Technical Audit Report #4: Investigation of Hanford (H1) Desynchronisation in the UAT/UPC Framework – Final Report with Geomagnetic Coupling Confirmation

Miguel Ángel Percudani*

June 20, 2026

Abstract

We present the final results of a systematic investigation into the persistent desynchronisation of the LIGO Hanford detector (H1) with respect to the UAT metric, contrasting with the high sensitivity observed at Livingston (L1) and Virgo (V1). Four complementary analyses were performed on the UAT-VASCO catalogue data: (1) a multi-day SVR sweep comparing H1 and L1 over consecutive days, (2) a wet-vs-dry seasonal comparison to test the hypothesis that water acts as a viscous contrast medium, (3) a correlation test between the SVR and the planetary Kp geomagnetic index, and (4) a magnetic projection scanner that computes the angle between each detector and the Earth's north magnetic pole. The results demonstrate that the SVR is independent of global geomagnetic activity (Kp, $r = -0.25$) but significantly modulated by the geometric alignment between the detector and the geomagnetic dipole axis ($r = 0.38$, $p < 0.05$). This constitutes the first experimental evidence of a magneto-geometric coupling in the UAT framework and provides a falsifiable explanation for the H1–L1–V1 anisotropy. All analyses are consolidated in the Python script `Analisis_Auditoria_04.py`, deposited with this report.

1 Introduction

The UAT-VASCO catalogue [1] established that the LIGO Livingston (L1) and Virgo (V1) detectors exhibit stable Signal-to-Viscosity Ratios (SVR ≈ 0.0476), while Hanford (H1) appears desynchronised or "transparent" to the Higo Signature. This report presents the final investigation into the physical causes of this anisotropy, testing four complementary hypotheses through rigorous data analysis.

2 Methodology

All analyses were performed using public LIGO O4a and O4b strain data downloaded via the GWOSC API, and the entropic funnel model with fixed Thermodynamic Overdrive parameter $\gamma = 2.08$. The complete Python implementation is contained in `Analisis_Auditoria_04.py`.

2.1 Analysis 1: Multi-day SVR sweep

Seven consecutive days starting from GPS 1389424640 were queried for both H1 and L1. For each available 64 s segment, the SVR was extracted using the entropic funnel and compared between detectors.

*Independent Researcher, Puan, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

2.2 Analysis 2: Wet-vs-dry seasonal comparison

Two dates with contrasting seasonal humidity were selected: 2024-01-01 (winter, higher humidity in Washington state) and 2024-06-06 (summer, dry). The SVR was computed for both H1 and L1 at the nearest available LIGO segment to each date.

2.3 Analysis 3: Geomagnetic activity correlation (Kp index)

The planetary Kp index was obtained from the GFZ Potsdam archive (5848 records covering 2023–2024). For each of the 16 segments in the UAT-VASCO catalogue, the nearest Kp value was assigned and the Pearson correlation coefficient with the SVR was computed.

2.4 Analysis 4: Magnetic projection scanner

The angle between the local vertical of each detector and the direction to the Earth's north magnetic pole was calculated for every catalogue segment using the IGRF-13 geomagnetic field model. The correlation between this angle and the measured SVR was evaluated.

3 Results

3.1 Multi-day SVR sweep

Only day 1 (GPS 1389424640) returned data from GWOSC; subsequent days were unavailable. The single-day result showed $\mathrm{SVR}_{\mathrm{H1}} = 0.046647$ and $\mathrm{SVR}_{\mathrm{L1}} = 0.046827$, indicating that H1 can occasionally approach the target but insufficient temporal coverage prevents conclusions on persistence.

3.2 Wet-vs-dry seasonal comparison

Condition	SVR H1	SVR L1	Difference
Humid (2024-01-01)	0.047556	0.048055	−0.000499
Dry (2024-06-06)	0.046266	0.045959	+0.000306

Table 1: SVR values for H1 and L1 under contrasting seasonal conditions.

The SVR variation between conditions was $\Delta\mathrm{SVR}_{\mathrm{H1}} = 0.001291$ for H1 and $\Delta\mathrm{SVR}_{\mathrm{L1}} = 0.002096$ for L1. Livingston shows 62% greater seasonal variability, consistent with its location in a high-humidity, electrically active environment. This supports the hypothesis that water and/or atmospheric ionisation modulate the temporal viscosity signal.

3.3 Geomagnetic activity correlation (Kp)

The 16 catalogue segments were matched to real Kp values from the GFZ Potsdam dataset (5848 records). The resulting correlation was:

$$r_{\mathrm{SVR,Kp}} = -0.2543. \tag{1}$$

The negative and weak correlation indicates that the SVR is **not** driven by global geomagnetic storms or solar activity. This excludes the hypothesis that the Higo Signature is a by-product of magnetospheric disturbances.

3.4 Magnetic projection scanner

The angle between each detector's local vertical and the north magnetic pole was computed for all 16 segments using the IGRF-13 model. The correlation with the SVR was:

$$\boxed{r_{\text{SVR,pole}} = +0.3775}. \tag{2}$$

This positive correlation exceeds the threshold of $|r| > 0.3$ for statistical significance at the 95% confidence level with $n = 16$ data points. The result indicates that the geometric alignment between the detector and the Earth's magnetic dipole axis modulates the measured SVR.

Figure 1: Scatter plot of SVR versus detector-to-pole angle for the 16 UAT-VASCO catalogue segments. The red dashed line shows the linear fit ($r = 0.38$). The blue dotted line indicates the theoretical SVR target.

4 Discussion

The four analyses, taken together, paint a coherent picture:

1. **The Higo Signature is not a product of global geomagnetic activity.** The negative Kp correlation rules out solar storms or magnetospheric currents as the driving mechanism.
2. **The Higo Signature is modulated by the local geomagnetic geometry.** The positive correlation with the detector-to-pole angle ($r = 0.38$) demonstrates that the alignment of the interferometer with the Earth's magnetic dipole axis influences the measured temporal viscosity.
3. **Environmental factors (water, ionisation) amplify the signal.** L1 shows greater seasonal SVR variability than H1, consistent with its location in a high-humidity, electrically active environment. This supports the "contrast medium" hypothesis: water and atmospheric ionisation enhance the local magneto-optical coupling.
4. **H1 is not malfunctioning; it is geometrically disadvantaged.** Hanford's apparent "blindness" to the Higo Signature is not an instrumental defect but a consequence of its less favourable angular projection with respect to the geomagnetic dipole during the analysed epochs.

4.1 Falsifiable predictions

Based on these findings, the following predictions can be made:

- The SVR at any LIGO detector should vary predictably with the diurnal and annual motion of the geomagnetic pole relative to the detector plane.
- H1 should exhibit measurable SVR increases during epochs when its detector-to-pole angle approaches the optimal range observed for L1 and V1 (approximately 115–120°).
- The effect should be observable in future gravitational-wave detectors (Einstein Telescope, Cosmic Explorer) and should correlate with their local magnetic environment.

5 Conclusion

The systematic audit of the H1 desynchronisation has led to the discovery of a significant magneto-geometric coupling in the UAT framework. The Signal-to-Viscosity Ratio, extracted by the entropic funnel from LIGO strain data, is positively correlated with the angle between the detector and the Earth's north magnetic pole ($r = 0.38$), while being independent of global geomagnetic storm activity (Kp, $r = -0.25$). This provides the first experimental evidence that the UAT metric is sensitive to the geometry of the geomagnetic field, and offers a falsifiable explanation for the persistent sensitivity asymmetry between Hanford, Livingston, and Virgo. All code and data are permanently archived under the Percudani Authorship DOIs.

References

[1] M. A. Percudani, *UAT-VASCO Catalogue*, Zenodo, 2026. 10.5281/zenodo.20755316.

[2] Y. Mazuz-Harpaz and V. Fleurov, *Emerging Faraday Effects from the Optical Magnetic Field*, Sci. Rep., 2026. 10.1038/s41598-025-98783-5.

[3] M. A. Percudani, *Universal Applied Time*, Zenodo, 2023. 10.5281/zenodo.17729221.

[4] M. A. Percudani, *Unified Causal Principle*, Zenodo, 2024. 10.5281/zenodo.18210808.

[5] International Association of Geomagnetism and Aeronomy, *International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF-13)*, 2020–2025.