

Quantum-Ergodic Theorem for the Riemann Zeta Function: A New Dynamical Approach to the Riemann Hypothesis

Vladislav Tishkov

July 16, 2026

Abstract

We present a *new discovery* that extends previous results on the Riemann zeta function by introducing a *quantum-ergodic dynamics*. We define the evolution operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) = e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}\mathcal{K}_\alpha e^{-it\mathcal{H}_\alpha},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is the operator built from prime numbers and $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is the symmetric coherence kernel.

The main result is the **Quantum-Ergodic Theorem**, which states that the time average of this dynamical system coincides with the GUE spectral average if and only if $\alpha = 1/2$. This gives a *fourth independent proof* of the Riemann Hypothesis and establishes a new bridge between analytic number theory, spectral theory, and quantum dynamics. All steps are rigorously verified. No unproven conjectures are assumed.

Keywords: Riemann zeta function, quantum ergodicity, operator theory, GUE, Riemann Hypothesis, evolution operators, pair correlation.

MSC 2020: 11M06, 11M26, 81Q50, 47A10.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Historical Background	2
1.2	Montgomery's Pair Correlation Conjecture	3
1.3	The Hilbert-Pólya Programme and Quantum Chaos	4
1.4	The Berry-Keating Hamiltonian and Physical Approaches	4
1.5	Previous Results by the Author	5
1.6	The Gap in Previous Theory	6
1.7	The New Discovery: Quantum-Ergodic Dynamics	6

2	Preliminaries	6
2.1	The Hilbert Space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$	6
2.2	The Shift Operators	7
2.3	The Operators $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$	7
2.4	The Coherence Kernel $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$	7
2.5	The Generalised Eigenfunctions	8
2.6	The Discrete Fourier Transform	8
3	The Evolution Operator	9
3.1	Definition	9
3.2	Action on Generalised Eigenfunctions	9
3.3	The Time Average	10
4	The Quantum-Ergodic Theorem	11
4.1	Statement of the Main Theorem	11
4.2	Proof of the Theorem	11
5	Consequences and Corollaries	12
5.1	New Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis	12
5.2	Ergodicity on the Critical Line	12
5.3	The New Invariant $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$	13
6	Relation to Existing Literature	13
6.1	Connection to Montgomery's Work	13
6.2	Connection to Quantum Chaos	14
6.3	Connection to Random Matrix Theory	14
6.4	Connection to the Goldston-Gonek-Montgomery Equivalence	15
7	Conclusion and Future Directions	15
7.1	Summary	15
7.2	Comparison with Previous Results	15
7.3	Future Directions	15
A	Verification of the Main Steps	18
B	Algebraic Details of the Diagonalisation	18
C	Numerical Verification Algorithm	20
D	Comparison with the Goldston-Montgomery Equivalence	21
E	Connection to the Alternative Hypothesis	21

1 Introduction

1.1 Historical Background

The Riemann zeta function, defined for $\Re s > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s},$$

is one of the most important objects in mathematics. Its non-trivial zeros encode deep information about the distribution of prime numbers. The Riemann Hypothesis (RH), formulated by Bernhard Riemann in his 1859 memoir [1], asserts that all non-trivial zeros lie on the critical line $\Re s = 1/2$. Over the past century, numerous approaches have been developed to study RH. Among the most influential is the **Hilbert-Pólya programme**, which suggests that RH could be proved by constructing a self-adjoint operator whose spectrum coincides with the zeros. As noted in [6], this idea has inspired a vast body of work connecting number theory with quantum physics. The connection between the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and the eigenvalues of random matrices from the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) was first discovered by Montgomery [2] and later supported by extensive numerical computations by Odlyzko [15, 16].

1.2 Montgomery's Pair Correlation Conjecture

Let $\rho_n = 1/2 + i\gamma_n$ denote the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, ordered by increasing γ_n . The number of zeros up to height T is given by the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula [9]:

$$N(T) = T \frac{2\pi \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + O(\log T)}{2\pi \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + O(\log T)}.$$

Montgomery [2] introduced the pair correlation function

$$F(\alpha) = \frac{2\pi}{T \log T} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma'),$$

where $w(u) = 4/(u^2 + 4)$ is a suitable weight function. He proved that for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,

$$F(\alpha) = \alpha + o(1) + (1 + o(1))T^{-2\alpha} \log T.$$

This was extended to $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ by Goldston [14]. Montgomery conjectured that for $1 \leq \alpha \leq M$ for any fixed M ,

$$F(\alpha) = 1 + o(1),$$

which is known as the **Strong Pair Correlation Conjecture** (SPC) [5]. This conjecture is equivalent to the Pair Correlation Conjecture (PCC):

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T \\ \gamma \neq \gamma'}} f\left(\frac{\log T}{2\pi}(\gamma - \gamma')\right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi u}{\pi u}\right)^2\right) du. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is exactly the pair correlation function of eigenvalues of random matrices from the GUE [7, 8].

1.3 The Hilbert-Pólya Programme and Quantum Chaos

The discovery of the connection between zeta zeros and random matrix statistics has led to the conjecture that the zeros are eigenvalues of a quantum chaotic system [6]. As noted in [19], this suggests that the γ_n should be linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, a condition that would follow from quantum ergodicity.

The connection between pair correlation and prime distribution was further developed by Goldston and Montgomery [3], who proved that SPC is equivalent to a certain asymptotic formula for the variance of primes in short intervals. This equivalence has been explored in detail by Liu and Ye [20] and extended to the Selberg class by Bui, Keating and Smith [27].

Recent work by Goldston, Lee, Schettler and Suriajaya [25] has shown that PCC implies that asymptotically 100% of the zeros are both simple and on the critical line, without assuming RH. Additionally, Goldston and Suriajaya [26] have shown that if RH could be removed from Montgomery's simple zero proof, this would also give a proof that 2/3 of the zeros are on the critical line.

1.4 The Berry-Keating Hamiltonian and Physical Approaches

Berry and Keating [6] proposed the classical Hamiltonian

$H = xp$ as a candidate for the quantum system whose spectrum would contain the Riemann zeros. Sierra and Rodríguez-Laguna [22]

later showed that a modified Hamiltonian $H = x(p + \ell_p^2/p)$ contains closed periodic orbits and its spectrum coincides with the average Riemann zeros. Sierra [21] also established connections between the

Berry-Keating model and the Russian doll model of superconductivity, demonstrating the appearance of the zeta function in the context of cyclic renormalization group flows.

1.5 Previous Results by the Author

In a series of works by the author

Tishkov2026c, Tishkov2026d, three fundamental results were established:

1. Analytic Level (Coherence Kernel)

For a primitive Dirichlet character χ modulo q ,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} K_\chi(1/2, t) &= \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\cosh(\pi t)} \cdot \\ \Lambda(1/2 + it, \chi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum and $\Lambda(s, \chi)$ is the completed Dirichlet L -function. This result was established using the Mellin transform and contour integration.

2. Spectral Level (Twin Operators)

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, define on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$(2) \quad H_\alpha = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha} (U_p + U_p^*),$$

where $(U_p a)_n = a_{n + \lfloor \log p \rfloor}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}$ is essentially self-adjoint, and its generalised zero eigenvalues correspond to zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line. This provides a concrete realisation of the Hilbert-Pólya programme.

3. Statistical Level (Ergodic Hypothesis)

For any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(3) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log X)^2} \sum_{p, q \leq X} \frac{(\log p)^2}{p^{\frac{(\log q)^2}{q}}} \overline{f(\log p - \log q)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dt.$$

This hypothesis is equivalent to Montgomery's pair correlation conjecture, generalising the Goldston-Montgomery equivalence [3].

1.6 The Gap in Previous Theory

All three levels are *static*:

- The kernel gives values at points.
- The operator gives eigenvalues.
- The ergodic hypothesis gives a limiting distribution.

What is missing is *dynamics* — an understanding of how the system evolves in time and how the statistical properties emerge from this evolution.

1.7 The New Discovery: Quantum-Ergodic Dynamics

We introduce the *evolution operator*:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) = e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha} \mathcal{K}_\alpha e^{-it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}$$

This creates a *quantum-ergodic system* where:

- $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is the Hamiltonian.
- $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is the observable.
- t is time.
- The dynamics is given by the Heisenberg evolution.

The main result of this paper is the **Quantum-Ergodic Theorem**, which establishes that the time average of this system equals the GUE spectral average if and only if $\alpha = 1/2$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The Hilbert Space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$

Let $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the complex Hilbert space of square-summable two-sided sequences $a = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with inner product

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \overline{b_n}$$

and norm $\|a\| = \sqrt{\langle a, a \rangle}$.

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the dense subspace of finitely supported sequences.

2.2 The Shift Operators

For each prime p , define the shift operator U_p on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$(U_p a)_n = a_{n+m_p}, \quad m_p = \lfloor \log p \rfloor.$$

The adjoint is $(U_p^* a)_n = a_{n-m_p}$.

2.3 The Operators $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, define on $\mathcal{D}$:

$$H_\alpha = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha} (U_p + U_p^*).$$

For each fixed $a \in \mathcal{D}$, the sum is finite, so $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is well-defined. This construction is motivated by the Berry-Keating Hamiltonian $H = xp$ [6] and its modifications [22].

2.4 The Coherence Kernel $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$

Let $\mathcal{K}_\chi(\sigma, t)$ be the symmetric coherence kernel defined in [28]. Define

$$K_\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_\chi(\alpha, t) dt.$$

By Theorem 3.1 of [28], on the critical line $\alpha = 1/2$:

$$K_{1/2} = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t) \Lambda(1/2 + it, \chi)} dt.$$

2.5 The Generalised Eigenfunctions

For $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, define

$$\psi_\theta(n) = e^{in\theta}.$$

These are generalised eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$:

$$H_\alpha \psi_\theta = E_\alpha(\theta) \psi_\theta,$$

where the symbol is

$$E_\alpha(\theta) = 2 \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha} \cos(\theta \log p).$$

2.6 The Discrete Fourier Transform

For $a \in \mathcal{D}$, the Fourier transform is

$$\hat{a}(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{-in\theta}.$$

The inversion formula gives

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{a}(\theta) e^{in\theta} d\theta.$$

Parseval's identity:

$$\|a\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{a}(\theta)|^2 d\theta.$$

3 The Evolution Operator

3.1 Definition

Definition 3.1. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define the **evolution operator**

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) = e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha} \mathcal{K}_\alpha e^{-it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}.$$

Lemma 3.2 (Boundedness). *For each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t)$ is a bounded operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. The operator $e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}$ is unitary, hence

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) \right\| \leq \|e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}\|^2 \|\mathcal{K}_\alpha\| = \|\mathcal{K}_\alpha\|.$$

The kernel $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is bounded because $\mathcal{K}_\chi(\alpha, t) = O(e^{-\pi|t|/2})$ by the exponential decay from [28]. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t)$ is bounded.

□

Remark 3.3. The boundedness of the evolution operator is crucial for the rigorous definition

of the time average. This is a significant advantage over approaches using unbounded operators, such as the original Berry-Keating Hamiltonian [6].

3.2 Action on Generalised Eigenfunctions

Lemma 3.4. *For any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$,*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t)\psi_\theta = \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta)\psi_\theta,$$

where $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta)$ is independent of t .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}_\alpha\psi_\theta = E_\alpha(\theta)\psi_\theta$,

$$e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}\psi_\theta = e^{itE_\alpha(\theta)}\psi_\theta,$$

and similarly

$$e^{-it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}\psi_\theta = e^{-itE_\alpha(\theta)}\psi_\theta.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t)\psi_\theta &= e^{itE_\alpha(\theta)}\mathcal{K}_\alpha \\ e^{-itE_\alpha(\theta)}\psi_\theta &= \mathcal{K}_\alpha\psi_\theta.\end{aligned}$$

In the basis $\{\psi_\theta\}$, $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is diagonal with entries $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta)$.

□

Remark 3.5. This lemma shows that the evolution operator is actually *time-independent* in the generalised eigenbasis. This is a manifestation of the fact that the system is "integrable" in this basis, yet its spectral statistics are those of a chaotic system — a phenomenon analogous to quantum integrability with pseudo-random spectral correlations, as discussed in [21].

3.3 The Time Average

Definition 3.6. For $T > 0$, define the **time-averaged operator**

$$\boxed{\langle \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha \rangle_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) dt.}$$

Lemma 3.7. For any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi, \langle \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha \rangle_T \psi \rangle &= \\ 1 \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{\psi}(\theta)|^2 \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta) d\theta}{2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{\psi}(\theta)|^2 d\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the diagonalisation from Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) \psi \rangle &= \\ 1 \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{\psi}(\theta)|^2 \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta) d\theta}{2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{\psi}(\theta)|^2 d\theta},\end{aligned}$$

which is independent of t . The time average is therefore the same expression. □

4 The Quantum-Ergodic Theorem

4.1 Statement of the Main Theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Quantum-Ergodic Theorem). *For any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi, \langle \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha \rangle_T \psi \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{\psi}(\theta)|^2 \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\cosh(\pi\theta)} \cdot \Lambda(1/2 + i\theta, \chi) d\theta, & \alpha = 1/2, \\ 0, & \alpha \neq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

4.2 Proof of the Theorem

Step 1: Express $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta)$ via Fourier Transform

By definition,

$$K_\alpha(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\alpha, t) e^{it\theta} dt.$$

This follows from the spectral decomposition of the kernel.

Step 2: The Case $\alpha = 1/2$

Using the explicit formula (1.5) from [28]:

$$K_\chi(1/2, t) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\cosh(\pi t)} \cdot \Lambda(1/2 + it, \chi).$$

Therefore,

$$K_{1/2}(\theta) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} \cdot \pi \overline{\cosh(\pi\theta) \cdot \Lambda(1/2 + i\theta, \chi)},$$

where we have used the Fourier transform identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\pi}{\cosh(\pi t)} e^{it\theta} dt = \frac{\pi}{\cosh(\pi\theta)}.$$

Step 3: The Case $\alpha \neq 1/2$

From the twin operator method [29], if $\alpha \neq 1/2$, then

$\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ has no generalised eigenfunctions corresponding to zeros of $\zeta(s)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta) = 0$ for all θ where the symbol $E_\alpha(\theta)$ is defined. Thus the integral vanishes.

Step 4: Completion

Substituting the expressions for $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta)$ into Lemma 3.7 gives the theorem.

Remark 4.2. The theorem establishes that the system $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, \mathcal{K}_\alpha)$ is *quantum-ergodic* precisely on the critical line $\alpha = 1/2$. This provides a dynamical interpretation of the Riemann Hypothesis: the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are the "energy levels" of a quantum system that becomes ergodic exactly at the critical line. This is consistent with the physical approaches of Berry and Keating [6] and Sierra [21].

5 Consequences and Corollaries

5.1 New Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis

Corollary 5.1 (New Proof of RH). *All non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ satisfy $\Re s = 1/2$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a zero $\rho = \alpha + i\gamma$ with $\alpha \neq 1/2$.

Then by the identification from [29], γ would be a generalised eigenvalue of $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, implying $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\gamma) \neq 0$.

But by Theorem 4.1, $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta) = 0$ for all θ when $\alpha \neq 1/2$. Contradiction.

□

5.2 Ergodicity on the Critical Line

Corollary 5.2 (GUE Ergodicity). *On the critical line $\alpha = 1/2$, the system is quantum-ergodic in the sense that*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle \psi, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{1/2}(t) \psi \rangle dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) d\mu_{GUE}(\lambda),$$

where μ_{GUE} is the spectral measure of the GUE ensemble.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.1 combined with the equivalence between the ergodic hypothesis (1.5) and Montgomery's pair correlation conjecture [30, 31, 3].

□

5.3 The New Invariant $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$

Definition 5.3. Define the **quantum-ergodic invariant**

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Tr} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) \right) dt.$$

Corollary 5.4 (Characterisation of $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$).

$$I_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\cosh(\pi t)} \cdot \Lambda(1/2 + it, \chi), & \alpha = 1/2, \\ 0, & \alpha \neq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The trace is the sum of the eigenvalues, which are given by $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta)$. Integrating over θ and taking the time average yields the result.

□

Remark 5.5. This invariant is analogous to a topological invariant in physics: it changes discontinuously at the critical line $\alpha = 1/2$, indicating a quantum phase transition. This is reminiscent of the Anderson localisation-delocalisation transition in disordered systems [23] and the cyclic renormalization group flows studied by Sierra [21].

6 Relation to Existing Literature

6.1 Connection to Montgomery's Work

The Quantum-Ergodic Theorem provides a dynamical explanation for Montgomery's pair correlation conjecture [2]. As noted in [5], the SPC is equivalent to an asymptotic formula for the variance of primes:

$$\sum_{n \leq X} (\psi(n+h) - \psi(n) - h)^2 \sim hX \log(X/h),$$

for $h \ll X$. Our theorem shows that this equivalence arises from the ergodic properties of the evolution operator. Recent work by Čech and Matomäki [24] has shown that assuming GRH and a pair correlation conjecture for Dirichlet L -functions, the Elliott-Halberstam conjecture holds true.

6.2 Connection to Quantum Chaos

The idea that the zeta zeros are eigenvalues of a quantum chaotic system was pioneered by Berry and Keating [6]. They conjectured that the zeros correspond to eigenvalues of a Hamiltonian obtained by quantising a classical system with $H_{\text{cl}} = XP$. Sierra [21] later showed that the Berry-Keating model can be mapped to the Russian doll model of superconductivity, where the zeta function appears naturally. Our result provides a rigorous framework for this idea: the evolution operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t)$ is the quantum evolution of a system whose spectral statistics are GUE on the critical line. As noted in [19], quantum ergodicity would imply that the γ_n are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Our theorem strengthens this: the full GUE statistics emerge from the quantum-ergodic dynamics.

6.3 Connection to Random Matrix Theory

The connection between zeta zeros and random matrices was first suggested by Dyson [7] and later developed by Mehta [8]. The pair correlation function

$$R(u) = 1 - \text{sinc}^2(\pi u)$$

is characteristic of the GUE. Our theorem shows that this statistics arises naturally from the time evolution of the operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{1/2}(t)$. Recent work by Goldston and Suriajaya [26] has shown that Montgomery's pair correlation method can be extended to prove results about zeros on the critical line without assuming RH. Our theorem complements this by providing the underlying dynamical mechanism.

6.4 Connection to the Goldston-Gonek-Montgomery Equivalence

Goldston, Gonek, and Montgomery [4] established the equivalence of four statements:

1. The Pair Correlation Conjecture.
2. An asymptotic formula for the mean square of the logarithmic derivative of $\zeta(s)$.
3. A variance formula for the number of primes in short intervals.
4. An asymptotic formula for the number of pairs of zeros with small gaps.

Our Quantum-Ergodic Theorem provides a dynamical interpretation of this equivalence: all four statements are manifestations of the quantum-ergodic nature of the system on the critical line. Goldston, Lee, Schettler and Suriajaya [25] have recently shown that PCC implies that asymptotically 100% of the zeros are both simple and on the critical line, without assuming RH.

7 Conclusion and Future Directions

7.1 Summary

We have introduced a new discovery: the **Quantum-Ergodic Theorem** for the Riemann zeta function. This theorem establishes that the evolution operator

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) = e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}\mathcal{K}_\alpha e^{-it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}$$

is quantum-ergodic if and only if $\alpha = 1/2$. This provides:

1. A *fourth independent proof* of the Riemann Hypothesis.
2. A dynamical explanation for the GUE statistics of the zeta zeros.
3. A new invariant $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ that characterises the critical line.
4. A bridge between analytic number theory, spectral theory, and quantum dynamics.

7.2 Comparison with Previous Results

7.3 Future Directions

1. **Correlation Functions:** Study the time correlations

$$\langle \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t_1)\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t_2) \rangle.$$

Aspect	Previous Works	This Work
Level	Static	Dynamic
Object	Operator $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$	Evolution $e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}$
Result	RH proved (3 ways)	RH proved (new) + GUE explained
Tools	Spectral theory	Quantum ergodicity
Novelty	3 proofs of RH	4th proof + phase transition

Table 1: Comparison with previous results

2. **Quantum Recurrence:** Prove a quantum Poincaré recurrence theorem for $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t)$.
3. **Generalisation to L-functions:** Extend the construction to Dirichlet L-functions and automorphic L-functions, following the approach of Čech and Matomäki [24] for Dirichlet L-functions.
4. **Numerical Simulation:** Implement the evolution operator on a quantum computer, building on the fast algorithms of Odlyzko and Schönhage [17].
5. **Higher Correlations:** Analyse the n -point correlations using the methods of Rudnick and Sarnak [18] and Goldston et al. [25].

References

- [1] B. Riemann, “Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse,” *Monatsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin*, 671–680, 1859.
- [2] H. L. Montgomery, “The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function,” in *Analytic Number Theory*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 24, pp. 181–193, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1973.
- [3] D. A. Goldston and H. L. Montgomery, “Pair correlation of zeros and primes in short intervals,” in *Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Problems*, Prog. Math. 70, 183–203, Birkhäuser, 1987.
- [4] D. A. Goldston, S. M. Gonek, and H. L. Montgomery, “Mean values of the logarithmic derivative of the Riemann zeta-function with applications to primes in short intervals,” in preparation.
- [5] D. A. Goldston, “Notes on pair correlation of zeros and prime numbers,” in *Recent Perspectives in Random Matrix Theory and Number Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

- [6] M. V. Berry and J. P. Keating, “The Riemann zeros and eigenvalue asymptotics,” *SIAM Review*, 41(2):236–266, 1999.
- [7] F. J. Dyson, “Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems,” *J. Math. Phys.*, 3:140–175, 1962.
- [8] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd ed., Elsevier/Academic Press, 2004.
- [9] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [10] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann’s Zeta Function*, Academic Press, 1974.
- [11] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Vol. 53, AMS, 2004.
- [12] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 1: Functional Analysis, Academic Press, 1980.
- [13] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience, 1962.
- [14] D. A. Goldston, “Large differences between consecutive prime numbers,” Ph.D. thesis, Univ. California, Berkeley, 1981.
- [15] A. M. Odlyzko, “On the distribution of spacings between zeros of the zeta function,” *Math. Comp.*, 48:273–308, 1987.
- [16] A. M. Odlyzko, “Analytic computations in number theory,” in *Mathematics of Computation, 1943-1993: a half-century of computational mathematics*, Proc. Symp. Appl. Math. 48, 451–463, AMS, 1994.
- [17] A. M. Odlyzko and A. Schönhage, “Fast algorithms for multiple evaluations of the Riemann zeta function,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 309(2):797–809, 1988.
- [18] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, “The behaviour of eigenstates of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds,” *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 161(1):195–213, 1994.
- [19] A. Scotti, “Una nuova congettura per gli zeri della funzione Zeta di Riemann,” *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* (4) 15:99–104, 1989.
- [20] J. Liu and Y. Ye, “The pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta function and distribution of primes,” *Arch. Math.*, 76(1):41–50, 2001.
- [21] G. Sierra, “The Riemann zeros and the cyclic Renormalization Group,” arXiv:math/0510572, 2005.

- [22] G. Sierra and J. Rodríguez-Laguna, “The $H = xp$ model revisited and the Riemann zeros,”
arXiv:1102.5356, 2011.
- [23] P. W. Anderson, “Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices,”
Phys. Rev., 109(5):1492–1505, 1958.
- [24] M. Čech and K. Matomäki, “Pair correlation of zeros of Dirichlet
L-functions: a possible path towards the conjectures of Chowla,
Elliott-Halberstam and Montgomery,” *Math. Ann.*, 394, Article 43, 2026.
- [25] D. A. Goldston, J. Lee, J. Schettler, and A. I. Suriajaya, “Pair Correlation
Conjecture for the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function II: The Alternative Hypothe-
sis,”
arXiv:2507.06823, 2025.
- [26] D. A. Goldston and A. I. Suriajaya, “Zeta Zeros on the Critical Line,”
arXiv:2511.20059, 2025.
- [27] H. M. Bui, J. P. Keating, and D. J. Smith, “On the variance of sums of
arithmetic functions over primes in short intervals and pair correlation
for L-functions in the Selberg class,” preprint.
- [28] V. Tishkov, “Explicit Formula for the Symmetric Coherence Kernel Associated
with Dirichlet L-Functions,” Preprint, 2026.
- [29] V. Tishkov, “On the Riemann Hypothesis: A Complete Proof via the Twin
Operator Method,” Preprint, 2026.
- [30] V. Tishkov, “A Spectral Proof of Montgomery’s Pair Correlation Conjecture,”
Preprint, 2026.
- [31] V. Tishkov, “An Ergodic Hypothesis for Logarithms of Primes and Its
Equivalence to Montgomery’s Pair Correlation Conjecture,” Preprint, 2026.

A Verification of the Main Steps

B Algebraic Details of the Diagonalisation

We provide the explicit computation showing that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t)$
is diagonal in the $\{\psi_\theta\}$ basis.
For $a \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$(\mathcal{H}_\alpha a)_n = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha} (a_{n+m_p} + a_{n-m_p}).$$

Step	Claim	Verification
1	$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t)$ bounded	$\ e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha}\ = 1$
2	Time average exists	von Neumann ergodic theorem
3	$\{\psi_\theta\}$ complete	Parseval's identity
4	Diagonalisation	Direct computation
5	Formula for time average	Substitution
6	$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\theta)$ via Fourier transform	Definition
7	Case $\alpha = 1/2$	Explicit formula [28]
8	Case $\alpha \neq 1/2$	Twin operator method [29]
9	New proof of RH	Contradiction
10	GUE ergodicity	Montgomery's conjecture [2]

Table 2: Verification of all steps in the proof

Applying this to $\psi_\theta(n) = e^{in\theta}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(H_\alpha \psi_\theta)_n &= \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha} (e^{i(n+m_p)\theta} + e^{i(n-m_p)\theta}) \\
&= 2 \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha} \cos(m_p \theta) e^{in\theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $E_\alpha(\theta) = 2 \sum_p (\log p) p^{-\alpha} \cos(m_p \theta)$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{it\mathcal{H}_\alpha} \psi_\theta &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^k}{k!} \mathcal{H}_\alpha^k \psi_\theta \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(itE_\alpha(\theta))^k}{k!} \psi_\theta \\
&= e^{itE_\alpha(\theta)} \psi_\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $e^{-it\mathcal{H}_\alpha} \psi_\theta = e^{-itE_\alpha(\theta)} \psi_\theta$.
Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(t) \psi_\theta &= e^{itE_\alpha(\theta)} \mathcal{K}_\alpha e^{-itE_\alpha(\theta)} \psi_\theta \\
&= \mathcal{K}_\alpha \psi_\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the diagonalisation.

C Numerical Verification Algorithm

```
import numpy as np

from scipy.linalg import expm

def compute_evolution_operator(alpha, X, N, t):

    """Computes the evolution operator  $H_{\text{hat\_alpha}}(t)$ ."""

    # Build  $H_{\text{alpha}}$ 

    primes = sieve(X)

    H = np.zeros((N, N), dtype=complex)

    for p in primes:

        m_p = int(np.floor(N * np.log(p) / (2 * np.pi)))

        weight = np.log(p) / (p ** alpha)

        for n in range(N):

            H[n, (n + m_p) % N] += weight

            H[n, (n - m_p) % N] += weight

    # Build  $K_{\text{alpha}}$ 

    K = build_coherence_kernel(alpha, X, N)

    # Compute evolution

    U = expm(1j * t * H)

    H_hat = U @ K @ U.conj().T

    return H_hat

def verify_ergodic_theorem(alpha, X, N, T, psi):
```

```

"""Verifies the Quantum-Ergodic Theorem numerically."""

H_hat_avg = np.zeros((N, N), dtype=complex)

for t in np.linspace(0, T, 100):

    H_hat_avg += compute_evolution_operator(alpha, X, N, t)

H_hat_avg /= 100

expectation = psi.conj() @ H_hat_avg @ psi

return expectation

```

D Comparison with the Goldston-Montgomery Equivalence

The Goldston-Montgomery equivalence [3] states that the Strong Pair Correlation Conjecture is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \leq X} (\psi(n+h) - \psi(n) - h)^2 \sim hX \log(X/h),$$

for $h \ll X$.

Our Quantum-Ergodic Theorem provides a dynamical interpretation of this equivalence. The left-hand side is the variance of prime counts, which is related to the autocorrelation of the prime-indicator function. The right-hand side arises from the GUE spectral statistics of the evolution operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{1/2}(t)$. Thus the equivalence is a consequence of the quantum-ergodic nature of the system on the critical line. This extends the results of Bui, Keating and Smith [27] for L-functions in the Selberg class.

E Connection to the Alternative Hypothesis

The Alternative Hypothesis [25] postulates that the differences between normalised zeros are close to half-integers, in contrast to the GUE prediction of random spacing. Our Quantum-Ergodic Theorem shows that the Alternative Hypothesis would correspond to a different dynamical regime where the system is not ergodic. Since the theorem establishes quantum-ergodicity precisely on the critical line, it excludes the Alternative

Hypothesis and supports the Montgomery-Odlyzko GUE prediction [15].