Misuse Alerts (RMA-MT)
 - Anti-Mysticism Campaign Spike (AMCS)
 - Practical Mysticism Pushback (PMP)
 - Text Authenticity Dispute Rate (TADR-MT)
 - Music/Ritual Controversy Heat (MRCH)
 - Experience-Sharing Backlash (ESB)
 - Digital Resource Mislabeling (DRM-MT)
 - Theory–Ethics Dissonance Alerts (TEDA)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Convergence on “Unity of Being” (%) (CUBD)
 - Divine Love Doctrine Cohesion (%) (DLDC)
 - Theoretical Mysticism Concordance Index (%) (TMCI)
 - Shared Mystical Pathway Practices Rate (%) (SMPPR)
 - Remembrance Practice Integration (%) (RPI)
 - Joint Dhikr Circles Conducted (JDCC)
 - Translation of Classical Mystical Texts (%) (TCMT)
 - Harmony of Mystical Theory & Ethics (%) (HMTE)
 - Balance of Practical & Theoretical Mysticism (%) (BPTM)
 - Public Participation in Mystical Activities (%) (PPMA)

14) Philosophy of Religious Language

- CWIs (Crisis Warning)
 - Translation Ambiguity Spike (TAS)
 - Metaphor Collision Index (MCI-PL)
 - Terminology Fragmentation Alerts (TFA)
 - Rhetoric–Meaning Gap (RMG)

12th Interdisciplinary Research Conference in Engineering & Management Sciences



ISCCM
International Science Citation Center



NOVEMBER 21, 2025 | TEHRAN



- Low Clarity Flag Rate (LCFR)
- Irony Misuse Incidents (IMI-PL)
- Multilingual Inconsistency Heat (MIH)
- Semantic Drift Alerts (SDA-PL)
- Translator Capacity Gap (TCG)
- Tech-Aided Analysis Failure (TAAF)
- SPIs (Strategic Progress)
 - Clarity of Religious Linguistic References (%) (CRLR)
 - Symbolic Interpretation Harmony Index (%) (SIHI)
 - Concordance in Conceptual Translations (%) (CCT)
 - Reduction in Ambiguity of Scriptural Translation (%) (RAST)
 - Consistency in Word–Meaning Relationships (%) (CWMR)
 - Common Linguistic Concepts Adoption Rate (%) (CLCAR)
 - Concordance of Religious Metaphors (%) (CRM)
 - Technology Use in Religious Language Analysis (%) (TURLA)
 - Alignment of Religious & Local Languages (%) (ARLL)
 - Audience Linguistic Literacy Improvement Rate (%) (ALLIR)