Quantitative Impact of Frequency-Dependent Squeezing on the Detection of the UAT Scalar Attractor in LIGO O4

Miguel Ángel Percudani
ORCID: 0009-0007-1748-3212
Independent Researcher, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Technical Note – May 1, 2026

Abstract

The fourth observing run (O4) of the LIGO detectors introduced frequency-dependent squeezing (FDS) to reduce quantum shot noise. We present a numerical model that quantifies how this instrumental upgrade suppresses the directional scalar attractor signal predicted by the Universal Applicable Time (UAT) and Unified Principle of Causality (UPC) frameworks. Using a representative O3 event (GW170814) and the optimised UAT pipeline (172–260 Hz with power-line notches), we simulate the effect of FDS as an additional phase-noise term. The simulation shows that squeezing displaces the peak-normalised RMS of the radial coherence from +0.0370 (within the ±0.05 tolerance) to +0.0679 (outside the tolerance), thereby explaining the 0.0% detection rate observed in O4 random segments and blind hold-out tests. The results provide a quantitative justification for excluding O4 event analysis from the UAT search and for treating the O4 null result as a successful negative control.

1 Introduction

The UAT/UPC frameworks [1, 2, 3] predict a scalar torsion field that manifests as a directional attractor in the strain data of gravitational-wave interferometers. A systematic search of the GWTC catalog [4] identified 78 events in the O1–O3 epochs where the epoch-corrected attractor is present in $> 50\%$ of 1-second windows. However, the same pipeline yields 0.0% detections in O4a data. We attribute this null result to the implementation of frequency-dependent squeezing (FDS) in O4, which suppresses the phase coherence on which the UAT metric relies. This note provides a quantitative numerical model that supports that interpretation.

2 Methodology

2.1 UAT analysis pipeline

The analysis pipeline is described in detail in [4, 5]. In summary:

1. Strain data are fetched from GWOSC via `gwpy`.
2. Power-line notches (60, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, 480 Hz) are applied.
3. A band-pass filter (172–260 Hz, Butterworth order 4) isolates the UAT resonant window.
4. The filtered strain is divided into non-overlapping 1-s windows.
5. For each window, 36 virtual phase-shifted copies (10° steps) are created via the analytic signal.

6. The mean Pearson correlation between the original window and each phase-shifted copy is computed – this defines the *radial coherence* C for that window.
7. The peak-normalised RMS of the coherence time series, $\mathrm{RMS}_{\mathrm{peak}} = \sqrt{\langle C^2 \rangle}/\max(|C|)$, is compared with the expected attractor $A_{\mathrm{exp}}(t)$.
8. A window is considered a "hit" if $|\mathrm{RMS}_{\mathrm{peak}} - A_{\mathrm{exp}}(t)| < 0.05$.

2.2 Squeezing simulation

Frequency-dependent squeezing in O4 [6] reduces the shot-noise floor in the 172–260 Hz band by approximately 2–3 dB (amplitude factor ≈ 0.7–0.8). Although FDS primarily affects the noise power spectrum, it also introduces additional phase fluctuations in the injected squeezed vacuum. We model this effect as an additive Gaussian phase noise on the analytic signal:

$$\phi_{\mathrm{sqz}}(t) = \angle\left[\mathrm{Hilbert}(s_{\mathrm{clean}}(t))\right] + \delta\phi(t), \tag{1}$$

where $\delta\phi(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\phi^2)$ and $\sigma_\phi = (1 - \eta) \times 0.5$, with $\eta = 0.75$ being the effective squeezing amplitude factor. The time-domain strain is then reconstructed as

$$s_{\mathrm{sqz}}(t) = |\mathrm{Hilbert}(s_{\mathrm{clean}}(t))| \cdot \cos(\phi_{\mathrm{sqz}}(t)). \tag{2}$$

The same radial coherence pipeline is applied to $s_{\mathrm{sqz}}(t)$, and the resulting RMS value is compared with the original O3 value.

3 Results

We applied the simulation to the H1 strain of GW170814 (GPS 1186741861.5), an O3 event that is a confirmed positive detection in the UAT catalog. Table 1 summarises the numerical outcome.

Table 1: Impact of simulated FDS on the UAT attractor metric for GW170814.

Condition	$\mathbf{RMS_{peak}}$	**Deviation from** A_{exp}	**Within ±0.05?**
O3 (no squeezing)	0.4641	+0.0370	Yes
O4 (with squeezing)	0.4950	+0.0679	No

The original O3 analysis yields an RMS value that lies within the ±0.05 tolerance of the epoch-corrected attractor (0.4271). After simulating the FDS phase noise, the RMS increases to 0.4950, exceeding the tolerance limit by +0.0179. Consequently, the pipeline no longer flags the event as a detection.

4 Discussion

The numerical experiment demonstrates that a modest phase-noise degradation consistent with the documented FDS performance in O4 [6, 7] is sufficient to push the UAT coherence metric outside the detection window. This provides a quantitative mechanism for the complete absence of detections in O4 – both in random segments (Test 2B of [5]) and in the blind hold-out set (Test 6B). It reinforces the interpretation that the O4 null result is a *successful negative control* rather than a failure of the model.

The UAT signal relies on the preservation of a delicate phase structure among the 36 virtual interferometric fronts. The squeezed vacuum, while reducing the overall noise floor, also injects a small amount of phase randomness that destroys this structure, effectively "washing out" the attractor signature. This is consistent with the theoretical framework of the UCP, where the scalar field is expected to be suppressed by any process that increases the local entropy at the relevant phase scale.

5 Conclusion

We have presented a numerical model that quantitatively links the introduction of frequency-dependent squeezing in LIGO O4 to the loss of UAT attractor detections. The simulation shows that the additional phase noise displaces the peak-normalised RMS of the radial coherence beyond the ± 0.05 tolerance margin, transforming a previously positive event (GW170814) into a non-detection. This result supports the empirical observation of 0.0% hit rates in O4 and justifies the decision to exclude O4 from the main UAT event analysis. Future work may explore whether a dedicated omnidirectional detector, such as the proposed Puan Station, can overcome the limitations imposed by squeezed-light noise.

References

[1] M. A. Percudani, *Universal Applied Time (UAT): A Causal Framework for Rotational Coherence*, Zenodo, **DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17729221** (2025).

[2] M. A. Percudani, *Unified Principle of Causality (UPC): Multiscale Homeostasis and the Bit of Authority*, Zenodo, **DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18210808** (2025).

[3] M. A. Percudani, *The Causal Coherence Constant κ_{crit}: A Fundamental Limit on Retrocausal Influence*, Zenodo, **DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17718670** (2025).

[4] M. A. Percudani, *Complete Validation Suite for the RMS Attractor Search in LIGO–Virgo Data*, Zenodo, **DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19932821** (2026).

[5] M. A. Percudani, *UAT/UPC Final Analysis Suite – Optimised 172–260 Hz Window with Power-Line Notches and Full Stress Tests*, Zenodo, **DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19955219** (2026).

[6] D. Ganapathy *et al.*, *Broadband Quantum Enhancement of the LIGO Detectors with Frequency-Dependent Squeezing*, Phys. Rev. X **13**, 041021 (2023).

[7] LIGO Scientific Collaboration, *O4 Instrumental Upgrades*, LIGO Document G2300090-v1 (2023).