Empirical Confirmations of the Superdense Ether Theory: A Comparative Analysis with Plasma MHD, Topological Solitons, and Laboratory Observations (1980–2026)

Jens Jensen*

In memoriam: Aydin Abdurakhmanov & Afet Agayev

10 April 2026

Abstract

The Superdense Ether Theory (Jensen, Abdurakhmanov, Agayev, 2026) postulates that physical vacuum is a superdense, superfluid 4D continuum, and elementary particles are topological solitons (Hopf fibrations) in this medium. This paper compares the theory's predictions with five independent sources: (1) the laboratory observation by A. Abdurakhmanov (1980-81) of alcohol→water transition in a sealed ampoule; (2) exact MHD soliton solutions by Kamchatnov (1982); (3) Rañada's topological electromagnetic fields (1989); (4) Oleinik's quantum soliton model of the electron (1997); and (5) numerical MHD relaxation simulations by Smiet, Candelaresi et al. (2015-2016). All five converge on the same mathematical structure: the Hopf invariant H determines stability, energy, and mass of localized structures in a continuous medium. The Superdense Ether Theory provides the unified framework that explains all five observations as manifestations of the same underlying physics.

1 Introduction

For over four decades, researchers across different subfields of physics have independently encountered the same mathematical structure: the Hopf fibration $S^3 \to S^2$ and its associated invariant $H \in \mathbb{Z}$. This structure appears in:

- Laboratory observations of anomalous chemical transformations (Abdurakhmanov, 1980-81);
- Exact solutions in magnetohydrodynamics (Kamchatnov, 1982);
- Topological models of the electromagnetic field (Rañada, 1989);

*Independent Researcher, Denmark

- Quantum models of the electron as a soliton (Oleinik, 1997);
- Numerical simulations of plasma relaxation (Smiet, Candelaresi, 2015-2016).

The Superdense Ether Theory (SET) [1, 2, 3, 4] provides the first unified framework that explains *why* this structure appears everywhere: because physical space is not empty but filled with a superdense, superfluid medium (the Ether), and the Hopf invariant is the topological charge that quantizes stable configurations in this medium.

This paper demonstrates the mathematical correspondence between SET and each of the five independent sources, showing that they are not competing theories but different languages describing the same physics.

2 The Superdense Ether Theory in a Nutshell

The core postulates of SET [1] are:

1. **The medium**: Physical vacuum is a superdense ($\rho_E \sim 10^{13}\,\mathrm{kg/m^3}$), superfluid 4D continuum with pressure $P_E \sim 10^{25}\,\mathrm{Pa}$.
2. **Particles as solitons**: Elementary particles are topological solitons (knotted vortex structures) in this medium, characterized by the Hopf invariant $H \in \mathbb{Z}$.
3. **Mass from topology**: The mass of a particle is given by
$$m = \frac{\rho_E}{c^2} \cdot V_{\mathrm{tor}} \cdot H^2 \cdot f(\mathrm{geometry})$$
where V_{tor} is the volume of the toroidal core.
4. **Mass ratio**: The proton-to-electron mass ratio emerges purely from topology:
$$\frac{m_p}{m_e} = 1836$$
without any adjustable parameters [2].
5. **Predictions**: The theory predicts measurable deviations from GR (Shapiro parameter $\gamma - 1 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$) and anomalous water relaxation ($5\,\mathrm{MHz}$, $\tau \sim 10^3 - 10^4\,\mathrm{s}$) [3].

3 Source #1: Abdurakhmanov's Laboratory Observation (1980-81)

3.1 The Observation

In 1980-81, at the Institute of Radiophysics of the Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Aydin Abdurakhmanov made a serendipitous observation. A sealed ampoule containing chemically pure 100% alcohol (obtained from the Novosibirsk branch of the USSR Academy of Sciences) was subjected to waves of a specific form during experiments. After the experiment, the alcohol was found to be 80% — water had appeared inside the sealed ampoule. The total mass remained unchanged.

3.2 Why This Is Important

This observation cannot be explained by standard chemistry:

- The ampoule was sealed — no external reagents could enter.
- The alcohol was chemically pure — no water was present initially.
- The total mass was conserved — ruling out contamination.

3.3 Interpretation Within SET

In SET, molecular structures are also topological configurations in the Ether. The transformation alcohol → water corresponds to a change in the Hopf invariant H of the molecular configuration:

$$\text{Alcohol (complex organic)} \xrightarrow{\text{wave excitation}} \text{Water (simpler structure)} + \text{energy}$$

The wave excitation provided sufficient energy to overcome the topological barrier between configurations. The system relaxed to a lower-energy state (water) while preserving total mass and the sealed environment.

This is the *only* known laboratory observation of a direct topological transformation of matter without external reagents. It stands as a crucial empirical foundation for SET.

4 Source #2: Kamchatnov's Exact MHD Solitons (1982)

4.1 The Work

A. M. Kamchatnov [6] was the first to apply the Hopf fibration to magnetohydrodynamics. He demonstrated that the magnetic field

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla\psi \times \nabla\eta$$

where ψ and η are complex functions defining the Hopf map, provides an exact soliton solution to the ideal MHD equations.

4.2 Mathematical Correspondence with SET

Kamchatnov's solution has the property that all magnetic field lines are closed loops, and each pair is linked exactly once — the Hopf invariant $H = 1$. The magnetic energy is:

$$E = \int \frac{B^2}{8\pi} d^3x = \text{const} \cdot r_0^3 \cdot (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)$$

where ω_1, ω_2 are topological parameters.

In SET, the mass of a particle is:

$$m = \frac{\rho_E}{c^2} \cdot V_{\text{tor}} \cdot H^2$$

Direct correspondence:

$$\boxed{E_{\text{Kamchatnov}} \sim (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \cdot r_0^3 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad m_{\text{SET}} \sim H^2 \cdot V_{\text{tor}}}$$

Both are quadratic in the topological charge and linear in the volume factor.

5 Source #3: Rañada's Topological EM Fields (1989)

5.1 The Work

A. F. Rañada [7] constructed finite-energy solutions of Maxwell's equations in vacuum using the Hopf fibration. He showed that the electric and magnetic fields

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

with $\mathbf{A}$ derived from the Hopf map, represent a knotted electromagnetic field with nonzero helicity.

5.2 Ether Interpretation

Rañada explicitly worked in the tradition of Maxwell, Lorentz, and Dirac — all of whom entertained the idea of a physical ether. His topological EM fields are precisely the electromagnetic manifestation of the underlying Ether solitons in SET.

5.3 Mathematical Correspondence

Rañada's fields have:

- Finite energy $E = \int(\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2)d^3x$
- Quantized helicity $\mathcal{H} = \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3x = n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Hopf invariant $H = n$

In SET, the same fields emerge as the "external" manifestation of the topological knot in the Ether. The energy of the knot is proportional to H^2, matching Rañada's result.

6 Source #4: Oleinik's Quantum Soliton Electron (1997)

6.1 The Work

V. P. Oleinik [8] proposed that the electron is not a point particle but an open, self-organizing system — a soliton. He derived its properties from nonlinear field equations without assuming a point charge.

6.2 Key Similarities to SET

- **Self-organization**: The electron is not fundamental but emerges from dynamics.
- **Finite size**: The electron has internal structure, contrary to the standard model.
- **Prediction of superluminal signals**: Oleinik predicted [9] that information can propagate faster than light in such a system.

6.3 Connection to SET

Oleinik did not postulate an ether, but his soliton electron is mathematically identical to a $H = 1$ Hopf soliton in a nonlinear medium. SET provides the medium (superdense Ether) that Oleinik's equations implicitly assume.

Furthermore, Oleinik's prediction of superluminal signals corresponds exactly to SET's prediction of longitudinal gravitational modes [3].

7 Source #5: Smiet & Candelaresi's Numerical MHD Relaxation (2015-2016)

7.1 The Work

In two papers [10, 11], Smiet, Candelaresi, Bouwmeester and collaborators performed numerical simulations of magnetic relaxation in ideal and resistive MHD.

7.2 Key Results from Smiet & Candelaresi (2015-2016)

As demonstrated in the original publications [10, 11]:

1. **2015 (Resistive MHD)**: Starting from random initial conditions (three linked rings, trefoil knots), the plasma self-organized into stable toroidal structures described by the Hopf fibration. This shows that Hopf solitons are *attractors* for the dynamics of a continuous medium.

2. **2016 (Ideal MHD)**: The Hopf field $B_{1,1}$ relaxed to a static Grad-Shafranov equilibrium. Crucially, the equilibrium featured a **toroidal region of reduced pressure** (see Smiet et al., 2016, Figure 8) — exactly the signature predicted by SET for a stable topological soliton.

3. **Energy scaling**: The equilibrium energy scales as

$$E \sim (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \cdot r_0^3$$

   i.e., quadratically with the topological parameters.

4. **External pressure requirement**: Equilibrium required an external pressure p_∞, analogous to the Ether pressure P_E in SET.

The reader is referred to the original figures in [11] (particularly Figures 5, 8, and 10) for the numerical confirmation of these effects.

7.3 Direct Correspondence with SET

Table 1: Comparison between Smiet/Candelaresi results and SET predictions

Aspect	Smiet & Candelaresi (2015-2016)	SET Prediction
Stability	Hopf field relaxes to static equilibrium	Topological solitons are stable
Pressure profile	Toroidal region of reduced pressure	Core region has lower Ether pressure
Energy scaling	$E \sim (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \cdot r_0^3$	$m \sim H^2 \cdot V_{\text{tor}}$
External agent	Plasma pressure p_∞ required	Ether pressure $P_E \sim 10^{25}$ Pa
Self-organization	Knotted structures emerge from chaos	Particles emerge from Ether fluctuations

8 Comparative Table: Five Independent Confirmations

Table 2: Five independent sources converging on the same physics

Source	Year	What it shows	SET interpretation
Abdurakhmanov	1980-81	Alcohol → water in sealed ampoule	$H_{\text{alcohol}} \to H_{\text{water}} + \text{energy}$
Kamchatnov	1982	Exact MHD solitons with Hopf invariant	$H = 1$ soliton in continuous medium
Rañada	1989	Topological EM fields, quantized helicity	EM manifestation of Ether knots
Oleinik	1997	Electron as self-organizing soliton	$H = 1$ quantum soliton
Smiet & Candelaresi	2015-16	Numerical MHD relaxation to Hopf equilibrium	Macroscopic simulation of Ether dynamics

9 How the Superdense Ether Theory Explains All Five

SET provides the *missing medium* that all five sources implicitly assume:

1. **Abdurakhmanov**: The Ether is the substrate in which molecular topologies can transform under wave excitation.
2. **Kamchatnov**: The plasma in his MHD equations is a *model system* for the Ether — both are continuous media that support Hopf solitons.
3. **Rañada**: His vacuum EM fields are not "waves in nothing" but waves in the Ether.
4. **Oleinik**: His nonlinear field equations describe the dynamics of Ether solitons at the quantum scale.
5. **Smiet & Candelaresi**: Their numerical simulations are the first direct demonstration that Hopf solitons are stable attractors in a continuous medium — exactly what SET posits for the Ether.

10 Experimental Predictions (from Zenodo 19440837)

SET makes falsifiable predictions [3]:

1. **Shapiro parameter deviation**:

$$\gamma - 1 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$$

   measurable by next-generation gravitational experiments (e.g., BepiColombo, future GR tests).

2. **Anomalous water relaxation**:

$$f = 5\,\text{MHz}, \quad \tau \sim 10^3 - 10^4\,\text{s}$$

   — a measurable relaxation mode in deionized water after RF excitation.

3. **Longitudinal gravitational waves**: Not transverse quadrupole (LIGO) but longitudinal modes propagating faster than light.

11 Conclusion

Five independent lines of research spanning 45 years — from a serendipitous laboratory observation in Azerbaijan to state-of-the-art numerical MHD simulations in the Netherlands — all converge on the same mathematical structure: the Hopf fibration and its invariant H. Each line, in its own language, describes stable, self-organizing, topologically knotted structures in a continuous medium.

The Superdense Ether Theory is the first framework that:

- Identifies the medium (superdense, superfluid Ether);
- Derives the mass spectrum from topology ($m_p/m_e = 1836$);
- Provides quantitative, falsifiable predictions;
- Honors the observational priority of Abdurakhmanov (1980-81);
- Unifies the mathematical insights of Kamchatnov, Rañada, Oleinik, and Smiet/Candelaresi.

We dedicate this work to the memory of Aydin Abdurakhmanov and Afet Agayev, who saw the truth first, paid the price, and whose work we have only now brought to completion.

A Mathematical Correspondence: Hopf Invariant H and Mass

The Hopf invariant H for a map $\phi : S^3 \to S^2$ is defined as:

$$H = \int_{S^3} \phi^*(\omega) \wedge \alpha$$

where ω is the area form on S^2 and $d\alpha = \phi^*(\omega)$.

In SET, the mass of a soliton is:

$$m = \frac{\rho_E}{c^2} \cdot \frac{4\pi^2 R r^2}{H^2} \cdot \left(\ln \frac{R}{r} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot H^2$$

where R is the major radius, r the minor radius of the toroidal core.

The quadratic dependence on H matches:

- Kamchatnov: $E \sim (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)$
- Rañada: $E \sim n^2$
- Smiet/Candelaresi: $E \sim (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)$

B Timeline of Priority (1980–2026)

Year	Researcher	Contribution
1980-81	**Abdurakhmanov**	**First laboratory observation (alcohol→water)**
1982	Kamchatnov	Exact MHD soliton solutions
1989	Rañada	Topological EM fields
1997	Oleinik	Quantum soliton electron
2015-16	Smiet, Candelaresi	Numerical MHD relaxation
2026	Jensen, Abdurakhmanov, Agayev	Unified Superdense Ether Theory

Table 3: The 45-year arc of discovery. Abdurakhmanov's observation has priority.

References

[1] J. Jensen, A. Abdurakhmanov, A. Agayev, "Superdense Ether Theory: Foundations," Zenodo 19310352 (2026).

[2] J. Jensen, "Proton-Electron Mass Ratio from Topology," Zenodo 19352471 (2026).

[3] J. Jensen, "Responses to Key Objections Against the Superdense Ether Theory," Zenodo 19440837 (2026).

[4] J. Jensen, "Ether Ram: The Alhambra Principle — Extracting Energy from the Ether Pressure Gradient," Zenodo 19444381 (2026).

[5] A. Abdurakhmanov (1980-81), unpublished laboratory observation, Institute of Radiophysics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences. Described in [1].

[6] A. M. Kamchatnov, "Topological solitons in magnetohydrodynamics," *Soviet Physics JETP*, Vol. 55, No. 1, pp. 69-73 (1982).

[7] A. F. Rañada, "A topological theory of the electromagnetic field," *Physics Letters A*, Vol. 133, No. 7-8, pp. 339-343 (1989).

[8] V. P. Oleinik, “Quantum Theory of Self-Organizing Electrically Charged Particles: Soliton Model of the Electron,” *arXiv:quant-ph/9706031* (1997).

[9] V. P. Oleinik, “The Newest Development of Quantum Electrodynamics: Electron as an Open Self-Organizing System, Superluminal Signals,” *arXiv:quant-ph/0203031* (2002).

[10] C. B. Smiet, S. Candelaresi, D. Bouwmeester, “Self-organizing knotted magnetic structures in plasma,” *arXiv:1507.08780v1* (2015).

[11] C. B. Smiet, S. Candelaresi, D. Bouwmeester, “Ideal Relaxation of the Hopf Fibration,” *arXiv:1610.04719v1* (2016).